ANALYTIC TORSION, DYNAMICAL ZETA FUNCTIONS AND ORBITAL INTEGRALS

SHU SHEN

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this article is to prove an identity between the analytic torsion and the value at zero of a dynamical zeta function associated with an acyclic unitarily flat vector bundle on a closed locally symmetric reductive manifold, which solves a conjecture of Fried.

arXiv:1602.00664v1 [math.DG] 1 Feb 2016

CONTENTS

Introduction 2
1. Characteristic forms and V-invariant 10
1.1. Clifford algebras 11
1.2. The Berezin integral 11
1.3. Characteristic forms 12
1.4. The V-invariant 13
2. Analytic torsion 14
3. An example: the circle 18
3.1. The V-invariant of circle 18
3.2. Dynamical zeta function and analytic torsion of the circle 18
4. Orbital integrals and Selberg trace formula 20
4.1. The real reductive group 20
4.2. The symmetric space G/K 22
4.3. Semisimple elements 22
4.4. Semisimple nonelliptic elements 24
4.5. Cartan subgroups and regular elements 24
4.6. The semisimple orbital integrals 27
4.7. Bismut's formula for semisimple orbital integrals 28
4.8. A discrete subgroup of G 29
5. Dynamical zeta functions 32
5.1. The V-invariant of $\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma)$ 32
5.2. Statement of the main result 33
6. Evaluation of some orbital integrals 33
6.1. Real root system 34
6.2. Evaluation of $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{[\gamma]}\left[\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X}/2)\right]$ 35
6.3. A vanishing result on $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{[\gamma]}\left[\left(N^{\Lambda^\cdot(T^*X)}-\frac{m}{2}\right)\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X}/2)\right]$ 40
6.4. The proof of Theorem 5.3 when $\delta(G)\neq 1$ 40
7. The locally symmetric space $\Gamma\backslash G/K$ with $\delta(G)=1$ 40
7.1. Real reductive groups G with $\delta(G)=1$ 41
7.2. A compact Hermitian symmetric space $Y_{\mathfrak{b}}$ 48
7.3. Auxiliary virtual representations of K 52

Date: February 2, 2016.

7.4. A vanishing result on $\mathrm{Tr_s}^{[\gamma]}\left[\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X,\widehat{\varsigma}}/2)\right]$ 54
7.5. Evaluation of $\mathrm{Tr_s}^{[\gamma]}\left[\exp\left(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X,\widehat{\varsigma}}/2\right)\right]$ 56
7.6. Selberg-type zeta functions 61
7.7. Proof of Theorem 0.3 65
8. Proof of Theorem 0.4 66
8.1. Some results from representation theory 67
8.2. Formulas for $r_{\varsigma,\rho}$ and r_j 75
References 79

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this article is to prove an identity between the analytic torsion and the value at zero of a dynamical zeta function associated with an acyclic unitarily flat vector bundle on a closed locally symmetric reductive manifold, which solves a conjecture of Fried.

More precisely, let Z be a smooth closed manifold, and let F be a complex flat vector bundle on Z. Let $H^{\cdot}(Z,F)$ be the cohomology of sheaf of locally flat sections of F. We assume that F is acyclic, which means $H^{\cdot}(Z,F)=0$. We assume also that F is unitarily flat, which means that F possesses a flat Hermitian metric g^F.

The Reidemeister torsion has been introduced and studied by Reidemeister [Re35], Franz [Fr35] and de Rham [dR50]: it is a real number constructed with the help of the combinatorial complex with values in F associated with a triangulation on Z. However, it has been shown that the Reidemeister torsion does not depend on the triangulation.

Let g^{TZ} be a Riemannian metric on TZ. Ray and Singer [RS71] constructed the analytic torsion $\tau_Z(F)$ as a spectral invariant of the Hodge Laplacian associated with g^{TZ} and g^F. It was shown that the analytic torsion $\tau_Z(F)$ does not depend on the metric data, therefore being a topological invariant.

In [RS71], Ray and Singer conjectured an equality between the Reidemeister torsion and the analytic torsion, which was later proved by Cheeger [C79] and Müller [M78], and is now known under the name of Cheeger-Müller Theorem. Bismut-Zhang [BZ92] and Müller [M93] simultaneously considered generalizations of this result. It was generalized by Müller [M93] to unimodular flat vector bundles, and was extended by Bismut-Zhang [BZ92] to arbitrary flat vector bundles with arbitrary Hermitian metrics.

From the dynamical side, in [Mi68, Section 3], Milnor pointed out a remarkable similarity between the Reidemeister torsion and the dynamical zeta function of Weil. A quantitative description of their relation was formulated by Fried [F86b] when Z is a closed oriented hyperbolic manifold. Namely, he showed that the value at zero of the Ruelle dynamical zeta function, constructed using the closed geodesics in Z and the holonomy of F, is equal to $\exp\left(\tau_Z(F)\right)$. In [F87, p. 66, Conjecture], Fried conjectured that a similar result holds true for general closed locally homogeneous manifolds.

In this article, we prove the Fried conjecture for closed locally symmetric reductive manifolds. Indeed, we introduce a Ruelle-type dynamical zeta function, and we show that it is meromorphic on $\mathbf{C}$, holomorphic at zero, and that its value at zero is equal to $\exp\left(\tau_Z(F)\right)$.

Let us point out that a proof of the above result, which was given by Moscovici-Stanton [MoSt91], based on the Selberg trace formula, does not seem to be complete. We try to provide the proper argument to make it correct. Also, our proof is based on the explicit formula given by Bismut for semisimple orbital integrals [B11, Theorem 6.1.1].

In the rest of Introduction, we will describe in detail the context and then state our main results. We will also explain certain techniques which will be used in the proof.

0.1. **Analytic torsion of a flat vector bundle.** Let Z be a smooth closed manifold, and let F be a complex flat vector bundle on Z.

Let g^{TZ} be a Riemannian metric on TZ, and let g^F be a Hermitian metric on F. To g^{TZ} and g^F, we can associate an L^2-metric on $\Omega^\cdot(Z,F)$, the space of differential forms with values in F. Let $\Box^Z$ be the Hodge Laplacian acting on $\Omega^\cdot(Z,F)$. By the Hodge Theorem, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\ker\left(\Box^Z\right) \simeq H^\cdot(Z,F). \tag{0.1}$$

Let $\left(\Box^Z\right)^{-1}$ be the inverse of $\Box^Z$ acting on the orthogonal space to $\ker\left(\Box^Z\right)$. Let $N^{\Lambda^\cdot(T^*Z)}$ be the number operator of $\Lambda^\cdot(T^*Z)$. We write $\mathrm{Tr_s}$ for the supertrace. For $s\in\mathbf{C}$, $\mathrm{Re}\,(s)>\frac{1}{2}\dim Z$, set

$$\theta(s) = -\,\mathrm{Tr_s}\left[N^{\Lambda^\cdot(T^*Z)}\left(\Box^Z\right)^{-s}\right]. \tag{0.2}$$

By [Se67], $\theta(s)$ has a meromorphic extension to $\mathbf{C}$, which is holomorphic at $s=0$. The analytic torsion is then defined by $\tau_Z(F)=\theta'(0)\in\mathbf{R}$.

The Ray-Singer metric on the determinant line of $H^\cdot(Z,F)$ is the product of its L^2-metric constructed via (0.1) and $\exp\left(\tau_Z(F)\right)$. When F is acyclic, the determinant line is canonically isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}$. In this case, $\tau_Z(F)$ is the logarithm of the square of the norm of the canonical element in $\mathbf{C}$.

In [BZ92], Bismut and Zhang studied the dependence of the Ray-Singer metric on g^{TZ} and g^F. They gave an expression [BZ92, Theorem 0.1] for the variation of the logarithm of the Ray-Singer metric on g^{TZ} and g^F as an integral of a locally calculable differential form on Z. In particular, they recovered a result of Ray-Singer [RS71] which states that, if $\dim Z$ is odd, for a flat metric g^F on F, the Ray-Singer metric does not depend on g^{TZ}.

Moreover, in [BZ92], using the Witten deformation [W82] associated with a Morse function f on Z, Bismut and Zhang extended the Cheeger-Müller Theorem [C79, M78]. In fact, a relation [BZ92, Theorem 0.2] has been shown there between the Ray-Singer metric and the Milnor metric [Mi66] associated with the Thom-Smale complex, which is constructed using the negative gradient flow of f. In other words, the authors showed that the Ray-Singer metric localizes to the critical points of f, which are the same as the closed orbits of the negative gradient flow of f.

0.2. **The Dynamical zeta function and Fried's results.** Let $\mathscr{V}$ be a vector field on Z with isolated zeros. The Poincaré-Hopf formula asserts that the Euler number $\chi(Z)$ of Z is equal to the sum of the indices of the zeros of $\mathscr{V}$. Note that the zeros of $\mathscr{V}$ are the trivial closed orbits of the flow generated by $\mathscr{V}$.

Assume that Z is equipped with an acyclic unitarily flat vector bundle F. Then $\chi(Z)=0$, and there exists a nowhere vanishing vector field $\mathscr{V}$ on Z. Inspired by the Poincaré-Hopf formula, Fried considered the question whether the Reidemeister torsion of F, which is equal to $\tau_Z(F)$ by Cheeger-Müller Theorem, can be written as a (regularized)

sum over the (nontrivial) closed orbits of the flow generated by $\mathscr{V}$. As explained in [F87, Introduction], this can not be true for general $\mathscr{V}$. Indeed, the only closed manifolds where nowhere vanishing vector fields exist and where all the corresponding flows have closed orbits are the circle $\mathbb{S}^1$ and the Klein bottle.

Let us now restrict our attention to the geodesic flow on the unit sphere SZ of the tangent bundle of Z. Let $\pi : SZ \to Z$ be the canonical projection. Let F' be an acyclic unitarily flat vector bundle on SZ. It is expected that an expression of the following kind holds:

(0.3) $\tau_{SZ}(F') =$ sum over the (nontrivial) closed orbits of the geodesic flow on SZ.

Let us remark that when $\dim Z \geqslant 3$, using $\pi_1(SZ) \simeq \pi_1(Z)$, any flat vector bundle F' on SZ is the pull back π^*F of a flat vector bundle F on Z. Moreover, π^*F is acyclic and unitarily flat if and only if F is acyclic and unitarily flat. In this case,

$$\tau_{SZ}(F') = \tau_{SZ}(\pi^*F) = 2\tau_Z(F). \tag{0.4}$$

Let us briefly recall Fried's results in [F86a, F86b, F87]. Assume now Z is a closed connected orientable manifold of constant negative curvature. Let $\Gamma = \pi_1(Z)$ be the fundamental group of Z, and let $[\Gamma]$ be the set of the conjugacy classes of Γ. We identify $[\Gamma]$ with the free homotopy space of Z. Since Z is of negative sectional curvature, any element $[\gamma] \in [\Gamma]$ can be represented by a closed geodesic in Z, which is unique up to rotation. We denote by $l_{[\gamma]}$ and $m_{[\gamma]}$ the respective length and multiplicity of the corresponding closed geodesic in Z. The (nontrivial) closed orbits of the geodesic flow on SZ are then parameterized by $[\Gamma]\backslash\{1\}$.

In the first place, consider the case where $\dim Z$ is odd. Then $\dim Z \geqslant 3$ and F' is of the form π^*F. Let ρ be the holonomy of F. In [F86b], Fried obtained a formula, which says that at least formally,

$$\frac{1}{2}\tau_{SZ}(F') = \sum_{[\gamma]\in[\Gamma]\backslash\{1\}} \frac{\mathrm{Tr}[\rho(\gamma)]}{m_{[\gamma]}}. \tag{0.5}$$

Indeed, the right-hand side of (0.5) can be regularized as follows. For $\sigma \in \mathbf{C}$ and $\mathrm{Re}\,(\sigma) \gg 1$, put

$$R_\rho(\sigma) = \exp\Big(\sum_{[\gamma]\in[\Gamma]\backslash\{1\}} \frac{\mathrm{Tr}[\rho(\gamma)]}{m_{[\gamma]}} e^{-\sigma l_{[\gamma]}}\Big). \tag{0.6}$$

Using the Selberg trace formula, in [F86b], Fried showed that $R_\rho(\sigma)$ has a meromorphic extension to $\sigma \in \mathbf{C}$, and that it is holomorphic at $\sigma = 0$ such that

$$R_\rho(0) = \exp\big(\tau_Z(F)\big). \tag{0.7}$$

By (0.4) and (0.7), we get a rigorous version of (0.5),

$$\frac{1}{2}\tau_{SZ}(F') = \log\big(R_\rho(0)\big). \tag{0.8}$$

Secondly, assume that $\dim Z$ is even and $\dim Z \geqslant 4$. In this case, $\chi(Z) \neq 0$. Then, Z does not possess any acyclic flat vector bundle F. It implies that SZ does not possess any acyclic flat vector bundle F', since F' is of the form π^*F.

Finally, assume that $\dim Z = 2$. Then there might exist acyclic unitarily flat vector bundles F' on SZ which are not of the form π^*F. In [F86a], using a purely topological

method, Fried showed a result similar to (0.8) by slightly modifying the definition of $R_\rho(\sigma)$. Indeed, Fried considered the Reidemeister torsion instead.

In [F87], Fried extended (0.8) to the case where Z is of constant positive or null curvature, and where F' is of the form π^*F. He then conjectured that the same holds true when Z is a general closed locally homogeneous manifold and F' is a general acyclic unitarily flat vector bundle on SZ.

In our article, we solve Fried's conjecture when Z is a closed locally symmetric reductive manifold and F' is of the form π^*F.

0.3. **Analytic torsion as a V-invariant.** The two phenomena that the analytic torsion localizes to the critical points of the Morse function on Z as appeared in Bismut-Zhang's proof of the Cheeger-Müller Theorem, and that it also localizes to the nontrivial closed geodesics in Z as appeared in Fried's result (0.7), are explained, at least formally, in a unified way by the introduction of the V-invariant in Bismut-Goette [BG04].

Let us be more precise. Let S be a closed manifold equipped with an action of a compact Lie group L, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{l}$. If $a \in \mathfrak{l}$, let a^S be the corresponding vector field on S. The V-invariant $V_a(S)$ of (S, a^S) appears as an exotic term in the difference between two natural versions of equivariant analytic torsions [BG04, Theorem 5.1].

Let h be an a^S-invariant Morse-Bott function on S. Let $B_h \subset S$ be the critical submanifold. As $a^S|_{B_h} \in TB_h$, $V_a(B_h)$ is also well defined. Let $S_a \subset S$ be the zero set of a^S. Let $\mathrm{ind}(h)$ be the Morse index of h on B_h. It is a locally constant function on B_h. By [BG04, Theorem 4.10], we have

$$V_a(S) = (-1)^{\mathrm{ind}(h)} V_a(B_h) - (-1)^{\mathrm{ind}(h)} J_a(\widetilde{N})\chi(B_h \cap S_a), \tag{0.9}$$

where $J_a(\widetilde{N})$ is a constant defined by the excess normal bundle $\widetilde{N}$ (c.f. [BG04, Equation (4.29)]) over $B_h \cap S_a$.

Let us argue formally for the moment. Consider the case where Z is an arbitrary closed manifold with the trivial line bundle $\mathbf{R}$ on Z. Let LZ be the free loop space of Z equipped with the canonical $\mathbb{S}^1$-action. Let a be the generator of the Lie algebra of $\mathbb{S}^1$. As explained in [B05, Equation (0.3)], if we apply the construction of V-invariant to (LZ, a), at least formally, we have

$$V_a(LZ) = -\frac{1}{2}\tau_Z(\mathbf{R}). \tag{0.10}$$

If f is a Morse function on Z, consider the following functional on LZ,

$$\widetilde{f} : x_\cdot \in LZ \to \int_0^1 f(x_s)ds. \tag{0.11}$$

The critical points $B_{\widetilde{f}}$ of $\widetilde{f}$ are precisely the constant loops at the critical points of f. By (0.9) and (0.10), the analytic torsion $\tau_Z(\mathbf{R})$ localizes to the critical points of f. We remark that, since $B_{\widetilde{f}}$ only consists of constant loops, the first term on the right-hand side of (0.9) disappears. Since the second term involves the infinite dimensional vector bundle $\widetilde{N}$, it is rather hard to identify directly the second term with the Milnor torsion. We refer the reader to [B05, Introduction] for more detail about the formal proof of the Cheeger-Müller Theorem.

Now we consider instead the energy functional on LZ,

$$E : x_\cdot \in LZ \to \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 |\dot{x}_s|^2 ds. \tag{0.12}$$

The critical submanifold B_E is formed by the closed geodesics. Assume that Z is connected with fundamental group Γ. For $[\gamma] \in [\Gamma]$, let $B_{E,[\gamma]}$ be the set of closed geodesics whose free homotopy class is $[\gamma]$. Then $B_E = \coprod_{[\gamma]\in[\Gamma]} B_{E,[\gamma]}$. Assume also that Z is of nonpositive sectional curvature. Then, all the critical points in B_E are local minima, and $B_{E,[1]} = Z$. By (0.9), if $\chi(Z) = 0$, we have the formal identity

$$V_a(LZ) = V_a(B_E) = \sum_{[\gamma]\in[\Gamma]\setminus\{1\}} V_a\big(B_{E,[\gamma]}\big). \tag{0.13}$$

In view of (0.10) and (0.13), for a general acyclic unitarily flat vector bundle F with holonomy ρ on a closed connected manifold Z of nonpositive sectional curvature, it seems reasonable to write down formally that

$$-\frac{1}{2}\tau_Z(F) = \sum_{[\gamma]\in[\Gamma]\setminus\{1\}} \mathrm{Tr}[\rho(\gamma)] V_a\big(B_{E,[\gamma]}\big). \tag{0.14}$$

Let $l_{[\gamma]} : B_{E,[\gamma]} \to \mathbf{R}$ be the length of the closed geodesic in $B_{E,[\gamma]}$. It is a locally constant function on $B_{E,[\gamma]}$. As in (0.6)-(0.8), (0.14) suggests to define formally the dynamical zeta function as follows: for $\sigma \in \mathbf{C}$,

$$R_\rho(\sigma) = \exp\Big(-2\sum_{[\gamma]\in[\Gamma]\setminus\{1\}} \mathrm{Tr}[\rho(\gamma)] V_a\big(B_{E,[\gamma]}\big) e^{-\sigma l_{[\gamma]}}\Big). \tag{0.15}$$

We then say the dynamical zeta function $R_\rho(\sigma)$ is well defined if the following properties holds:

(1) for all $\gamma \in \Gamma\setminus\{1\}$, $B_{E,[\gamma]}$ is a smooth closed manifold;
(2) if $\mathrm{Re}\,(\sigma) \gg 1$, the sum on the right-hand side of (0.15) converges to a holomorphic function;
(3) $R_\rho(\sigma)$ has a meromorphic extension to $\sigma \in \mathbf{C}$.

Let us consider for the moment that Z is of negative sectional curvature. In this case, the set $B_{E,[\gamma]}$ is a circle. It is easy to verify that (c.f. Equation (3.3))

$$V_a\big(B_{E,[\gamma]}\big) = -\frac{1}{2m_{[\gamma]}}. \tag{0.16}$$

By (0.16), our dynamical zeta function (0.15) coincides with the one (0.6) used by Fried in the case where Z is of constant negative curvature. Also, if ρ is the trivial representation, $R_\rho(\sigma)$ coincides with the Ruelle zeta function, which is recently shown to be a well defined meromorphic function on $\mathbf{C}$ by Giulietti-Liverani-Pollicott [GiLPo13] and Dyatlov-Zworski [DyZw].

0.4. **Main result of the article.** Let G be a linear connected real reductive group [K86, p. 3], and let θ be the Cartan involution. Let K be the maximal compact subgroup of G of the points of G that are fixed by θ. Let $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ be the respective Lie algebras of K and G, and let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$ be the Cartan decomposition. Let B be a nondegenerate bilinear symmetric form on $\mathfrak{g}$ which is invariant under the adjoint action and θ. Assume that B is positive on $\mathfrak{p}$ and negative on $\mathfrak{k}$. Set $X = G/K$. Then B induces a Riemannian metric g^{TX} on the tangent bundle $TX = G \times_K \mathfrak{p}$, such that X is of nonpositive sectional curvature.

Let $\Gamma \subset G$ be a discrete torsion-free cocompact subgroup of G. Set $Z = \Gamma\backslash X$. Then Z is a closed locally symmetric manifold with $\pi_1(Z) = \Gamma$. The main result of this article is the solution of the Fried conjecture for the closed locally symmetric manifold Z.

Theorem 0.1. *If F is an acyclic unitairily flat vector bundle on Z with holonomy ρ, then $R_\rho(\sigma)$ is a well defined meromorphic function on $\mathbf{C}$. It is holomorphic at $\sigma = 0$, such that*

$$R_\rho(0) = \exp\big(\tau_Z(F)\big). \tag{0.17}$$

0.5. **A formula for $V_a\big(B_{E,[\gamma]}\big)$.** Let $d_X : X \times X \to \mathbf{R}$ be the distance function on (X, g^{TX}). Following [B11, Section 3.1], $\gamma \in G$ is called semisimple, if the displacement function $x \in X \to d_X(x, \gamma x)$ has a minimum point in X. Let $\gamma \in G$ be semisimple. Let $X(\gamma) \subset X$ be the subset of X where the displacement function takes its minimum value. Let $Z(\gamma) \subset G$ be the centralizer of γ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{z}(\gamma)$. Then $Z(\gamma)$ is reductive with maximal compact subgroup $K(\gamma) = K \cap Z(\gamma)$. Let $Z^0(\gamma)$ and $K^0(\gamma)$ be respectively the connected components of the identity in $Z(\gamma)$ and $K(\gamma)$. The groups $Z(\gamma)$ and $Z^0(\gamma)$ act on $X(\gamma)$, and $X(\gamma) \simeq Z(\gamma)/K(\gamma) \simeq Z^0(\gamma)/K^0(\gamma)$.

After conjugating, we can write γ in the form $\gamma = e^a k^{-1}$, $a \in \mathfrak{p}$, $k \in K$ and $\mathrm{Ad}(k)a = a$. By [B11, (3.3.11)], if $a \neq 0$, there exists a connected closed subgroup $Z^{a,\perp,0}(\gamma)$ of $Z^0(\gamma)$ such that

$$Z^0(\gamma) = \mathbf{R} \times Z^{a,\perp,0}(\gamma), \tag{0.18}$$

and that e^{ta}, $t \in \mathbf{R}$, maps into $t|a| \in \mathbf{R}$. Moreover, $Z^{a,\perp,0}(\gamma)$ is a reductive group with maximal compact subgroup $K^0(\gamma)$. Put $X^{a,\perp}(\gamma) = Z^{a,\perp,0}(\gamma)/K^0(\gamma)$. Then

$$X(\gamma) = \mathbf{R} \times X^{a,\perp}(\gamma), \tag{0.19}$$

so that the action of e^{ta} on $X(\gamma)$ is just the translation by $t|a|$ on $\mathbf{R}$.

We denote by $e\big(TX^{a,\perp}(\gamma), \nabla^{TX^{a,\perp}(\gamma)}\big)$ the Euler form of $TX^{a,\perp}(\gamma)$ associated with the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla^{TX^{a,\perp}(\gamma)}$. Let $o\big(TX^{a,\perp}(\gamma)\big)$ be the orientation line of $TX^{a,\perp}(\gamma)$. If $\alpha \in \Omega^{\cdot}\big(X^{a,\perp}(\gamma), o(TX^{a,\perp}(\gamma))\big)$ is a $Z^{a,\perp,0}(\gamma)$-invariant form of maximal degree, set $[\alpha]^{\max} \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $\alpha = [\alpha]^{\max} dv_{X^{a,\perp}(\gamma)}$, where $dv_{X^{a,\perp}(\gamma)}$ is the Riemannian volume on $X^{a,\perp}(\gamma)$. In particular,

$$\Big[e\Big(TX^{a,\perp}(\gamma), \nabla^{TX^{a,\perp}(\gamma)}\Big)\Big]^{\max} \in \mathbf{R} \tag{0.20}$$

is well defined.

Since Γ is cocompact and torsion-free, $\Gamma\backslash\{1\}$ consists of nonelliptic semisimple elements. Take $\gamma \in \Gamma\backslash\{1\}$. Put $\Gamma(\gamma) = \Gamma \cap Z(\gamma)$. By [Mos70, Lemma 8.1], $\Gamma(\gamma)$ is a discrete torsion-free cocompact subgroup of $Z(\gamma)$. Then, $\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma)$ is a closed locally symmetric manifold, equipped with an $\mathbb{S}^1$-action induced by e^{ta}, for $t \in \mathbf{R}$. For $x \in X(\gamma)$, the unique geodesic in X connecting x and γx descends to a closed geodesic in Z. By [DKV79, Proposition 5.15], we have a canonical identification

$$\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma) \simeq B_{E,[\gamma]}. \tag{0.21}$$

Also, $l_{[\gamma]}$ is a constant function on $B_{E,[\gamma]}$, so that

$$l_{[\gamma]} = |a|. \tag{0.22}$$

Let $\mathrm{vol}\big(\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma)\big)$ be the volume of $\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma)$ associated with the Riemannian metric induced by B. In Proposition 5.1, we obtain an explicit formula for $V_a\big(B_{E,[\gamma]}\big)$.

Proposition 0.2. *Assume $\gamma \in \Gamma\backslash\{1\}$. The following identity holds:*

$$V_a\big(B_{E,[\gamma]}\big) = -\frac{\mathrm{vol}\big(\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma)\big)}{2|a|}\left[e\left(TX^{a,\perp}(\gamma), \nabla^{TX^{a,\perp}(\gamma)}\right)\right]^{\max}. \tag{0.23}$$

0.6. **Hypoelliptic Laplacian and Bismut's formula for semisimple orbital integral.** The Selberg trace formula is the main analytic ingredient in [F86b, MoSt91] as well as in our article. It relates the trace of the heat operator of $\Box^Z$ with a sum of semisimple orbital integrals.

The evaluation of semisimple orbital integrals is one of the main themes of Harish-Chandra's work on the reductive groups. Independent of Harish-Chandra's theory, using the hypoelliptic Laplacian on symmetric spaces, Bismut gave a very explicit formula [B11, Theorem 6.1.1] for the semisimple orbital integrals, which we will rely on.

We also remark that one of the motivations for introducing the hypoelliptic Laplacian in de Rham theory [B05] is to give a direct approach to attack the Fried's conjecture in a very general case. For more details, see Introductions of [B05, BL08, B11]. However, we do not follow this approach in this article.

0.7. **The strategy of the proof of Theorem 0.1.** Let $\delta(G)$ be the nonnegative integer defined by the difference between the complex ranks of G and K. We will carry out the proof of Theorem 0.1 following different values of $\delta(G)$.

First, if $\delta(G) = 0$, by showing that the Euler number $\chi(Z) \neq 0$, we conclude that there are no acyclic flat vector bundles on Z. Note that the evaluation of Euler number for a closed locally symmetric space is well known long before this article, for example [Bo65]. In Section 6.2, we will give a new proof based on Bismut's formula for semisimple orbital integrals [B11, Theorem 6.1.1].

Second, if $\delta(G) \geqslant 2$, using (0.23), we show $V_a\left(B_{E,[\gamma]}\right) = 0$ for all $[\gamma] \in [\Gamma]\backslash\{1\}$. Also, it is known [B11, Theorem 7.9.1] or [MoSt91, Corollary 2.2] that $\tau_Z(F) = 0$. Therefore, Theorem 0.1 follows easily in this case.

The third step consists in treating the case $\delta(G) = 1$. It contains the main contribution of this article. Indeed, Theorem 0.1 is a consequence of the following two theorems, where in Theorem 0.3, we do not need to assume that F is acyclic.

Theorem 0.3. *Assume $\delta(G) = 1$. If F is a unitarily flat vector bundle on Z with holonomy ρ, then $R_\rho(\sigma)$ is a well defined meromorphic function on $\mathbf{C}$. Moreover, there exist explicit constants $C_\rho \in \mathbf{R}$ and $r_\rho \in \mathbf{Z}$ (c.f.* (7.208)*) such that, when $\sigma \to 0$, we have*

$$R_\rho(\sigma) = C_\rho \exp\big(\tau_Z(F)\big)\sigma^{r_\rho} + \mathcal{O}\left(\sigma^{r_\rho+1}\right). \tag{0.24}$$

Theorem 0.4. *Assume $\delta(G) = 1$. If F is an acyclic unitarily flat vector bundle on Z with holonomy ρ, we have*

$$C_\rho = 1, \qquad\qquad r_\rho = 0. \tag{0.25}$$

0.8. **The strategy of the proof of Theorem 0.3.** Suppose now $\delta(G) = 1$. Up to conjugation, there exists a unique standard parabolic subgroup $Q \subset G$ with Langlands decomposition $Q = M_Q A_Q N_Q$ such that $\dim A_Q = 1$. Let $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{n}$ be the respective Lie algebras of M_Q, A_Q, N_Q. Let M be the connected component of identity of M_Q. Then M is a connected reductive group with maximal compact subgroup $K_M = M \cap K$ and with Cantan

decomposition $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}} \oplus \mathfrak{k}_{\mathfrak{m}}$. We have an identity of real K_M-representations

$$\mathfrak{p} \simeq \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}} \oplus \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{n}. \tag{0.26}$$

Let $R(K, \mathbf{R}), R(K_M, \mathbf{R})$ be the real representation rings of K and K_M. We can verify that the restriction $R(K, \mathbf{R}) \to R(K_M, \mathbf{R})$ is injective. To calculate the analytic torsion, we consider the element $\sum_{i=0}^{\dim \mathfrak{p}} (-1)^{i-1} i \Lambda^i(\mathfrak{p}^*) \in R(K, \mathbf{R})$. By (0.26), when it is restricted to K_M, we have the following identity in $R(K_M, \mathbf{R})$,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\dim \mathfrak{p}} (-1)^{i-1} i \Lambda^i(\mathfrak{p}^*) = \sum_{j=0}^{\dim \mathfrak{n}} (-1)^j \Big(\sum_{i=0}^{\dim \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}} (-1)^i \Lambda^i(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*) \Big) \otimes \Lambda^j(\mathfrak{n}^*). \tag{0.27}$$

In Corollary 7.3, we will show that each term on the right-hand side of (0.27) has a unique lift in $R(K, \mathbf{R})$. In particular, there exist $\mathbf{Z}_2$-graded real representations $E_j = E_j^+ \oplus E_j^-$ of K, for $0 \leqslant j \leqslant \dim \mathfrak{n}$, such that the following identity in $R(K_M, \mathbf{R})$ holds:

$$\Big(\sum_{i=0}^{\dim \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}} (-1)^i \Lambda^i(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*) \Big) \otimes \Lambda^j(\mathfrak{n}^*) = \big(E_j^+ - E_j^- \big) \,|_{K_M}. \tag{0.28}$$

Let $\mathcal{E}_j = G \times_K E_j$ be the $\mathbf{Z}_2$-graded vector bundle on X. It descends to a $\mathbf{Z}_2$-graded vector bundle $\mathcal{F}_j$ on Z. We show Theorem 0.3 by applying the Selberg trace formula to the heat operator of the Casimir element of G acting on $C^\infty(Z, \mathcal{F}_j \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} F)$, where the corresponding semisimple orbital integrals are evaluated using Bismut's formula [B11, Theorem 6.1.1].

Let us remark that the construction of E_j invokes the classification theory of real simple Lie algebras. We also note that the construction of E_j remedies the gaps of the proof in [MoSt91].

0.9. **The strategy of the proof of Theorem 0.4.** To understand the acyclicity of F, we consider the spectral side of the Selberg trace formula. For this, we need some deep results from the representation theory of reductive groups.

Let $\widehat{p} : G \to \Gamma\backslash G$ be the natural projection. The enveloping algebra of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ acts on $C^\infty(\Gamma\backslash G, \widehat{p}^* F)$. Let $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ be the center of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $V^\infty \subset C^\infty(\Gamma\backslash G, \widehat{p}^* F)$ be the subspace of $C^\infty(\Gamma\backslash G, \widehat{p}^* F)$ on which the action of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ vanishes, and let V be the closure of V^∞ in $L^2(\Gamma\backslash G, \widehat{p}^* F)$. Then V is a unitary representation of G. The compactness of $\Gamma\backslash G$ implies that V is a finite sum of irreducible unitary representations of G. By the standard arguments, the cohomology $H^\cdot(Z, F)$ is canonically isomorphic to the $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-cohomology $H^\cdot(\mathfrak{g}, K; V)$ of V.

Vogan-Zuckerman [VoZu84] and Vogan [Vo84] classified all irreducible unitary representations with nonzero $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-cohomology. On the other hand, in [SR99], Salamanca-Rica showed that any irreducible unitary representation with vanishing $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$-action is in the class specified by Vogan and Zuckerman, which means that it possesses nonzero $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-cohomology.

By the above considerations, the acyclicity of F is equivalent to $V = 0$. This is essentially the algebraic ingredient in the proof of Theorem 0.4. Indeed, in Corollary 8.18, we give a formula for the constants C_ρ and r_ρ which appear in Theorem 0.3, obtained by Hecht-Schmid formula [HeSc83] with the help of the $\mathfrak{n}$-homology of V.

0.10. **The organization of the article.** This article is organized as follows. In Sections 1 and 2, we recall the definitions and some properties of characteristic forms, the V-invariant and the analytic torsion.

In Section 3, we show Theorem 0.1 when Z is a circle.

In Section 4, we introduce the reductive Lie group and the associated symmetric space. We recall the Bismut's formula for semisimple orbital integrals and the Selberg trace formula, which are our main analytic tools.

In Section 5, we show Proposition 0.2. We introduce the dynamical zeta function $R_\rho(\sigma)$, and we state Theorem 0.1.

In Section 6, we evaluate some semisimple orbital integrals using Bismut's formula. We apply theses results to calculate the Euler number of Z. We recall the proof given by Bismut [B11, Theorem 7.9.1] to a vanishing result of the analytic torsion $\tau_Z(F)$, which originally due to Moscovich and Stanton [MoSt91, Corollary 2.2]. We give a proof of Theorem 0.1 in the case $\delta(G) \neq 1$.

In Section 7, we study the reductive group with $\delta(G) = 1$. We constructed the $\mathbf{Z}_2$-representation E_j, mentioned above. We also introduce Selberg-type zeta functions, which will be shown to be meromorphic on $\mathbf{C}$ and satisfy some functional equations. We relate the dynamical zeta function $R_\rho(\sigma)$ as an alternating product of the Selberg-type zeta functions. In particular, we get Theorem 0.3.

In Section 8, after recalling some constructions and results in the representation theory, we show Theorem 0.4.

We use the notation of [BeGeVe04, Section 1.3]. Let A be a $\mathbf{Z}_2$-graded algebra. If $a, b \in A$, $[a, b]$ will be our notation for the supercommutator of a, b. If B is another $\mathbf{Z}_2$-graded algebra, we denote by $A\widehat{\otimes}B$ the super tensor algebra. We use the notation $\mathrm{Tr_s}$ for the supertrace. We make the convention that $\mathbf{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$ and $\mathbf{N}^* = \{1, 2, \cdots\}$.

The result contained in this article is announced in [Sh15].

0.11. **Acknowledgement.** I am deeply grateful to Prof. J.-M. Bismut for sharing his insights on this problem. Without his help, this paper would never have been written. I am indebted to Prof. W. Müller for his detailed explanation on the paper [MoSt91]. I would also like to thank Prof. L. Clozel and Prof. M. Vergne for very useful discussions. And I appreciate the encouragement and constant support of Prof. X. Ma.

This article was prepared while I was visiting Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik at Bonn and the Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin. I would like to thank both institutions for their hospitality.

This research has been financially supported by Collaborative Research Centre "Space - Time - Matte" (SFB 647), founded by the German Research Foundation (DFG).

1. Characteristic forms and V-invariant

The purpose of this section is to recall some basic constructions and properties of characteristic forms and the Bismut-Goette's V-invariant [BG04].

This section is organized as follows. In Sections 1.1 and 1.2, we introduce the basic conventions on Clifford algebras and Berezin integrals, which will be used throughout the whole article.

In Section 1.3, we construct the Euler form, $\widehat{A}$-form and Chern character form.

In Section 1.4, we introduce the Bismut-Goette's V-invariant for closed manifolds with compact Lie group actions.

1.1. **Clifford algebras.** If V is a real or complex vector space of dimension n, we denote by V^* the dual space and by $\Lambda^{\cdot}(V) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \Lambda^i(V)$ the exterior algebra.

Let E be a real Euclidean space of finite dimension. We denote by $\langle , \rangle$ the scalar product on E. We identify E and E^* by the scalar product $\langle , \rangle$. Let $c(E)$ be the Clifford algebra of E, i.e., the algebra spanned over $\mathbf{R}$ by $1, X \in E$, with the commutation relations for $X, Y \in E$,

$$XY + YX = -2\langle X, Y\rangle. \tag{1.1}$$

Then $c(E)$ is a $\mathbf{Z}_2$-graded algebra. Moreover, $c(E)$ and $\Lambda^{\cdot}(E^*)$ are canonically isomorphic as vector spaces.

Assume that $\dim E$ is even, and also that E is oriented. Let $S^E = S^E_+ \oplus S^E_-$ be the complex Hermitian vector space of spinors. Then $c(E) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}$ acts on S^E, and can be identified with $\mathrm{End}(S^E)$, this identification being an identification of $\mathbf{Z}_2$-graded algebras, so that

$$c(E) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C} \simeq \mathrm{End}(S^E). \tag{1.2}$$

Assume further that, E is equipped with a complex structure J, i.e., an antisymmetric endomorphism of E such that $J^2 = -1$. Let ω^E be the 2-form on E, such that if $e, e' \in E$,

$$\omega^E(e, e') = g^E(e, Je'). \tag{1.3}$$

Let W, $\overline{W} \subset E \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}$ be the eigenspaces of J associated to the eigenvalues $\sqrt{-1}, -\sqrt{-1}$, so that $E \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C} = W \oplus \overline{W}$. Then W is naturally equipped with a Hermitian product. Note that W, $\overline{W}$ are identified to $\overline{W}^*$, W^* by the Hermitian product. If $e \in W$, let $\overline{e}^* \in \overline{W}^*$ be the corresponding element. For $e \in W$ and $\overline{e} \in \overline{W}$, set

$$c(e) = \sqrt{2}\overline{e}^*, \qquad c(\overline{e}) = -\sqrt{2} i_{\overline{e}}. \tag{1.4}$$

We extend c to $E \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}$. Then (1.4) defines an action of $c(E) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}$ on $\Lambda^{\cdot}(\overline{W}^*)$.

Set $\det(W) = \Lambda^{\dim_{\mathbf{C}} W}(W)$. As is well known [H74], we have the canonical isomorphism,

$$\Lambda^{\cdot}(\overline{W}^*) \simeq S(E) \otimes \det(W)^{1/2}, \tag{1.5}$$

so that the action of $c(E) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}$ on $S(E)$ is precisely obtained via c.

1.2. **The Berezin integral.** Let E be a real Euclidean space of dimension m with the scalar product $\langle , \rangle$, and let V be a real vector space of dimension n.

Suppose temporarily that E is oriented and that $e_1, \ldots, e_m$ is an oriented orthogonal basis of E. Let $e^1, \ldots, e^m$ be the corresponding dual basis of E^*. We define $\int^B$ to be the linear map from $\Lambda^{\cdot}(V^*)\widehat{\otimes}\Lambda^{\cdot}(E^*)$ into $\Lambda^{\cdot}(V^*)$, such that if $\alpha \in \Lambda^{\cdot}(V^*), \beta \in \Lambda^{\cdot}(E^*)$,

$$\int^B \alpha\beta = 0, \text{ if } \deg\beta < m, \qquad \int^B \alpha e^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e^m = (-1)^{m(m+1)/2}\alpha. \tag{1.6}$$

More generally, if $o(E)$ is the orientation line of E, then $\int^B$ defines a linear map from $\Lambda^{\cdot}(V^*)\widehat{\otimes}\Lambda^{\cdot}(E^*)$ into $\Lambda^{\cdot}(V^*)\widehat{\otimes}o(E)$, which is called a Berezin integral.

Let $A \in \mathrm{End}^{\mathrm{anti}}(E)$ be an antisymmetric endomorphism of E. We identify A with

$$\dot{A} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant m} \langle e_i, Ae_j \rangle e^i \wedge e^j \in \Lambda^2(E^*). \tag{1.7}$$

By definition, the Pfaffian $\mathrm{Pf}[A] \in o(E)$ of A is given by

$$\mathrm{Pf}[A] = \int^B \exp(-\dot{A}). \tag{1.8}$$

Clearly, $\mathrm{Pf}[A]$ vanishes if m is odd.

1.3. **Characteristic forms.** Let S be a smooth manifold. If V is a vector bundle on S, we denote by $\Omega^{\cdot}(S, V)$ the space of smooth differential forms with values in V. When $V = \mathbf{R}$, we denote $\Omega^{\cdot}(S)$ simply.

Let E be a real Euclidean vector bundle of rank m with a metric connection ∇^E. We denote by $R^E = \nabla^{E,2} \in \Omega^2\big(S, \mathrm{End}^{\mathrm{anti}}(E)\big)$ the corresponding curvature.

We use the Berezin integration formalism of Section 1.2 applied to $V = TS$. If $\omega \in \Omega^{\cdot}\big(S, \Lambda^{\cdot}(E^*)\big)$, then $\int^B \omega \in \Omega^{\cdot}\big(S, o(E)\big)$. If $e_1, \cdots, e_m$ is an orthogonal basis of E, as in (1.7), set

$$\dot{R}^E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant m} \left\langle e_i, R^E e_j \right\rangle e^i \wedge e^j \in \Omega^{\cdot}\big(S, \Lambda^{\cdot}(E^*)\big). \tag{1.9}$$

The Euler form $e\left(E, \nabla^E\right)$ of $\left(E, \nabla^E\right)$ is given by

$$e\left(E, \nabla^E\right) = \mathrm{Pf}\left[\frac{R^E}{2\pi}\right] = \int^B \exp\left(-\dot{R}^E/2\pi\right) \in \Omega^m\big(S, o(E)\big). \tag{1.10}$$

If m is odd, then $e(E, \nabla^E) = 0$.

For $x \in \mathbf{C}$, set

$$\widehat{A}(x) = \frac{x/2}{\sinh(x/2)}. \tag{1.11}$$

Then $\widehat{A}(x)$ is holomorphic on $\mathbf{C}$. The form $\widehat{A}(E, \nabla^E)$ of $\left(E, \nabla^E\right)$ is given by

$$\widehat{A}\left(E, \nabla^E\right) = \left[\det\left(\widehat{A}\left(-\frac{R^E}{2i\pi}\right)\right)\right]^{1/2} \in \Omega^{\cdot}(S). \tag{1.12}$$

If E' is a complex Hermitian vector bundle with a metric connection $\nabla^{E'}$ with curvature $R^{E'}$. The Chern character form $\mathrm{ch}\left(E', \nabla^{E'}\right)$ of $(E', \nabla^{E'})$ is given by

$$\mathrm{ch}\left(E', \nabla^{E'}\right) = \mathrm{Tr}\left[\exp\left(-\frac{R^{E'}}{2i\pi}\right)\right] \in \Omega^{\cdot}(S). \tag{1.13}$$

The differential forms $e\left(E, \nabla^E\right), \widehat{A}\left(E, \nabla^E\right)$ and $\mathrm{ch}\left(E', \nabla^{E'}\right)$ are closed. They are the respective Chern-Weil representations of the Euler class of E, the $\widehat{A}$-genus of E and the Chern character of E'.

1.4. **The V-invariant.** We follow [BG04, Sections 3.1 and 3.9]. Let S be a smooth closed manifold, and let L be a compact Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{l}$. Assume that L acts on the left on S.

If $a \in \mathfrak{l}$, let a^S be the corresponding vector field on S, that is, for $x \in S$,

$$a_x^S = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} e^{ta}x \in T_xS. \tag{1.14}$$

If $a, b \in \mathfrak{l}$, then

$$[a^S, b^S] = -[a,b]^S. \tag{1.15}$$

Let $d^S : \Omega^\cdot(S) \to \Omega^{\cdot+1}(S)$ be the de Rham operator. Take $a \in \mathfrak{l}$. Set

$$d_a^S = d^S - 2\pi i_{a^S}. \tag{1.16}$$

Let g^{TS} be an L-invariant Riemannian metric on TS. Then, a^S is a Killing vector field. Let $a^{S\prime}$ be the 1-form on S which is dual to a^S by g^{TS}. By (1.16), we have

$$d_a^S a^{S\prime} = -2\pi \left|a^S\right|^2 + d^S a^{S\prime}. \tag{1.17}$$

Let ∇^{TS} be the Levi-Civita connection on (TS, g^{TS}) with curvature R^{TS}. Put

$$m^{TS}(a) = \nabla_\cdot^{TS} a^S \in C^\infty\big(S, \mathrm{End}^{\mathrm{anti}}(TS)\big). \tag{1.18}$$

By [BG04, Equation (3.96)], if $U, V \in TS$, we have

$$d^S a^{S\prime}(U,V) = 2\langle m^{TS}(a)U, V\rangle. \tag{1.19}$$

The equivariant curvature of ∇^{TS} is defined by

$$R_a^{TS} = R^{TS} - 2\pi m^{TS}(a). \tag{1.20}$$

It is an even form on S with values in $\mathrm{End}^{\mathrm{anti}}(TS)$.

We introduce another copy of TS, which will be denoted $\widehat{TS}$. If $e \in TS$, we will denote by $\widehat{e} \in \widehat{TS}$ the corresponding element. We use the Berezin integration formalism of Section 1.2 applied to $V = TS$ and $E = \widehat{TS}$. The corresponding Berezin integral is denoted by $\int^{\widehat{B}}$. If $e_1, \cdots, e_{\dim S}$ is an orthogonal basis of TS, as in (1.9), set

$$\dot{R}_a^{TS} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant \dim S} \langle e_i, R_a^{TS} e_j\rangle \widehat{e}^i \wedge \widehat{e}^j \in \Omega^\cdot\big(S, \Lambda^\cdot(\widehat{T^*S})\big). \tag{1.21}$$

For $t > 0$, put

$$\epsilon_{a,t} = \sqrt{2\pi} \int_S \frac{a^{S\prime}}{4\pi t} \exp\left(d_a^S a^{S\prime}/4\pi t\right) \int^{\widehat{B}} \frac{\widehat{a^{S\prime}}}{\sqrt{t}} \exp\left(-\dot{R}_a^{TS}/2\pi\right). \tag{1.22}$$

By [BG04, Theorem 3.35], we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\epsilon_{a,t} = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{t}), && \text{as } t \to 0, \\ &\epsilon_{a,t} = \mathcal{O}(1/t^{3/2}) && \text{as } t \to \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{1.23}$$

Definition 1.1. Set

$$V_a(S) = \int_0^\infty \epsilon_{a,t} \frac{dt}{t}. \tag{1.24}$$

If $\dim S$ is even, $V_a(S)$ vanishes. By [BG04, Theorem 3.37], if $\dim S$ is odd, $V_a(S)$ does not depend on the L-invariant metric g^{TS}. It is an invariant of (S, a^S).

2. Analytic torsion

The purpose of this Section is to recall the definition of the analytic torsion of flat vector bundles, and to give a formula for its calculation.

Let Z be a smooth closed manifold of dimension m. Let F be a complex flat vector bundle on Z of rank r with flat connection ∇^F. Let $H^{\cdot}(Z,F)=\oplus_{i=0}^m H^i(Z,F)$ be the cohomology of the sheaf of locally flat sections of F. We say that F is acyclic, if $H^{\cdot}(Z,F)=0$.

We define the Euler number and the derived Euler number by

$$\chi(Z,F)=\sum_{i=0}^m(-1)^i\dim_{\mathbf{C}}H^i(Z,F),\qquad \chi'(Z,F)=\sum_{i=0}^m(-1)^i i\dim_{\mathbf{C}}H^i(Z,F). \tag{2.1}$$

Let $\left(\Omega^{\cdot}(Z,F),d^Z\right)$ be the de Rham complex of smooth sections of $\Lambda^{\cdot}(T^*Z)\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}F$ on Z. By the de Rham isomorphism, we have a canonical isomorphism of vector spaces

$$H^{\cdot}\left(\Omega^{\cdot}(Z,F),d^Z\right)\simeq H^{\cdot}(Z,F). \tag{2.2}$$

In the sequel, we will also consider the trivial line bundle $\mathbf{R}$. We denote simply by $H^{\cdot}(Z)$ and $\chi(Z)$ the corresponding object. Note that, in this case, the complex dimension in (2.1) should be replaced by the real dimension.

Let g^{TZ} be a Riemmanian metric on TZ, and let g^F be a Hermitian metric on F. They induce an L^2-metric $\langle\,,\rangle_{\Omega^{\cdot}(Z,F)}$ on $\Omega^{\cdot}(Z,F)$. Let $d^{Z,*}$ be the formal adjoint of d^Z with respect to $\langle\,,\rangle_{\Omega^{\cdot}(Z,F)}$. Put

$$D^Z=d^Z+d^{Z,*},\qquad\qquad \Box^Z=D^{Z,2}=\left[d^Z,d^{Z,*}\right]. \tag{2.3}$$

Then, $\Box^Z$ is a formally self-adjoint second order elliptic operator acting on $\Omega^{\cdot}(Z,F)$. Since Z is compact, $\Box^Z$ has a unique self-adjoint extension, which we still denote by $\Box^Z$.

By the Hodge Theorem, we have a canonical isomorphism of vector spaces

$$\ker(\Box^Z)\simeq H^{\cdot}(Z,F). \tag{2.4}$$

Let P^Z be the orthogonal projection onto $\ker(\Box^Z)$. Let $N^{\Lambda^{\cdot}(T^*Z)}$ be the number operator on $\Lambda^{\cdot}(T^*Z)$. We denote by $\mathrm{Tr_s}[\cdot]=\mathrm{Tr}\left[(-1)^{N^{\Lambda^{\cdot}(T^*Z)}}\cdot\right]$ the supertrace. For $s\in\mathbf{C}$ such that $\mathrm{Re}\,(s)>m/2$, set

$$\theta(s)=-\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{Tr_s}\left[N^{\Lambda^{\cdot}(T^*Z)}\exp\left(-t\,\Box^Z\right)(1-P^Z)\right]t^{s-1}dt. \tag{2.5}$$

By [Se67] or [BeGeVe04, Proposition 9.35], $\theta(s)$ has a meromorphic extension to $\mathbf{C}$ which is holomorphic at $s=0$.

Definition 2.1. The analytic torsion of F is defined by

$$\tau_Z(F,g^{TZ},g^F)=\theta'(0)\in\mathbf{R}. \tag{2.6}$$

We say that F is unitarily flat, if there exists a Hermitian metric g^F on F such that $\nabla^F g^F=0$. By [BZ92, Theorem 4.7], if (F,∇^F,g^F) is acyclic and unitarily flat, then $\tau_Z(F,g^{TZ},g^F)$ is independent of g^{TZ} and the flat metric g^F.

In the sequel, when the metrics g^{TX} and g^F are clear from the context, we write simply

$$\tau_Z(F)=\tau_Z(F,g^{TZ},g^F). \tag{2.7}$$

Now we will describe a formula to calculate $\tau_Z(F)$. For $(s,\sigma)\in\mathbf{C}^2$ such that $\mathrm{Re}\,(s)>m/2$ and $\mathrm{Re}\,(\sigma)>0$, set

$$\theta(s,\sigma)=-\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{Tr_s}\left[N^{\Lambda^\cdot(TZ^*)}\exp\left(-t(\Box^Z+\sigma)\right)\right]t^{s-1}dt. \tag{2.8}$$

By the estimation on heat kernels, $\theta(s,\sigma)$ is holomorphic on this domain.

For $0\leqslant i\leqslant m$ and $\lambda\in\mathbf{R}$, set

$$m_i(\lambda)=\dim_{\mathbf{C}}\ker\left(\lambda-\Box^Z|_{\Omega^i(Z,F)}\right). \tag{2.9}$$

For a meromorphic function f near $\sigma_0\in\mathbf{C}$, we call $\mathrm{ord}(\sigma_0)\in\mathbf{Z}$ the order of zero at σ_0, if near σ_0 we have the Laurent series

$$f(\sigma)=A(\sigma-\sigma_0)^{\mathrm{ord}(\sigma_0)}+\mathcal{O}\left((\sigma-\sigma_0)^{\mathrm{ord}(\sigma_0)+1}\right), \tag{2.10}$$

with $A\in\mathbf{C}$ and $A\neq 0$.

Proposition 2.2. *The following properties hold:*

1. *For $\mathrm{Re}\,(\sigma)>0$ fixed, the function $s\to\theta(s,\sigma)$ has a meromorphic extension to $s\in\mathbf{C}$, which is holomorphic at $s=0$.*
2. *The function $\sigma\to\exp\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\theta(0,\sigma)\right)$ is holomorphic on $\mathrm{Re}\,(\sigma)>0$, which has a meromorphic extension to $\sigma\in\mathbf{C}$.*
3. *The poles and zeros of $\exp\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\theta(0,\sigma)\right)$ belong to the set $\{-\lambda\in\mathbf{C}:\lambda\in\mathrm{Sp}(\Box^Z)\}$. The order of zero at $\sigma=-\lambda$ is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^m(-1)^i i m_i(\lambda)$.*
4. *When $\sigma\to 0$, we have*

$$\exp\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\theta(0,\sigma)\right)=\exp\left(\tau_Z(F)\right)\sigma^{\chi'(Z,F)}+\mathcal{O}(\sigma^{\chi'(Z,F)+1}). \tag{2.11}$$

Proof. Let $0<\lambda_1<\lambda_2<\cdots$ be the nonzero eigenvalues of $\Box^Z$, and let $\lambda_0=0$. For $I\subset\mathbf{R}$, let P_I^Z be the orthogonal projection onto the direct sum of the eigenspaces of $\Box^Z$ associated to the eigenvalues in I.

Take $n\in\mathbf{N}^*$. For $(s,\sigma)\in\mathbf{C}^2$ such that $\mathrm{Re}\,(s)>\frac{m}{2}$ and $\mathrm{Re}\,(\sigma)>0$, set

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1(s,\sigma)&=-\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{Tr_s}\left[N^{\Lambda^\cdot(T^*Z)}\exp\left(-t(\Box^Z+\sigma)\right)P^Z_{[0,\lambda_{n-1}]}\right]t^{s-1}dt,\\
I_2(s,\sigma)&=-\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}\int_1^\infty \mathrm{Tr_s}\left[N^{\Lambda^\cdot(T^*Z)}\exp\left(-t(\Box^Z+\sigma)\right)P^Z_{[\lambda_n,\infty)}\right]t^{s-1}dt,\\
I_3(s,\sigma)&=-\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}\int_0^1 \mathrm{Tr_s}\left[N^{\Lambda^\cdot(T^*Z)}\exp\left(-t(\Box^Z+\sigma)\right)P^Z_{[\lambda_n,\infty)}\right]t^{s-1}dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

By (2.8) and (2.12), we have

$$\theta(s,\sigma)=\sum_{i=1}^3 I_i(s,\sigma). \tag{2.13}$$

We will study individually each $I_i(s,\sigma)$ for $1\leqslant i\leqslant 3$.

First, since the image of $P^Z_{[0,\lambda_{n-1}]}$ has finite dimension, we have

$$I_1(s,\sigma)=\sum_{i=1}^m(-1)^{i-1}i\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\frac{m_i(\lambda_j)}{(\lambda_j+\sigma)^s}. \tag{2.14}$$

Therefore, for $\mathrm{Re}\,(\sigma) > 0$ fixed, the function $s \to I_1(s,\sigma)$ has a holomorphic extension to $s \in \mathbf{C}$ such that

$$\exp\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} I_1(0,\sigma)\right) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (\lambda_j + \sigma)^{\sum_{i=1}^{m} (-1)^i i m_i(\lambda_j)}. \tag{2.15}$$

By (2.15), $\exp\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} I_1(0,\sigma)\right)$ has a meromorphic extension to $\sigma \in \mathbf{C}$, possibly with poles and zeros in the set $\{-\lambda_0, -\lambda_1, \cdots, -\lambda_{n-1}\}$. Moreover, for $0 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$, the order of zero at $\sigma = -\lambda_j$ is equals to $\sum_{i=1}^{m} (-1)^i i m_i(\lambda_j)$.

Second, take $0 < \epsilon < \lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}$. Set $c_n = \epsilon/\lambda_n$. If $\lambda \geqslant \lambda_n$ and $\mathrm{Re}\,(\sigma) > -\lambda_n + \epsilon$, we have

$$\lambda + \mathrm{Re}\,(\sigma) > c_n \lambda. \tag{2.16}$$

By (2.16), for $t \geqslant 1$ and $\mathrm{Re}\,(\sigma) > -\lambda_n + \epsilon$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\mathrm{Tr_s}\left[N^{\Lambda^\cdot(T^*Z)} \exp\left(-t(\Box^Z + \sigma)\right) P^Z_{[\lambda_n,\infty)}\right]\right| &\leqslant m\,\mathrm{Tr}\left[\exp\left(-tc_n\Box^Z\right) P^Z_{[\lambda_n,\infty)}\right] \\ &\leqslant m\,\mathrm{Tr}\left[\exp(-c_n\Box^Z/2)\right] \exp(-c_n\lambda_n t/2). \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Since $\exp(-c_n\Box^Z/2)$ is of trace class, $\mathrm{Tr}\left[\exp(-c_n\Box^Z/2)\right] < \infty$. By (2.12) and (2.17), if $(s,\sigma) \in \mathbf{C}^2$ such that $\mathrm{Re}\,(\sigma) > -\lambda_n + \epsilon$, the integral in I_2 converges. Moreover, the function I_2 is holomorhic on this domain. By letting $\epsilon \to 0$, I_2 is holomorphic on the domain $(s,\sigma) \in \mathbf{C}^2$ such that $\mathrm{Re}\,(\sigma) > -\lambda_n$.

Third, by the short-time estimation of heat kernels, there exist a sequence of real numbers $(a_i)_{i\in\mathbf{N}}$ and a sequence of positive constants $(C_k)_{k\in\mathbf{N}}$, such that for $t \in (0,1]$ and $k \in \mathbf{N}$, we have

$$\left|\mathrm{Tr_s}\left[N^{\Lambda^\cdot(T^*Z)} \exp(-t\Box^Z)\right] - \frac{1}{t^{m/2}} \sum_{i=0}^{k} a_i t^i\right| \leqslant C_k t^{k+1-m/2}. \tag{2.18}$$

Using again the fact that the dimension of the image of $P^Z_{[0,\lambda_{n-1}]}$ is finite, there exist another sequence of real numbers $(a'_i)_{i\in\mathbf{N}}$ and another sequence of positive constants $(C'_k)_{k\in\mathbf{N}}$, , which depend on n, such that for $t \in (0,1]$ and $k \in \mathbf{N}$, we have

$$\left|\mathrm{Tr_s}\left[N^{\Lambda^\cdot(T^*Z)} \exp(-t\Box^Z) P^Z_{[\lambda_n,\infty)}\right] - \frac{1}{t^{m/2}} \sum_{i=0}^{k} a'_i t^i\right| \leqslant C'_k t^{k+1-m/2}. \tag{2.19}$$

Take $k \in \mathbf{N}$. By (2.19), the function

$$(s,\sigma) \to \int_0^1 \left(\mathrm{Tr_s}\left[N^{\Lambda^\cdot(T^*Z)} \exp(-t\Box^Z) P^Z_{[\lambda_n,\infty)}\right] - \frac{1}{t^{m/2}} \sum_{i=0}^{k} a'_i t^i\right) e^{-\sigma t} t^{s-1} dt \tag{2.20}$$

is well defined and holomorphic on the domain $(s,\sigma) \in \mathbf{C}^2$ such that $\mathrm{Re}\,(s) > -k - 1 + m/2$.

Using $s\Gamma(s) = \Gamma(s+1)$ and

$$\int_0^1 e^{-\sigma t} t^{s-1} dt = \frac{e^{-\sigma}}{s} + \frac{\sigma}{s} \int_0^1 e^{-\sigma t} t^s dt, \tag{2.21}$$

we deduce that the function

$$(s,\sigma)\to\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}\int_0^1\sum_{i=0}^{k}a_i'e^{-\sigma t}t^{s+i-m/2-1}dt \tag{2.22}$$

has a meromorphic extension to $(s,\sigma)\in\mathbf{C}^2$. The possible pole is in $\{m/2-i\}\times\mathbf{C}$ with $i\in\mathbf{N}$ and $i\neq m/2$.

By (2.12), (2.20), (2.22) and by letting $k\to\infty$, the function I_3 has a meromorphic extension to $\mathbf{C}^2$. The possible pole is in $\{m/2-i\}\times\mathbf{C}$ with $i\in\mathbf{N}$ and $i\neq m/2$. In particular, I_3 is holomorphic at $\{0\}\times\mathbf{C}$.

In summary, by (2.13), for $\mathrm{Re}\,(\sigma)>0$ fixed, the function $s\to\theta(s,\sigma)$ has a meromorphic extension to $\mathbf{C}$, which is holomorphic at $s=0$. Moreover, $\exp\big(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\theta(0,\sigma)\big)$ is meromorphic for $\mathrm{Re}\,(\sigma)>-\lambda_n$, with possible poles and zeros at $\sigma=-\lambda_0,\cdots,-\lambda_{n-1}$. By letting $n\to\infty$, $\exp\big(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\theta(0,\sigma)\big)$ is meromorphic on $\mathbf{C}$, with possible poles and zeros at $\sigma=-\lambda_i$, for $i\in\mathbf{N}$. Moreover, the order of zero at $\sigma=-\lambda_j$ is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^{m}(-1)^i i m_i(\lambda_j)$.

It remains to show (2.11). Take $n=1$ in (2.12). By (2.15),

$$\exp\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}I_1(0,\sigma)\right)=\sigma^{\chi'(X,F)}. \tag{2.23}$$

By (2.5) and (2.12), as $\sigma\to 0$, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}I_2(0,\sigma)+\frac{\partial}{\partial s}I_3(0,\sigma)=\theta'(0)+\mathcal{O}(\sigma). \tag{2.24}$$

By (2.13), (2.23), (2.24), we get (2.11). The proof of Proposition 2.2 is completed. □

Remark 2.3. Let P be a general self-adjoint elliptic differential operator, which is bounded from below, acting on smooth sections of a Euclidean vector bundle on Z. For $(s,\sigma)\in\mathbf{C}^2$ such that $\mathrm{Re}\,(s)\gg 1$, $\mathrm{Re}\,(\sigma)\gg 1$, set

$$\theta_P(s,\sigma)=-\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{Tr}\left[\exp\big(-t(P+\sigma)\big)\right]t^{s-1}dt. \tag{2.25}$$

By the same argument, we can show that: for $\mathrm{Re}\,(\sigma)\gg 1$ fixed, the function $s\to\theta_P(s,\sigma)$ has a meromorphic extension to $s\in\mathbf{C}$, which is holomorphic at $s=0$; the function $\sigma\to\exp\big(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\theta_P(0,\sigma)\big)$ has a holomorphic extension to $\sigma\in\mathbf{C}$. Its zero belongs to the set $\{-\lambda\in\mathbf{C}:\lambda\in\mathrm{Sp}(P)\}$ and the order equals the multiplicity.

In the sequel, we assume that Z is connected. Let $\Gamma=\pi_1(Z)$ be the fundamental group of Z. Let X be a universal cover of Z. The group Γ acts on the left on X as a deck transformation, so that $Z=\Gamma\backslash X$.

Recall that $r\in\mathbf{N}^*$ is the rank of the flat vector bundle (F,∇^F). Let $\rho:\Gamma\to\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbf{C})$ be the holonomy of F. Then, Γ acts on the left on $X\times\mathbf{C}^r$ by the deck transformation and ρ, so that

$$F\simeq\Gamma\backslash(X\times\mathbf{C}^r), \tag{2.26}$$

and that the trivial connection on the trivial vector bundle $\mathbf{C}^r$ on X descends to the flat connection ∇^F on F. Moreover, if F is unitarily flat with flat Hermitian metric g^F, then ρ can be reduced to a unitary representation $\rho:\Gamma\to\mathrm{U}(r)$, so that the canonical metric on $\mathbf{C}^r$ descends to g^F.

3. An example: the circle

The purpose of this section is to show Theorem 0.1 when Z is a circle. Most of the results of this section will be extended to the case where Z is a general closed locally symmetric reductive manifold.

This section is organized as follows. In Section 3.1, we calculate the V-invariant of the circle equipped with the canonical circle action.

In Section 3.2, we introduce a flat vector bundle F on the circle. We define the corresponding dynamical zeta function. When F is unitarily flat, we calculate its analytic torsion. In particular, we show Theorem 0.1 in this case.

3.1. **The V-invariant of circle.** Let $X = \mathbf{R}$ be the real line with the canonical metric. Let $Z = \mathbf{Z}\backslash\mathbf{R}$ be the circle with the induced metric g^{TZ}. Clearly, $\pi_1(Z) = \mathbf{Z}$.

Let $L = \mathbf{Z}\backslash\mathbf{R}$ be the circle group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{l}$. Then $\mathfrak{l} = \mathbf{R}$, and the exponential map is the canonical projection

$$\exp : x \in \mathbf{R} \to [x] \in \mathbf{Z}\backslash\mathbf{R}. \tag{3.1}$$

The group L acts on Z by translation, that is for $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$([x], [y]) \in L \times Z \to [x + y] \in Z. \tag{3.2}$$

Proposition 3.1. *For $a \in \mathbf{R}$, the following identity holds:*

$$V_a(Z) = -\frac{1}{2|a|}. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. Take $a \in \mathbf{R} = \mathfrak{l}$. By (1.14), we have

$$a^Z = a\frac{\partial}{\partial x}. \tag{3.4}$$

By (1.22) and (3.4), we get

$$\epsilon_{a,t} = -\frac{a^2}{2\sqrt{2\pi}t^{3/2}} \exp\left(-a^2/2t\right). \tag{3.5}$$

From (1.24) and (3.5), we deduce (3.3). The proof of Proposition 3.1 is completed. □

3.2. **Dynamical zeta function and analytic torsion of the circle.** Let F be a complex flat vector bundle on Z of rank r with holonomy ρ. There exists $A \in \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbf{C})$, so that

$$\rho : n \in \mathbf{Z} \to A^n \in \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbf{C}). \tag{3.6}$$

Proposition 3.2. *The following identity holds:*

$$\dim_{\mathbf{C}} H^0(Z, F) = \dim_{\mathbf{C}} H^1(Z, F) = \dim_{\mathbf{C}} \ker(A - 1). \tag{3.7}$$

In particular, F is acyclic if and only if $1 \notin \mathrm{Sp}(A)$.

Proof. By definition,

$$C^\infty(Z, F) = \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C}^r) : f(1) = Af(0)\}. \tag{3.8}$$

Then, $H^0(Z, F)$ can be identified with the space of $\mathbf{C}^r$-valued constant functions f on $\mathbf{R}$ such that $f(1) = Af(0)$. Therefore, we have the isomorphism

$$f \in H^0(Z, F) \to f(0) \in \ker(A - 1). \tag{3.9}$$

By (3.9), we get $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} H^0(Z, F) = \dim_{\mathbf{C}} \ker(A - 1)$.

Using $\chi(Z,F)=r\chi(Z)=0$, we get the second equation of (3.7). The proof of Proposition 3.2 is completed. □

Definition 3.3. For $\mathrm{Re}\,(\sigma)\gg 1$, set

$$R_\rho(\sigma)=\exp\Big(-2\sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}\setminus\{0\}}\mathrm{Tr}[A^n]V_n(Z)e^{-|n|\sigma}\Big). \tag{3.10}$$

Proposition 3.4. *The function $R_\rho(\sigma)$ has a meromorphic extension to $\mathbf{C}$, such that*

$$R_\rho(\sigma)=\det(1-Ae^{-\sigma})^{-1}\det(1-A^{-1}e^{-\sigma})^{-1}. \tag{3.11}$$

Moreover, if F is acyclic, then R_ρ is holomorphic at $\sigma=0$.

Proof. It is a consequence of (3.3), (3.7) and (3.10). □

Recall that, if F is unitarily flat with flat Hermitian metric g^F, then $A\in\mathrm{U}(r)$. In this case, $\tau_Z(F)$ and $\exp\big(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\theta(0,\sigma)\big)$ are defined in (2.7) and (2.8) with respect to g^{TZ} and g^F.

Proposition 3.5. *Assume that F is unitarily flat. The following identity of meromorphic functions on $\mathbf{C}$ holds:*

$$R_\rho(\sigma)=e^{r\sigma}\exp\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\theta\left(0,\sigma^2\right)\right). \tag{3.12}$$

As $\sigma\to 0$, we have

$$R_\rho(\sigma)=\exp\big(\tau_Z(F)\big)\sigma^{-2\dim_{\mathbf{C}}\ker(A-1)}+\mathcal{O}(\sigma^{-2\dim_{\mathbf{C}}\ker(A-1)+1}). \tag{3.13}$$

In particular, if F is acyclic, we have

$$R_\rho(0)=\exp\big(\tau_Z(F)\big). \tag{3.14}$$

Proof. For $t>0$, let $p_t^Z(x,y)$ be the smooth intergal kernel of $\exp(-t\Box^Z/2)$ with respect to the Riemmanian volume on Z. For $t>0$ and $x\in Z$, we have

$$-\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}{}^{\Lambda^\cdot(T^*Z)\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}F}\left[N^{\Lambda^\cdot(T^*Z)}p_t^Z(x,x)\right]=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}}\sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}}\mathrm{Tr}\left[A^n\right]\exp\left(-n^2/2t\right). \tag{3.15}$$

By (2.8), (3.15) and by Fubini Theorem, for $(s,\sigma)\in\mathbf{C}\times\mathbf{R}$ such that $\mathrm{Re}\,(s)>1/2$ and $\sigma>0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(s,\sigma)&=\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}\Gamma(s)}\int_0^\infty\sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}}\mathrm{Tr}\left[A^n\right]\exp\left(-n^2/4t-\sigma t\right)t^{s-3/2}dt\\&=\frac{r\sigma^{1/2-s}}{\sqrt{4\pi}}\frac{\Gamma(s-1/2)}{\Gamma(s)}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}\Gamma(s)}\sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}\setminus\{0\}}\mathrm{Tr}[A^n]\int_0^\infty\exp(-n^2/4t-\sigma t)t^{s-3/2}dt.\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Using the formula that for $B_1>0, B_2\geqslant 0$,

$$\int_0^\infty\exp\left(-\frac{B_1}{t}-B_2t\right)\frac{dt}{t^{3/2}}=\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{B_1}}\exp\left(-2\sqrt{B_1B_2}\right), \tag{3.17}$$

from (3.16), we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\theta(0,\sigma)=-r\sqrt{\sigma}+\sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}\setminus\{0\}}\frac{\mathrm{Tr}[A^n]}{|n|}\exp(-|n|\sqrt{\sigma}). \tag{3.18}$$

By (3.3), (3.10) and (3.18), we get (3.12) for $\sigma>0$. Since both sides of (3.12) are meromorphic, we get (3.12).

Equations (3.13) and (3.14) are the consequences of (2.11), (3.7) and (3.12). The proof of Proposition 3.5 is completed. □

Remark 3.6. For $M \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbf{C}^m)$, we denote by $\det^*(M)$ the product of nonzero eigenvalues, counting multiplicity. From (3.11) and (3.13), we deduce that, for any unitarily flat vector bundle F, we have

$$\exp\big(\tau_Z(F)\big) = \det{}^*(1-A)^{-1}\det{}^*(1-A^{-1})^{-1}. \tag{3.19}$$

4. Orbital integrals and Selberg trace formula

The purpose of this section is to recall the Bismut's formula for semisimple orbital integrals [B11, Theorem 6.1.1] and the Selberg trace formula. If G is a real reductive group with maximal compact subgroup K, and if $\Gamma \subset G$ is a discrete torsion-free cocompact subgroup of G, the Hodge Laplacian $\Box^Z$ on the closed locally symmetric space $Z = \Gamma\backslash G/K$ can be identified with the Casimir element of G. The Selberg trace formula asserts that the heat trace of $\Box^Z$ can be written as a sum of semisimple orbital integrals over the conjugacy classes of Γ. Bismut's formula gives an explicit expression for the corresponding semisimple orbital integrals. They are our main analytic tools.

This section is organized as follows. In Sections 4.1 and 4.2, we introduce the real reductive group G and the associated Riemannian symmetric space $X = G/K$.

In Sections 4.3 and 4.4, we introduce the semisimple elements of G, and we recall some related constructions.

In Section 4.5, we introduce the regular elements of G, which is a special case of semisimple elements. We also recall some properties of Cartan subalgebras of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G. We introduce the nonnegative integer $\delta(G)$, which has paramount importance in the whole article.

In Section 4.6, we define an algebra of G-invariant integral operators on X. For such an operator, we construct its semisimple orbital integral.

In Section 4.7, we recall the Bismut's formula for semisimple orbital integrals of the heat operator of the Casimir element.

In Section 4.8, we introduce the discrete torsion-free cocompact subgroup Γ of G. We recall the Selberg trace formula.

4.1. **The real reductive group.**

Let G be a linear connected real reductive group [K86, p. 3], that means G is a closed connected group of real matrices that is stable under transpose. Let $\theta \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ be the Cartan involution. Let K be the maximal compact subgroup of G of the points of G that are fixed by θ.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of G, and let $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of K. The Cartan involution θ acts naturally as Lie algebra automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then $\mathfrak{k}$ is the eigenspace of θ associated with the eigenvalue 1. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be the eigenspace with the eigenvalue -1, so that

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{k}. \tag{4.1}$$

Then we have

$$[\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{k}] \subset \mathfrak{k}, \qquad [\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{k}, \qquad [\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{p}. \tag{4.2}$$

Put

$$m = \dim \mathfrak{p}, \qquad n = \dim \mathfrak{k}. \tag{4.3}$$

By [K86, Proposition 1.2], we have the diffeomorphism

$$(Y, k) \in \mathfrak{p} \times K \to e^Y k \in G. \tag{4.4}$$

Let B be a real-valued nondegenerate bilinear symmetric form on $\mathfrak{g}$ which is invariant under the adjoint action Ad of G on $\mathfrak{g}$, and also under θ. Then (4.1) is an orthogonal splitting of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to B. We assume B to be positive on $\mathfrak{p}$, and negative on $\mathfrak{k}$. The form $\langle \cdot, , \cdot \rangle = -B(\cdot, \theta \cdot)$ defines an $\mathrm{Ad}(K)$-invariant scalar product on $\mathfrak{g}$ such that the splitting (4.1) is still orthogonal. We denote by $|\cdot|$ the corresponding norm.

Let $Z_G \subset G$ be the center of G with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Set

$$\mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{p}, \qquad \mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{k}. \tag{4.5}$$

By [K86, Corollary 1.3], Z_G is reductive. As in (4.1) and (4.4), we have the Cartan decomposition

$$\mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{k}, \qquad Z_G = \exp(\mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{p})(Z_G \cap K). \tag{4.6}$$

Let $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbf{C} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes_\mathbf{R} \mathbf{C}$ be the complexification of $\mathfrak{g}$ and let $\mathfrak{u} = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$ be the compact form of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $G_\mathbf{C}$ and U be the connected group of complex matrices associated to the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathfrak{u}$. By [K86, Propositions 5.3 and 5.6], if G has a compact center, $G_\mathbf{C}$ is a linear connected complex reductive group with maximal compact subgroup U.

Let $\kappa^\mathfrak{g} \in \Lambda^3(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ be such that if $U, V, W \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$\kappa^\mathfrak{g}(U, V, W) = B([U, V], W). \tag{4.7}$$

The bilinear form B induces a bilinear form B^* on $\Lambda^\cdot(\mathfrak{g}^*)$. If $e_1, \cdots, e_m$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{p}$, and if $e_{m+1}, \cdots, e_{n+m}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{k}$, by [B11, Equation (2.6.10)], we have

$$B^*(\kappa^\mathfrak{g}, \kappa^\mathfrak{g}) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant m} |[e_i, e_j]|^2 - \frac{1}{6} \sum_{m+1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant m+n} |[e_i, e_j]|^2. \tag{4.8}$$

Let $\kappa^\mathfrak{k}$ be the analogue of $\kappa^\mathfrak{g}$ associated to the restriction of B to $\mathfrak{k}$. By [B11, Equation (2.6.5)], we have

$$B^*(\kappa^\mathfrak{k}, \kappa^\mathfrak{k}) = -\frac{1}{6} \sum_{m+1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant m+n} |[e_i, e_j]|^2. \tag{4.9}$$

Let $U(\mathfrak{g})$ be the enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, and let $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset U(\mathfrak{g})$ be the center of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. We identify $U(\mathfrak{g})$ with the algebra of left-invariant differential operators on G. Let $C^\mathfrak{g} \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ be the Casimir element. Then,

$$C^\mathfrak{g} = -\sum_{i=1}^{m} e_i^2 + \sum_{i=m+1}^{n+m} e_i^2. \tag{4.10}$$

Classically, $C^\mathfrak{g} \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$.

The bilinear form B extends to $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbf{C}$ by $\mathbf{C}$-linearity. Its restriction to $\mathfrak{u}$ is negative. Let $C^\mathfrak{u}$ be the Casimir element of $\mathfrak{u}$ that is associated with $B|_\mathfrak{u}$. By (4.10), we have

$$C^\mathfrak{g} = C^\mathfrak{u}. \tag{4.11}$$

4.2. **The symmetric space G/K.** Let $\omega^{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the canonical left-invariant 1-form on G with values in $\mathfrak{g}$, and let $\omega^{\mathfrak{p}}, \omega^{\mathfrak{k}}$ be its components in $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{k}$, so that

$$\omega^{\mathfrak{g}} = \omega^{\mathfrak{p}} + \omega^{\mathfrak{k}}. \tag{4.12}$$

Let $X = G/K$ be the associated symmetric space. Then

$$p : G \to X = G/K \tag{4.13}$$

is a K-principle bundle, equipped with the connection form $\omega^{\mathfrak{k}}$. By (4.2) and (4.12), the curvature of $\omega^{\mathfrak{k}}$ is given by

$$\Omega^{\mathfrak{k}} = -\frac{1}{2}\left[\omega^{\mathfrak{p}}, \omega^{\mathfrak{p}}\right]. \tag{4.14}$$

Let τ be a finite dimensional orthogonal representation of K on the real Euclidean space E_τ. Then $\mathcal{E}_\tau = G \times_K E_\tau$ is a real Euclidean vector bundle on X, which is naturally equipped with a Euclidean connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}_\tau}$. The space of smooth sections $C^\infty(X, \mathcal{E}_\tau)$ on X can be identified with the K-invariant subspace $C^\infty(G, E_\tau)^K$ of smooth E_τ-valued functions on G. Let $C^{\mathfrak{g},X,\tau}$ be the Casimir element of G acting on $C^\infty(X, \mathcal{E}_\tau)$.

Observe that K acts isometrically on $\mathfrak{p}$ by adjoint action. Using the above construction, the tangent bundle

$$TX = G \times_K \mathfrak{p} \tag{4.15}$$

is equipped with a Euclidean metric g^{TX} and a Euclidean connection ∇^{TX}. Also, ∇^{TX} is the Levi-Civita connection on (TX, g^{TX}) with curvature R^{TX}. By (4.14) and (4.15), for $U, V, W \in TX$, we have

$$R^{TX}(U, V)W = -[[U, V], W]. \tag{4.16}$$

Then, (X, g^{TX}) is a Riemannian manifold of nonpositive sectional curvature. For $x, y \in X$, we denote by $d_X(x, y)$ the Riemannian distance on X. It is a G-invariant function.

If $E_\tau = \Lambda^\cdot(\mathfrak{p}^*)$, then $C^\infty(X, \mathcal{E}_\tau) = \Omega^\cdot(X)$. In this case, we write $C^{\mathfrak{g},X} = C^{\mathfrak{g},X,\tau}$. By [B11, Proposition 7.8.1], $C^{\mathfrak{g},X}$ coincides with the Hodge Laplacian acting on $\Omega^\cdot(X)$.

4.3. **Semisimple elements.** If $\gamma \in G$, we denote by $Z(\gamma) \subset G$ the centralizer of γ in G, and by $\mathfrak{z}(\gamma) \subset \mathfrak{g}$ its Lie algebra. If $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, let $Z(a) \subset G$ be the stabilizer of a in G, and let $\mathfrak{z}(a) \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be its Lie algebra.

Following [B11, Section 3.1], $\gamma \in G$ is said to be semisimple, if the displacement function $d_\gamma : x \in X \to d_X(x, \gamma x)$ has a minimum point in X. Let $\gamma \in G$ be semisimple, and let $X(\gamma) \subset X$ be the closed subset of X where d_γ takes its minimum value l_γ. Clearly, $Z(\gamma)$ acts on $X(\gamma)$. By [B11, Theorem 3.1.2], if $g \in G$ and $x = pg \in X$, then $x \in X(\gamma)$ if and only if there are $a \in \mathfrak{p}, k \in K$ with $\mathrm{Ad}(k)a = a$ such that

$$\gamma = g e^a k^{-1} g^{-1}. \tag{4.17}$$

Moreover, we have $l_\gamma = |a|$.

We fix one $g_0 \in G$ such that (4.17) holds with $g = g_0$. Set

$$\mathfrak{p}_{\gamma,g_0} = \mathrm{Ad}(g_0)\mathfrak{p}, \qquad \mathfrak{k}_{\gamma,g_0} = \mathrm{Ad}(g_0)\mathfrak{k}. \tag{4.18}$$

Then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}_{\gamma,g_0} \oplus \mathfrak{k}_{\gamma,g_0}$ is a Cartan decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$. Because of above, we may assume that $g_0 = 1$, so that

$$\gamma = e^a k^{-1}, \qquad a \in \mathfrak{p}, \tag{4.19}$$
$$k \in K, \qquad \mathrm{Ad}(k)a = a.$$

By [B11, Equations (3.3.4), (3.3.6)], we have

$$Z(\gamma) = Z(a) \cap Z(k), \qquad \mathfrak{z}(\gamma) = \mathfrak{z}(a) \cap \mathfrak{z}(k). \tag{4.20}$$

Set

$$\mathfrak{p}(\gamma) = \mathfrak{z}(\gamma) \cap \mathfrak{p}, \qquad \mathfrak{k}(\gamma) = \mathfrak{z}(\gamma) \cap \mathfrak{k}. \tag{4.21}$$

From (4.20) and (4.21), we get

$$\mathfrak{z}(\gamma) = \mathfrak{p}(\gamma) \oplus \mathfrak{k}(\gamma). \tag{4.22}$$

By [K02, Proposition 7.25], $Z(\gamma)$ is a reductive subgroup of G with maximal compact subgroup $K(\gamma) = Z(\gamma) \cap K$, and with Cartan decomposition (4.22). By [B11, Theorem 3.3.1], the action of $Z(\gamma)$ on $X(\gamma)$ is transitive, and $g \in Z(\gamma) \to pg \in X$ induces the identification of $Z(\gamma)$-manifolds,

$$X(\gamma) \simeq Z(\gamma)/K(\gamma). \tag{4.23}$$

Let $Z^0(\gamma)$ be the connected component of the identity in $Z(\gamma)$. Then $Z^0(\gamma)$ is a reductive subgroup of G, with maximal compact subgroup $Z^0(\gamma) \cap K$. Also, $Z^0(\gamma) \cap K$ coincides with $K^0(\gamma)$, the connected component of the identity in $K(\gamma)$. As in (4.23), we have the identification of $Z^0(\gamma)$-manifolds,

$$X(\gamma) \simeq Z^0(\gamma)/K^0(\gamma). \tag{4.24}$$

Let $\mathfrak{p}^\perp(\gamma), \mathfrak{k}^\perp(\gamma)$ be the respective orthogonal spaces to $\mathfrak{p}(\gamma), \mathfrak{k}(\gamma)$ in $\mathfrak{p}$, $\mathfrak{k}$. Then

$$\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}(\gamma) \oplus \mathfrak{p}^\perp(\gamma), \qquad \mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}(\gamma) \oplus \mathfrak{k}^\perp(\gamma). \tag{4.25}$$

The group $K^0(\gamma)$ acts on $\mathfrak{p}^\perp(\gamma)$ by adjoint action. By [B11, Theorem 3.4.1], we have a diffeomorphism of $Z^0(\gamma)$-spaces,

$$(g, f) \in Z^0(\gamma) \times_{K^0(\gamma)} \mathfrak{p}^\perp(\gamma) \to p(ge^f) \in X. \tag{4.26}$$

Moreover, there exists $C_\gamma > 0$ such that if $f \in \mathfrak{p}^\perp(\gamma)$, $|f| \geqslant 1$, we have

$$d_X(pe^f, p\gamma e^f) \geqslant |a| + C_\gamma |f|. \tag{4.27}$$

The group $K^0(\gamma)$ acts on the left on K by translation, and so it also acts on the left on $\mathfrak{p}^\perp(\gamma) \times K$. Over $K^0(\gamma)\backslash K$, one obtains the vector bundle $\mathfrak{p}^\perp(\gamma)_{K^0(\gamma)} \times K$. By [B11, Theorem 3.4.3], we have a diffeomorphism of $Z^0(\gamma)$-spaces,

$$(g, f, k') \in Z^0(\gamma) \times_{K^0(\gamma)} (\mathfrak{p}^\perp(\gamma) \times K) \to ge^f k' \in G. \tag{4.28}$$

By (4.28), we have a diffeomorphism of right K-spaces,

$$\mathfrak{p}^\perp(\gamma)_{K^0(\gamma)} \times K = Z^0(\gamma)\backslash G. \tag{4.29}$$

Let dv_X, $dv_{X(\gamma)}$, df be the respective Riemannian volumes on $X, X(\gamma), \mathfrak{p}^\perp(\gamma)$ induced by $-B(\cdot, \theta\cdot)$. By (4.26), there is a smooth positive $\mathrm{Ad}\left(K^0(\gamma)\right)$-invariant function $r(f)$ on $\mathfrak{p}^\perp(\gamma)$, such that

$$dv_X = r(f) dv_{X(\gamma)} df. \tag{4.30}$$

By [B11, Equation (3.4.36)], there exist $C_\gamma > 0$, $C'_\gamma > 0$ such that for any $f \in \mathfrak{p}^\perp(\gamma)$,

$$|r(f)| \leqslant C_\gamma \exp(C'_\gamma |f|). \tag{4.31}$$

Let $dv_{K^0(\gamma)\backslash K}$ and $dv_{Z^0(\gamma)\backslash G}$ be the respective Riemannian volumes on $K^0(\gamma)\backslash K$ and $Z^0(\gamma)\backslash G$ induced by $-B(\cdot, \theta\cdot)$. By (4.29) and (4.30), we have

$$dv_{Z^0(\gamma)\backslash G} = r(f) dv_{K^0(\gamma)\backslash K} df. \tag{4.32}$$

4.4. **Semisimple nonelliptic elements.** We say that $\gamma \in G$ is elliptic if γ is semisimple such that $l_\gamma = 0$.

Let $\gamma \in G$ be semisimple and nonelliptic. We can assume $\gamma = e^a k^{-1}$ such that (4.19) holds and that $a \neq 0$. By (4.20), $a \in \mathfrak{p}(\gamma)$. Let $\mathfrak{z}^{a,\perp}(\gamma), \mathfrak{p}^{a,\perp}(\gamma)$ be respectively the orthogonal spaces to a in $\mathfrak{z}(\gamma), \mathfrak{p}(\gamma)$. Thus,

$$\mathfrak{z}^{a,\perp}(\gamma) = \mathfrak{p}^{a,\perp}(\gamma) \oplus \mathfrak{k}(\gamma). \tag{4.33}$$

Moreover, $\mathfrak{z}^{a,\perp}(\gamma)$ is a Lie algebra. Let $Z^{a,\perp,0}(\gamma)$ be the connected subgroup of $Z^0(\gamma)$ that is associated with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{z}^{a,\perp}(\gamma)$. By [B11, Equation (3.3.11)], $Z^{a,\perp,0}(\gamma)$ is reductive with maximal compact subgroup $K^0(\gamma)$ with Cartan decomposition (4.33), and

$$Z^0(\gamma) = \mathbf{R} \times Z^{a,\perp,0}(\gamma), \tag{4.34}$$

so that e^{ta} maps into $t|a|$. By [B11, Equation (3.3.19)], the map $g \in Z^{a,\perp,0}(\gamma) \to pg \in X$ surjects on a submanifold $X^{a,\perp}(\gamma)$ of $X(\gamma)$, so that

$$X^{a,\perp}(\gamma) \simeq Z^{a,\perp,0}(\gamma)/K^0(\gamma). \tag{4.35}$$

By (4.24), (4.34) and (4.35), we have

$$X(\gamma) = \mathbf{R} \times X^{a,\perp}(\gamma), \tag{4.36}$$

so that the action e^{ta} on $X(\gamma)$ is just the translation by $t|a|$ on $\mathbf{R}$.

4.5. **Cartan subgroups and regular elements.** A θ-stable Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ is a maximal θ-invariant abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. By [K86, Theorem 5.22], there is only a finite number of nonconjugate θ-stable Cartan subalgebras $\mathfrak{h}_1, \cdots, \mathfrak{h}_{l_0}$.

Take $1 \leqslant i \leqslant l_0$. The associated Cartan subgroup $H_i \subset G$ is defined by the centralizer of $\mathfrak{h}_i$ in G. By [K86, Theorem 5.22 (c)] and [K02, Proposition 7.25], H_i is abelian and reductive. Set

$$\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{h}_i \cap \mathfrak{p}, \qquad \mathfrak{h}_{i\mathfrak{k}} = \mathfrak{h}_i \cap \mathfrak{k}. \tag{4.37}$$

We have the Cartan decomposition

$$\mathfrak{h}_i = \mathfrak{h}_{i\mathfrak{p}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{i\mathfrak{k}}, \qquad H_i = \exp(\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathfrak{p}})(H_i \cap K). \tag{4.38}$$

We call $\dim \mathfrak{h}_{i\mathfrak{p}}$ the noncompact dimension of $\mathfrak{h}_i$. Note that H_i is not necessarily connected. Let $W(H_i, G)$ be the corresponding Weyl group. If $N_K(\mathfrak{h}_i) \subset K$ and $Z_K(\mathfrak{h}_i) \subset K$ are respectively the normalizer and centralizer of $\mathfrak{h}_i$ in K, then

$$W(H_i, G) = N_K(\mathfrak{h}_i)/Z_K(\mathfrak{h}_i). \tag{4.39}$$

In the whole article, we fix a maximal torus T of K. Let $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{k}$ be the Lie algebra of T. Set

$$\mathfrak{b} = \{Y \in \mathfrak{p} : [Y, \mathfrak{t}] = 0\}. \tag{4.40}$$

Put

$$\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{t}. \tag{4.41}$$

By [K86, Theorem 5.22 (b)], $\mathfrak{h}$ is the θ-stable Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ with the minimal noncompact dimension. Also, every θ-stable Cartan subalgebra with minimal noncompact dimension is conjugated to $\mathfrak{h}$ by element of K. Moreover, the corresponding Cartan subgroup $H \subset G$ of G is connected. Thus,

$$H = \exp(\mathfrak{b})T. \tag{4.42}$$

Up to a reindexing, we can suppose that $\mathfrak{h}_1 = \mathfrak{h}$ and $H_1 = H$.

Note that the complexification $\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{C}} = \mathfrak{h}_i \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}$ of $\mathfrak{h}_i$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$. All the $\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{C}}$ are conjugated by inner automorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$. Their common complex dimension $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} \mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{C}}$ is called the complex rank $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{C}}(G)$ of G.

Definition 4.1. Put

$$\delta(G) = \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{C}}(G) - \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{C}}(K) \in \mathbf{N}. \tag{4.43}$$

By (4.40) and (4.43), we have

$$\delta(G) = \dim \mathfrak{b}. \tag{4.44}$$

Note that $m - \delta(G)$ is even. We will see that $\delta(G)$ plays an important role in our article.

Remark 4.2. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a reductive Lie algebra, then $\delta(\mathfrak{g}) \in \mathbf{N}$ can be defined in the same way as in (4.44). Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is reductive, by [K02, Corolary 1.56], we have

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}], \tag{4.45}$$

where $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ is a semisimple Lie algebra. By (4.6) and (4.45), we have

$$\delta(\mathfrak{g}) = \dim \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{p}} + \delta([\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]). \tag{4.46}$$

Proposition 4.3. *The element $\gamma \in G$ is semisimple if and only if γ can be conjugated into $\cup_{i=1}^{l_0} H_i$ by element of G. In this case,*

$$\delta(G) \leqslant \delta(Z^0(\gamma)). \tag{4.47}$$

The two sides of (4.47) *are equal if and only if γ can be conjugated into H by element of G.*

Proof. If $\gamma \in H_i$, by the Cartan decomposition (4.38), there exist $a \in \mathfrak{h}_{i\mathfrak{p}}$ and $k \in K \cap H_i$ such that $\gamma = e^a k^{-1}$. As H_i is abelian, we have $\mathrm{Ad}(k)a = a$. Thus, γ is semisimple.

Assume that $\gamma \in G$ is semisimple such that (4.19) holds. We claim that

$$\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{C}}(G) = \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{C}}(Z^0(\gamma)). \tag{4.48}$$

Indeed, let $\mathfrak{h}' \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be a θ-invariant Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ containing a. Then, $\mathfrak{h}' \subset \mathfrak{z}(a)$. It implies

$$\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{C}}(G) = \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{C}}(Z^0(a)). \tag{4.49}$$

By choosing a maximal torus T containing k, by (4.41), we have $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{z}(k)$. Then

$$\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{C}}(G) = \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{C}}(Z^0(k)). \tag{4.50}$$

If we replace G by $Z^0(a)$ in (4.50), by (4.20), we get

$$\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{C}}(Z^0(a)) = \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{C}}(Z^0(\gamma)). \tag{4.51}$$

By (4.49) and (4.51), we get (4.48).

Let $\mathfrak{h}(\gamma) \subset \mathfrak{z}(\gamma)$ be the θ-invariant Cartan subalgebra defined as (4.41) when G is replaced by $Z^0(\gamma)$. By (4.48), $\mathfrak{h}(\gamma)$ is also a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Moreover, γ is an element of the Cartan subgroup of G associated to $\mathfrak{h}(\gamma)$. In particular, γ can be conjugated into some H_i.

By the minimality of noncompact dimension of $\mathfrak{h}$, we have

$$\delta(G) = \dim \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{p} \leqslant \dim \mathfrak{h}(\gamma) \cap \mathfrak{p} = \delta(Z^0(\gamma)), \tag{4.52}$$

which finishes the proof of (4.47).

It is evident that if γ can be conjugated into H, the equality in (4.52) holds. For the other direction, if the equality in (4.52) holds, there is $k' \in K$ such that

$$\mathrm{Ad}(k')\mathfrak{h}(\gamma) = \mathfrak{h}. \tag{4.53}$$

It implies $\mathrm{Ad}(k')\gamma \in H$. The proof of Proposition 4.3 is completed. □

For $0 \leqslant j \leqslant m + n - \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{C}}(G)$, let D_j be the analytic function on G such that for $t \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\gamma \in G$, we have

$$\det\big(t + 1 - \mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\big)|_{\mathfrak{g}} = t^{\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{C}}(G)} \bigg(\sum_{j=0}^{m+n-\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{C}}(G)} D_j(\gamma) t^j \bigg). \tag{4.54}$$

If $\gamma \in H_i$, then

$$D_0(\gamma) = \det\big(1 - \mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\big)|_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_i}. \tag{4.55}$$

We call $\gamma \in G$ regular if $D_0(\gamma) \neq 0$. Let $G' \subset G$ be the subset of regular elements of G. Then G' is open in G, such that $G - G'$ has zero measure with respect to the Riemannian volume dv_G on G induced by $-B(\cdot, \theta\cdot)$. For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant l_0$, set

$$H_i' = H_i \cap G', \qquad G_i' = \bigcup_{g \in G} g^{-1} H_i' g. \tag{4.56}$$

By [K86, Theorem 5.22 (d)], G_i' is open, and we have the disjoint union

$$G' = \coprod_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant l_0} G_i'. \tag{4.57}$$

Let dv_{H_i} and $dv_{H_i \backslash G}$ be respectively the Riemannian volumes on H_i and $H_i \backslash G$ induced by $-B(\cdot, \theta\cdot)$. By [K02, Theorem 8.64], for a nonnegative measurable function f on G, we have

$$\int_{g \in G} f(g) dv_G = \sum_{i=1}^{l_0} \frac{1}{|W(H_i, G)|} \int_{\gamma \in H_i} \bigg(\int_{g \in H_i \backslash G} f(g^{-1}\gamma g) dv_{H_i \backslash G} \bigg) \big| \det\big(1 - \mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\big)|_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_i} \big| \, dv_{H_i}. \tag{4.58}$$

4.6. **The semisimple orbital integrals.** Recall that τ is a finite dimensional orthogonal representation of K on the real Euclidean space E_τ. Let $C^b(X, \mathcal{E}_\tau)$ be the Banach space of continuous bounded sections of $\mathcal{E}_\tau$ on X. If q is a G-invariant operator acting on $C^b(X, \mathcal{E}_\tau)$ with continuous kernel $q(x, x')$ with respect to the volume dv_X. If $x, x' \in X$, we have $q(x, x') \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_{\tau,x'}, \mathcal{E}_{\tau,x})$.

It is more convenient to consider $q(x, x')$ as a continuous function $q(g, g')$ on $G \times G$ with values in $\mathrm{End}(E_\tau)$, such that for $g, g', g'' \in G$ and $k, k' \in K$, we have

$$q(gk, g'k') = \tau(k^{-1})q(g, g')\tau(k'), \qquad q(g''g, g''g') = q(g, g'). \tag{4.59}$$

Set

$$q(g) = q(1, g). \tag{4.60}$$

By (4.59), for $g \in G$ and $k, k' \in K$, we have

$$q(kgk') = \tau(k)q(g)\tau(k'). \tag{4.61}$$

From the second equation of (4.59), we can recover $q(g, g')$ by

$$q(g, g') = q(g^{-1}g'). \tag{4.62}$$

In the sequel, we do not distinguish among $q(x, x')$, $q(g, g')$ and $q(g)$. We refer to all of them the integral kernel of q.

Let $\mathcal{Q}_\tau$ be the vector space of G-invariant operators with continuous integral kernels $q(g)$ such that (4.61) holds and that there exist $c > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that for $g \in G$,

$$|q(g)| \leqslant C \exp\left(-cd_X^2(p1, pg)\right). \tag{4.63}$$

By [B11, Proposition 4.1.2], $\mathcal{Q}_\tau$ is an algebra.

Definition 4.4. Let $\gamma \in G$ be semisimple. If $q \in \mathcal{Q}_\tau$, the orbital integral of q is defined by

$$\mathrm{Tr}^{[\gamma]}[q] = \int_{\mathfrak{p}^\perp(\gamma)} \mathrm{Tr}^{E_\tau}\left[q(e^{-f}\gamma e^f)\right] r(f)df. \tag{4.64}$$

Remark 4.5. By (4.27), (4.31) and (4.63), the integral on the right-hand side of (4.64) converges. Let $\mathrm{vol}(K^0(\gamma)\backslash K)$ be the volume of $K^0(\gamma)\backslash K$ with respect to $dv_{K^0(\gamma)\backslash K}$. By (4.32) and (4.64), we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}^{[\gamma]}[q] = \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(K^0(\gamma)\backslash K)} \int_{g \in Z^0(\gamma)\backslash G} \mathrm{Tr}^{E_\tau}\left[q(g^{-1}\gamma g)\right] dv_{Z^0(\gamma)\backslash G}. \tag{4.65}$$

Remark 4.6. As the notation $\mathrm{Tr}^{[\gamma]}$ indicates, the orbital integral only depends on the conjugacy class of γ in G. However, the notation $[\gamma]$ (c.f. (4.92)) will be used later for the conjugacy class of the discrete group Γ. We consider $\mathrm{Tr}^{[\gamma]}$ as an abstract symbol here.

We will also consider the case where $E_\tau = E_\tau^+ \oplus E_\tau^-$ is a $\mathbf{Z}_2$-graded representation of K. We will use the notation $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{[\gamma]}[q]$ when the trace on the right-hand side of (4.64) is replaced by the supertrace on E_τ.

The following Proposition will be used in Section 8.

Proposition 4.7. *Let $q \in \mathcal{Q}_\tau$. Assume that ϕ is an $\mathrm{Ad}(G)$-invariant locally integrable function on G such that $\phi(g)\,\mathrm{Tr}^{E_\tau}[q(g)] \in L^1(G)$. Then, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant l_0$, the function*

$$\gamma \in H_i' \to \phi(\gamma)\,\mathrm{Tr}^{[\gamma]}[q]\left|\det\big(1 - \mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\big)|_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_i}\right| \tag{4.66}$$

is almost everywhere well defined and integrable on H_i', so that

$$\int_{g\in G}\phi(g)\,\mathrm{Tr}^{E_\tau}\left[q(g)\right]dv_G=\sum_{i=1}^{l_0}\frac{\mathrm{vol}(K\cap H_i\backslash K)}{|W(H_i,G)|}\int_{\gamma\in H_i'}\phi(\gamma)\,\mathrm{Tr}^{[\gamma]}\left[q\right]\left|\det\big(1-\mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\big)|_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_i}\right|dv_{H_i}. \tag{4.67}$$

Proof. Since $\phi(g)\,\mathrm{Tr}^{E_\tau}[q(g)]\in L^1(G)$, by (4.58) and by Fubini Theorem, the function

$$\gamma\in H_i\to\phi(\gamma)\left(\int_{H_i\backslash G}\mathrm{Tr}^{E_\tau}\left[q\left(\gamma^{-1}g\gamma\right)\right]dv_{H_i\backslash G}\right)\left|\det(1-\mathrm{Ad}(\gamma))|_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_i}\right| \tag{4.68}$$

is almost everywhere well defined and integrable on H_i.

Take $\gamma\in H_i'$. Since H_i is abelian, we have

$$Z^0(\gamma)=H_i^0\subset H_i\subset Z(\gamma). \tag{4.69}$$

We have a finite covering space $H_i^0\backslash G\to H_i\backslash G$. By (4.65) and (4.69), if $\gamma\in H_i'$, we have

$$\int_{H_i\backslash G}\mathrm{Tr}^{E_\tau}\left[q(g^{-1}\gamma g)\right]dv_{H_i\backslash G}=\frac{\mathrm{vol}(K^0(\gamma)\backslash K)}{[H_i:H_i^0]}\,\mathrm{Tr}^{[\gamma]}[q]=\mathrm{vol}(K\cap H_i\backslash K)\,\mathrm{Tr}^{[\gamma]}[q]. \tag{4.70}$$

Since H_i-H_i' has zero measure, and by (4.68) and (4.70), the function (4.66) defines an L^1-function on H_i'. By (4.58) and (4.70), we get (4.67). The proof of Proposition 4.7 is completed. □

4.7. **Bismut's formula for semisimple orbital integrals.** Let us recall that $C^{\mathfrak{g},X,\tau}$ is the Casimir element of G acting on $C^\infty(X,\mathcal{E}_\tau)$. By [B11, Proposition 4.4.2], for any $t>0$, we have $\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X,\tau}/2)\in\mathcal{Q}_\tau$. We will recall the explicit formula for $\mathrm{Tr}^{[\gamma]}\left[\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X,\tau}/2)\right]$, for any semisimple element $\gamma\in G$, obtained by Bismut [B11, Theorem 6.1.1].

Let $\gamma=e^ak^{-1}\in G$ be semisimple as in (4.19). Set

$$\mathfrak{z}_0=\mathfrak{z}(a),\qquad\mathfrak{p}_0=\mathfrak{z}(a)\cap\mathfrak{p},\qquad\mathfrak{k}_0=\mathfrak{z}(a)\cap\mathfrak{k}. \tag{4.71}$$

Then

$$\mathfrak{z}_0=\mathfrak{p}_0\oplus\mathfrak{k}_0. \tag{4.72}$$

By (4.20), (4.21) and (4.71), we have $\mathfrak{p}(\gamma)\subset\mathfrak{p}_0$ and $\mathfrak{k}(\gamma)\subset\mathfrak{k}_0$. Let $\mathfrak{p}_0^\perp(\gamma)$, $\mathfrak{k}_0^\perp(\gamma)$, $\mathfrak{z}_0^\perp(\gamma)$ be the orthogonal spaces of $\mathfrak{p}(\gamma)$, $\mathfrak{k}(\gamma)$, $\mathfrak{z}(\gamma)$ in $\mathfrak{p}_0$, $\mathfrak{k}_0$, $\mathfrak{z}_0$. Let $\mathfrak{p}_0^\perp$, $\mathfrak{k}_0^\perp$, $\mathfrak{z}_0^\perp$ be the orthogonal spaces of $\mathfrak{p}_0$, $\mathfrak{k}_0$, $\mathfrak{z}_0$ in $\mathfrak{p}$, $\mathfrak{k}$, $\mathfrak{z}$. Then we have

$$\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{p}(\gamma)\oplus\mathfrak{p}_0^\perp(\gamma)\oplus\mathfrak{p}_0^\perp,\qquad\mathfrak{k}=\mathfrak{k}(\gamma)\oplus\mathfrak{k}_0^\perp(\gamma)\oplus\mathfrak{k}_0^\perp. \tag{4.73}$$

Recall that $\widehat{A}$ is the holomorphic function defined in (1.11).

Definition 4.8. Let $\gamma=e^ak^{-1}\in G$ be semisimple as in (4.19). For $Y\in\mathfrak{k}(\gamma)$, put

$$J_\gamma(Y)=\frac{1}{\left|\det\left(1-\mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\right)|_{\mathfrak{z}_0^\perp}\right|^{1/2}}\frac{\widehat{A}\big(i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y)|_{\mathfrak{p}(\gamma)}\big)}{\widehat{A}\big(i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y)|_{\mathfrak{k}(\gamma)}\big)}\left[\frac{1}{\det\left(1-\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\right)|_{\mathfrak{z}_0^\perp(\gamma)}}\frac{\det\left(1-\exp(-i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y))\,\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\right)|_{\mathfrak{k}_0^\perp(\gamma)}}{\det\left(1-\exp(-i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y))\,\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\right)|_{\mathfrak{p}_0^\perp(\gamma)}}\right]^{1/2}. \tag{4.74}$$

As explained in [B11, Section 5.5], there is a natural choice for the square root in (4.74). Moreover, J_γ is an $\mathrm{Ad}\big(K^0(\gamma)\big)$-invariant analytic function on $\mathfrak{k}(\gamma)$, and there exist $c_\gamma > 0, C_\gamma > 0$, such that for $Y \in \mathfrak{k}(\gamma)$,

$$|J_\gamma(Y)| \leqslant C_\gamma \exp\left(c_\gamma |Y|\right). \tag{4.75}$$

By (4.74), we have

$$J_1(Y) = \frac{\widehat{A}(i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y)|_{\mathfrak{p}})}{\widehat{A}(i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y)|_{\mathfrak{k}})}. \tag{4.76}$$

For $Y \in \mathfrak{k}(\gamma)$, let dY be the Lebesgue measure on $\mathfrak{k}(\gamma)$ induced by $-B$. The main result of [B11, Theorem 6.1.1] is the following.

Theorem 4.9. *Let $\gamma = a^a k^{-1} \in G$ be semisimple as in* (4.19). *For $t > 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}^{[\gamma]}\left[\exp\left(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X,\tau}/2\right)\right] = \frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{\dim \mathfrak{z}(\gamma)/2}} \exp\left(-|a|^2/2t + tB^*(\kappa^{\mathfrak{g}},\kappa^{\mathfrak{g}})/8\right) \\ \int_{Y\in\mathfrak{k}(\gamma)} J_\gamma(Y)\,\mathrm{Tr}^{E_\tau}\left[\tau\left(k^{-1}\right)\exp(-i\tau(Y))\right]\exp\left(-|Y|^2/2t\right)dY.\end{aligned} \tag{4.77}$$

4.8. **A discrete subgroup of G.** Let $\Gamma \subset G$ be a discrete torsion-free cocompact subgroup of G. Then Γ contains the identity element and nonelliptic semisimple elements. Also, Γ acts isometrically on the left on X. This action lifts to all the homogeneous Euclidean vector bundles $\mathcal{E}_\tau$ constructed in Section 4.2, and preserves the corresponding connections.

Take $Z = \Gamma\backslash X = \Gamma\backslash G/K$. Then Z is a connected closed orientable Riemannian locally symmetric manifold with nonpositive sectional curvature. Since X is contractible, $\pi_1(Z) = \Gamma$ and X is the universal cover of Z. We denote by $\widehat{p} : \Gamma\backslash G \to Z$ and $\widehat{\pi} : X \to Z$ the natural projections, so that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \longrightarrow & \Gamma\backslash G \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \widehat{p}} \\ X & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\pi}} & Z \end{array} \tag{4.78}$$

commutes.

The Euclidean vector bundle $\mathcal{E}_\tau$ descends to a Euclidean vector bundle $\mathcal{F}_\tau = \Gamma\backslash\mathcal{E}_\tau$ on Z. Let (F, ∇^F, g^F) be a unitarily flat vector bundle on Z with holonomy $\rho : \Gamma \to \mathrm{U}(r)$. Let $C^{\mathfrak{g},Z,\tau,\rho}$ be the Casimir element of G acting on $C^\infty(Z, \mathcal{F}_\tau \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} F)$. As in Section 4.2, when $E_\tau = \Lambda^\cdot(\mathfrak{p}^*)$, we write $C^{\mathfrak{g},Z,\rho} = C^{\mathfrak{g},Z,\tau,\rho}$. Then,

$$\Box^Z = C^{\mathfrak{g},Z,\rho}. \tag{4.79}$$

We denote by $p_t^{X,\tau}(x,x')$ the smooth intergal kernel of $\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X,\tau}/2)$ with respect to dv_X, and by $p_t^{Z,\tau,\rho}(z,z')$ be the smooth intergal kernel of $\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},Z,\tau,\rho}/2)$ with respect to the Riemannian volume dv_Z on Z.

Proposition 4.10. *For $t > 0$ and $x, x' \in X$, the sum*

$$\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \rho(\gamma) p_t^{X,\tau}(x, \gamma x') \tag{4.80}$$

converges absolutely to $p_t^{Z,\tau,\rho}(\widehat{\pi}x,\widehat{\pi}x')$. *There exist* $c>0$, $C>0$ *such that for* $t>0$, *and* $x\in X$, *we have*

$$\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma\setminus\{1\}}\left|p_t^{X,\tau}(x,\gamma x)\right|\leqslant C\exp\left(-\frac{c}{t}+Ct\right). \tag{4.81}$$

Proof. Let $F\subset X$ be a fundamental domain of Z. It is enough to show (4.80) and (4.81) for $x,x'\in F$.

Since Γ is cocompact, F is compact. We denote by $\mathrm{vol}(F)$ and d_F respectively the volume and diameter of F. Set

$$d_X(F,\gamma F)=\min_{x,y\in F}d_X(x,\gamma y). \tag{4.82}$$

If $x\in X$ and $r>0$, we denote by $B_x^X(r)$ the geodesic ball of center x with radius r.

Take $x\in F$. For $r>0$, we have

$$\bigcup_{\{\gamma\in\Gamma:d_X(F,\gamma F)\leqslant r\}}\gamma F\subset B_x^X(r+2d_F). \tag{4.83}$$

If A is a finite set, we denote by $|A|$ the cardinality of A. By (4.83), we get

$$\mathrm{vol}(F)\big|\{\gamma\in\Gamma:d_X(F,\gamma F)\leqslant r\}\big|\leqslant\mathrm{vol}\left(B_x^X(r+2d_F)\right). \tag{4.84}$$

Let $h\geqslant 0$ be the topological entropy of the geodesic flow of Z. By [Ma79, Theorem 2], there exists $C>0$ such that for $r>0$, we have

$$\mathrm{vol}\left(B_x^X(r)\right)\leqslant C\exp(hr). \tag{4.85}$$

By (4.84) and (4.85), there exists $C>0$, which depends on F, such that for $r>0$,

$$\big|\{\gamma\in\Gamma:d_X(F,\gamma F)\leqslant r\}\big|\leqslant C\exp(hr). \tag{4.86}$$

By the estimation of heat kernels, there exist $c>0, C>0$ and $N\in\mathbf{N}$ such that for $t>0$ and $x,x'\in X$, we have

$$\left|p_t^{X,\tau}(x,x')\right|\leqslant\frac{C}{t^N}\exp\left(-c\frac{d_X^2(x,x')}{t}+Ct\right). \tag{4.87}$$

By (4.82), (4.86), (4.87), and by the fact that ρ is unitary, for $t>0$ and $x,x'\in F$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\left|\rho(\gamma)p_t^X(x,\gamma x')\right|&\leqslant\frac{C}{t^N}\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\exp\left(-c\frac{d_X^2(F,\gamma F)}{t}+Ct\right)\\&=\frac{C'}{t^N}\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\int_{d_X^2(F,\gamma F)/t}^{\infty}\exp(-cr+Ct)dr\\&=\frac{C'}{t^N}\int_0^{\infty}\left|\left\{\gamma\in\Gamma:d_X(F,\gamma F)\leqslant\sqrt{rt}\right\}\right|\exp(-cr+Ct)dr\\&\leqslant\frac{C''}{t^N}\int_0^{\infty}\exp\left(-cr+h\sqrt{rt}+Ct\right)dr<\infty.\end{aligned} \tag{4.88}$$

By (4.88), the sum in (4.80) is absolutely convergent when $t>0$ and $x,x'\in F$.

Now it is standard to show that the sum (4.80) converges to $p_t^{Z,\tau,\rho}(\widehat{p}x,\widehat{p}x')$. Indeed, the derivations of any orders of $p_t^X(x,x')$ with respect to t,x,x' satisfy estimations similar to (4.87). We can show that the sum (4.80) satisfies the heat equation. We omit the detail.

There exists $c_0 > 0$ such that for all $\gamma \in \Gamma\backslash\{1\}$ and $x \in F$,

$$d_X(x, \gamma x) \geqslant c_0. \tag{4.89}$$

By (4.87) and (4.89), there exist $c_1 > 0$, $c_2 > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that for $t > 0$, $x \in F$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma\backslash\{1\}$, we have

$$\left|p_t^{X,\tau}(x, \gamma x)\right| \leqslant C \exp\left(-\frac{c_1}{t} - c_2\frac{d_X^2(F, \gamma F)}{t} + Ct\right). \tag{4.90}$$

Using (4.90) and repeating the argument in (4.88), we get (4.81). The proof of Proposition 4.10 is completed. □

For $\gamma \in \Gamma$, set

$$\Gamma(\gamma) = Z(\gamma) \cap \Gamma. \tag{4.91}$$

Let $[\gamma]$ be the conjugacy class of γ in Γ. Then

$$[\gamma] = \{\gamma'\gamma(\gamma')^{-1} : \gamma' \in \Gamma\}. \tag{4.92}$$

Let $[\Gamma]$ be the set of all the conjugacy classes of Γ.

The following Proposition is [Mos70, Lemma 8.1]. We include a proof for the sake of completeness.

Proposition 4.11. *If $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then $\Gamma(\gamma)$ is cocompact in $Z(\gamma)$.*

Proof. Since Γ is discrete, $[\gamma]$ is closed in G. The inverse image of $[\gamma]$ by the continuous map $g \in G \to g\gamma g^{-1} \in G$ is $\Gamma Z(\gamma)$. Then $\Gamma Z(\gamma)$ is closed in G. Since $\Gamma\backslash G$ is compact, the closed subset $\Gamma\backslash\Gamma Z(\gamma) \subset \Gamma\backslash G$ is then compact.

The group $Z(\gamma)$ acts transitively on the right on $\Gamma\backslash\Gamma Z(\gamma)$. The stabilizer at $[1] \in \Gamma\backslash\Gamma Z(\gamma)$ is $\Gamma(\gamma)$. Hence $\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash Z(\gamma) \simeq \Gamma\backslash\Gamma Z(\gamma)$ is compact. The proof of Proposition 4.11 is completed. □

Let $\mathrm{vol}\left(\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma)\right)$ be the volume of $\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma)$ with respect to the volume form induced by $dv_{X(\gamma)}$. Clearly, $\mathrm{vol}\left(\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma)\right)$ depends only on the conjugacy class $[\gamma] \in [\Gamma]$.

Recall that the orbital integral depends only on the conjugacy class of γ in G. In particular, we can define the orbital integral for $[\gamma] \in [\Gamma]$. By the property of heat kernels on compact manifolds, the operator $\exp\left(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},Z,\tau,\rho}/2\right)$ is of trace class. Its trace is related with $\mathrm{Tr}^{[\gamma]}[\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X,\tau}/2)]$, for $[\gamma] \in [\Gamma]$, by the Selberg trace formula:

Theorem 4.12. *For $t > 0$, the following identity holds:*

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left[\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},Z,\tau,\rho}/2)\right] = \sum_{[\gamma]\in[\Gamma]} \mathrm{vol}\left(\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma)\right) \mathrm{Tr}[\rho(\gamma)]\, \mathrm{Tr}^{[\gamma]}\left[\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X,\tau}/2)\right], \tag{4.93}$$

where the infinite sum on the right-hand side of (4.93) *converges absolutely.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.10 and by Fubini Theorem, we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left[\exp\left(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},Z,\tau,\rho}/2\right)\right] = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \mathrm{Tr}[\rho(\gamma)] \int_{x\in F} \mathrm{Tr}^{E_\tau}\left[p_t^{X,\tau}(x, \gamma x)\right] dv_X, \tag{4.94}$$

where the infinite sum on the right-hand side of (4.94) converges absolutely. Clearly,

$$\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\mathrm{Tr}[\rho(\gamma)]\int_{x\in F}\mathrm{Tr}^{E_\tau}\left[p_t^{X,\tau}(x,\gamma x)\right]dv_X = \sum_{[\gamma]\in[\Gamma]}\mathrm{Tr}[\rho(\gamma)]\sum_{\gamma'\in[\gamma]}\int_{x\in F}\mathrm{Tr}^{E_\tau}\left[p_t^{X,\tau}(x,\gamma' x)\right]dv_X. \tag{4.95}$$

By [B11, Equations (4.8.11), (4.8,15)], we have

$$\sum_{\gamma'\in[\gamma]}\int_{x\in F}\mathrm{Tr}^{E_\tau}\left[p_t^{X,\tau}(x,\gamma' x)\right]dx = \mathrm{vol}\big(\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma)\big)\,\mathrm{Tr}^{[\gamma]}\left[\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X,\tau}/2)\right]. \tag{4.96}$$

From (4.94)-(4.96), we get (4.93). The proof of Theorem 4.12 is completed. □

Corollary 4.13. *There exist $c>0$ and $C>0$, such that for $t>0$, we have*

$$\sum_{[\gamma]\in[\Gamma]\backslash\{1\}}\mathrm{vol}\big(\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma)\big)\left|\mathrm{Tr}^{[\gamma]}\left[\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X,\tau}/2)\right]\right| \leqslant C\exp\left(-\frac{c}{t}+Ct\right). \tag{4.97}$$

Proof. This is a consequence of (4.81) and (4.96). □

5. Dynamical zeta functions

The purpose of this section is to introduce a Ruelle-type dynamical zeta function associated to a flat vector bundle on the closed locally symmetric manifold Z.

This section is organized as follows. In Section 5.1, we introduce an $\mathbb{S}^1$-action on $\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma)$, and we evaluate the corresponding V-invariant.

In Section 5.2, we define the dynamical zeta function and state the main result of the article.

5.1. The V-invariant of $\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma)$.

We use the notation of Section 4. Take $\gamma\in\Gamma\backslash\{1\}$. We can assume that $\gamma=e^a k^{-1}$ as in (4.19) with $a\neq 0$.

By (4.20) and (4.91), for $t\in\mathbf{R}$, e^{ta} commutes with elements of $\Gamma(\gamma)$. Thus, e^{ta} acts on the left on $\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma)$. Since $e^a=\gamma k$, using $\gamma\in\Gamma(\gamma)$, $k\in K(\gamma)$ and that k commutes with elements of $Z(\gamma)$, we see that e^a acts as identity on $\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma)$. Then, we get a $\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$-action on $\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma)$. Therefore, the V-invariant $V_a\big(\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma)\big)$ is well defined.

Let $o\big(TX^{a,\perp}(\gamma)\big)$ be the orientation line of $TX^{a,\perp}(\gamma)$. Let $dv_{X^{a,\perp}(\gamma)}$ be the $Z^{a,\perp,0}(\gamma)$-invariant volume form on $X^{a,\perp}(\gamma)$ induced by $-B(\cdot,\theta\cdot)$. If $\alpha\in\Omega^{\cdot}\big(X^{a,\perp}(\gamma),o\big(TX^{a,\perp}(\gamma)\big)\big)$ is of maximal degree and $Z^{a,\perp,0}(\gamma)$-invariant, set $[\alpha]^{\max}\in\mathbf{R}$ such that

$$\alpha=[\alpha]^{\max}dv_{X^{a,\perp}(\gamma)}. \tag{5.1}$$

Proposition 5.1. *The following identity holds:*

$$V_a\big(\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma)\big)=-\frac{\mathrm{vol}\big(\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma)\big)}{2|a|}\left[e\left(TX^{a,\perp}(\gamma),\nabla^{TX^{a,\perp}(\gamma)}\right)\right]^{\max}. \tag{5.2}$$

Proof. By (1.14), (1.19) and (4.36), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|a^{X(\gamma)}\right|=|a|, && d^{X(\gamma)}a^{X(\gamma)\prime}=0,\\ &m^{TX(\gamma)}(a)=0, && R_a^{TX(\gamma)}=R^{TX^{a,\perp}(\gamma)}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Let $F(\gamma) \subset X(\gamma)$ be the fundamental domain of $\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma)$. By (1.6), (1.22) and (5.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon_{a,t} &= \sqrt{2\pi}\int_{F(\gamma)} \frac{a^{TX(\gamma)\prime}}{4\pi t}\exp\left(-|a|^2/2t\right)\int^{\widehat{B}} \frac{\widehat{a^{TX(\gamma)\prime}}}{\sqrt{t}}\exp\left(-\dot{R}^{TX^{a,\perp}(\gamma)}/2\pi\right)\\ &= -\frac{|a|^2}{2\sqrt{2\pi}t^{3/2}}\exp\left(-|a|^2/2t\right)\mathrm{vol}\left(\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma)\right)\left[e\left(TX^{a,\perp}(\gamma),\nabla^{TX^{a,\perp}(\gamma)}\right)\right]^{\max}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

By (1.24) and (5.4), we get (5.2). The proof of Proposition 5.1 is completed. □

Clearly, $V_a(\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma))$ depends only on the conjugacy class $[\gamma] \in [\Gamma]$.

5.2. **Statement of the main result.**

Definition 5.2. If F is a flat vector bundle on Z with holonomy ρ, we say that the dynamical zeta function $R_\rho(\sigma)$ is well defined, if the following properties hold:

(1) For $\sigma \in \mathbf{C}, \mathrm{Re}\,(\sigma) \gg 1$, the sum

$$\Xi_\rho(\sigma) = -2\sum_{[\gamma]\in[\Gamma]\backslash\{1\}} V_a\big(\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma)\big)\,\mathrm{Tr}[\rho(\gamma)]e^{-\sigma|a|} \tag{5.5}$$

converges to a holomorphic function.

(2) The function $R_\rho(\sigma) = \exp\big(\Xi_\rho(\sigma)\big)$ has a meromorphic extension to $\sigma \in \mathbf{C}$.

The main result of this article is the solution of the Fried conjecture:

Theorem 5.3. *If F is an acyclic unitarily flat vector bundle on Z with holonomy ρ, then $R_\rho(\sigma)$ is a well defined meromorphic function on $\mathbf{C}$. It is holomorphic at $\sigma = 0$, such that*

$$R_\rho(0) = \exp\big(\tau_Z(F)\big). \tag{5.6}$$

Proof. The proof of Theorem 5.3 consists Sections 6-8. When $\delta(G) \neq 1$, we show Theorem 5.3 in Section 6. When $\delta(G) = 1$, we show that $R_\rho(\sigma)$ is well defined in Section 7, and we show (5.6) in Section 8. □

Remark 5.4. By (5.2) and [MoSt91, Lemma 3.5], our dynamical zeta function is the inverse of the one defined in [MoSt91, Section 4]. Note that in [MoSt91], the analytic torsion was defined by $-\tau_Z(F)$.

6. Evaluation of some orbital integrals

The purpose of this section is to evaluate the orbital integral $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{[\gamma]}\left[\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X}/2)\right]$ for any semisimple element $\gamma \in G$. In particular, we get a formula for the Euler number $\chi(Z)$. We recall a proof given by Bismut [B11, Theorem 7.9.1] of a vanishing result on $\tau_Z(F)$. We show Theorem 5.3 when $\delta(G) \neq 1$. Many results in this section are known before (for example [Bo65], [MoSt91, Corollary 2.2]), we give new proofs of these results, which are based on the Bismut's formula (4.77) for semisimple orbital integrals. Also, the method to evaluate the orbital integrals used in this section will be used in Section 7.

This section is organized as follows. In Section 6.1, we introduce the convention of real root systems, which will be used in the whole article.

In Section 6.2, we evaluate $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{[\gamma]}\left[\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X}/2)\right]$ for semisimple elements $\gamma \in G$. We deduce a formula for $\chi(Z)$ and $V_a\big(\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma)\big)$ using group data.

In Section 6.3, we show a vanishing result on $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{[\gamma]}\big[\big(N^{\Lambda^\cdot(T^*X)}-\frac{m}{2}\big)\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X}/2)\big]$. In particular, the analytic torsion $\tau_Z(F)$ vanishes when $\delta(G)\neq 1$. A complete evaluation of this orbital integral will be given in Section 7.

In Section 6.4, we show Theorem 5.3 in the case $\delta(G)\neq 1$.

6.1. **Real root system.** Recall that $T\subset K$ is the maximal torus of K with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}\subset\mathfrak{k}$, and that $W(T,K)$ is the Weyl group. Let $\Delta(\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{k})\subset\mathfrak{t}^*$ be the real root system [BrD85, Definition V.1.3]. We fix a set of positive roots $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{k})\subset\Delta(\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{k})$.

Set

$$\rho^{\mathfrak{k}}=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+(\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{k})}\alpha. \tag{6.1}$$

By [B11, Proposition 7.5.1], we have

$$4\pi^2|\rho^{\mathfrak{k}}|^2=-\frac{1}{4}B^*(\kappa^{\mathfrak{k}},\kappa^{\mathfrak{k}}). \tag{6.2}$$

Let $\pi_{\mathfrak{k}}:\mathfrak{t}\to\mathbf{C}$ be the polynomial function such that for $Y\in\mathfrak{t}$,

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{k}}(Y)=\prod_{\alpha\in\Delta^+(\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{k})}2i\pi\langle\alpha,Y\rangle. \tag{6.3}$$

Let $\sigma_{\mathfrak{k}}:\mathfrak{t}\to\mathbf{C}$ be the denominator in the Weyl character formula. For $Y\in\mathfrak{t}$, we have

$$\sigma_{\mathfrak{k}}(Y)=\prod_{\alpha\in\Delta^+(\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{k})}\left(e^{i\pi\langle\alpha,Y\rangle}-e^{-i\pi\langle\alpha,Y\rangle}\right). \tag{6.4}$$

The Weyl group $W(T,K)$ acts isometrically on $\mathfrak{t}$. For $w\in W(T,K)$, set $\epsilon_w=\det(w)|_{\mathfrak{t}}$. The Weyl denominator formula asserts for $Y\in\mathfrak{t}$, we have

$$\sigma_{\mathfrak{k}}(Y)=\sum_{w\in W(T,K)}\epsilon_w\exp\left(2i\pi\langle\rho^{\mathfrak{k}},wY\rangle\right). \tag{6.5}$$

Let $\widehat{K}$ be the set of equivalence classes of complex irreducible representations of K. There is a bijection between $\widehat{K}$ and the set of dominant and analytic integral elements in $\mathfrak{t}^*$ [BrD85, Section VI (1.7)]. If $\lambda\in\mathfrak{t}^*$ is dominant and analytic integral, the character χ_λ of the corresponding complex irreducible representation is given by the Weyl character formula: for $Y\in\mathfrak{t}$,

$$\sigma_{\mathfrak{k}}\big(Y\big)\chi_\lambda\big(\exp(Y)\big)=\sum_{w\in W(T,K)}\epsilon_w\exp\left(2i\pi\langle\rho^{\mathfrak{k}}+\lambda,wY\rangle\right). \tag{6.6}$$

Let us recall the Weyl integral formula for Lie algebras. Let $dv_{K/T}$ be the Riemannian volume on K/T induced by $-B$, and let dY be the Lebesgue measure on $\mathfrak{k}$ or $\mathfrak{t}$ induced by $-B$. By [K86, Lemma 11.4], if $f\in C_c(\mathfrak{k})$, we have

$$\int_{Y\in\mathfrak{k}}f(Y)dY=\frac{1}{|W(T,K)|}\int_{Y\in\mathfrak{t}}|\pi_{\mathfrak{k}}(Y)|^2\left(\int_{k\in K/T}f(kYk^{-1})dv_{K/T}\right)dY. \tag{6.7}$$

We remark that as in (4.58), the formula (6.7) extends obviously to $L^1(\mathfrak{k})$.

In the sequel, we will also apply the constructions of this section to $\mathfrak{u}$. Recall that $\mathfrak{h}_1,\cdots,\mathfrak{h}_{l_0}$ are the θ-stable Cartan subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$. For $1\leqslant i\leqslant l_0$, set

$$\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{R}}=\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathfrak{p}}\oplus\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathfrak{k}}\subset\mathfrak{u} \tag{6.8}$$

to be the real form of $\mathfrak{h}_i$. They are Cartan subalgebras of $\mathfrak{u}$. Let $\Delta(\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{R}},\mathfrak{u})\subset\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{R}}^*$ be the real root system. Let $W(\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{R}},\mathfrak{u})$ be the algebraic Weyl group, which is a subgroup

of isometries of $\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{R}}$ generated by reflections of roots. We choose a set of positive roots $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{R}},\mathfrak{u})\subset\Delta(\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{R}},\mathfrak{u})$, and let $\rho_i^{\mathfrak{u}}$ be the half sum of positive roots. As before, set $\rho^{\mathfrak{u}}=\rho_1^{\mathfrak{u}}$.

6.2. **Evaluation of** $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{[\gamma]}\left[\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X}/2)\right]$**.** In this section, inspired by [B11, Section 7.5], we evaluate the orbital integral $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{[\gamma]}\left[\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X}/2)\right]$ for any semismple element $\gamma\in G$.

Let $\gamma=e^ak^{-1}\in G$ be semisimple such that (4.19) holds. Let $\mathfrak{t}(\gamma)\subset\mathfrak{k}(\gamma)$ be the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{k}(\gamma)$. Set

$$\mathfrak{b}(\gamma)=\{Y\in\mathfrak{p}:\mathrm{Ad}(k)Y=0,[Y,\mathfrak{t}(\gamma)]=0\}. \tag{6.9}$$

Then,

$$a\in\mathfrak{b}(\gamma). \tag{6.10}$$

Since k centralizes $\mathfrak{t}(\gamma)$, by [K02, Theorem 4.21], there is $k'\in K$ such that

$$k'\mathfrak{t}(\gamma)k'^{-1}\subset\mathfrak{t},\qquad k'kk'^{-1}\in T. \tag{6.11}$$

Up to a conjugation on γ, we can assume directly that $\gamma=e^ak^{-1}$ with

$$\mathfrak{t}(\gamma)\subset\mathfrak{t},\qquad k\in T. \tag{6.12}$$

By (4.40), (6.9) and (6.12), we have

$$\mathfrak{b}\subset\mathfrak{b}(\gamma). \tag{6.13}$$

Proposition 6.1. *Let $\gamma\in G$ be semisimple. Then, γ can be conjugated into H if and only if*

$$\dim\mathfrak{b}=\dim\mathfrak{b}(\gamma). \tag{6.14}$$

Proof. If $\gamma\in H$, then $\mathfrak{t}(\gamma)=\mathfrak{t}$. By (6.9), we get $\mathfrak{b}=\mathfrak{b}(\gamma)$, which implies (6.14).

For the other direction, recall that $\mathfrak{h}(\gamma)\subset\mathfrak{z}(\gamma)$ is the θ-invariant Cartan subalgebra of both $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{z}(\gamma)$ defined as (4.41) when G is replaced by $Z^0(\gamma)$ and $\mathfrak{t}$ is replaced by $\mathfrak{t}(\gamma)$. Let $\mathfrak{h}(\gamma)=\mathfrak{h}(\gamma)_{\mathfrak{p}}\oplus\mathfrak{h}(\gamma)_{\mathfrak{k}}$ be the Cartan decomposition. Then,

$$\mathfrak{h}(\gamma)_{\mathfrak{p}}=\{Y\in\mathfrak{p}(\gamma):[Y,\mathfrak{t}(\gamma)]=0\},\qquad\mathfrak{h}(\gamma)_{\mathfrak{k}}=\mathfrak{t}(\gamma). \tag{6.15}$$

By (6.9) and (6.15), we have

$$\mathfrak{h}(\gamma)_{\mathfrak{p}}\subset\mathfrak{b}(\gamma). \tag{6.16}$$

From (4.47) and (6.16), we get

$$\dim\mathfrak{b}\leqslant\dim\mathfrak{h}(\gamma)_{\mathfrak{p}}\leqslant\dim\mathfrak{b}(\gamma). \tag{6.17}$$

By (6.17), if $\dim\mathfrak{b}=\dim\mathfrak{b}(\gamma)$, then $\dim\mathfrak{b}=\dim\mathfrak{h}(\gamma)_{\mathfrak{p}}$. By Proposition 4.3, γ can be conjugated into H. The proof of Proposition 6.1 is completed. □

Proposition 6.2. *Let $\gamma\in G$ be semisimple such that $\dim\mathfrak{b}(\gamma)\geqslant 1$. For $Y\in\mathfrak{k}(\gamma)$, we have*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\Lambda^\cdot(\mathfrak{p}^*)}\left[\mathrm{Ad}\left(k^{-1}\right)\exp(-i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y))\right]=0. \tag{6.18}$$

In particular, for any $t>0$, we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{[\gamma]}\left[\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X}/2)\right]=0. \tag{6.19}$$

Proof. Assume that $\gamma = e^a k^{-1}$ such that (4.19) and (6.12) hold. Since the function on the left-hand side of (6.18) is $\mathrm{Ad}\big(K^0(\gamma)\big)$-invariant, it is enough to show (6.18) for $Y \in \mathfrak{t}(\gamma)$.

By (6.9), for $Y \in \mathfrak{t}(\gamma)$, we have

$$\mathrm{Ad}(k)\exp(-i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y))|_{\mathfrak{b}(\gamma)} = 1. \tag{6.20}$$

As $\dim \mathfrak{b}(\gamma) \geqslant 1$, by (6.20), for $Y \in \mathfrak{t}(\gamma)$, we have

$$\mathrm{Tr_s}^{\Lambda^\cdot(\mathfrak{p}^*)}\left[\mathrm{Ad}\left(k^{-1}\right)\exp(-i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y))\right] = \det\big(1-\mathrm{Ad}(k)\exp(i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y))\big)|_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0. \tag{6.21}$$

By (4.77) and (6.18), we get (6.19). The proof of Proposition 6.2 is completed. □

Assume now $\mathfrak{b}(\gamma) = 0$. By (6.13) and Proposition 6.1, we can assume that $\delta(G) = 0$ and $\gamma \in T$ up to conjugation. By (4.6) and (4.46), G has a compact center. Then, U is compact. Let $U(\gamma) \subset U$ be the centralizer of γ in U, and let $\mathfrak{u}(\gamma) \subset \mathfrak{u}$ be the Lie algebra of $U(\gamma)$. Let $U^0(\gamma)$ be the connected component of the identity in $U(\gamma)$. Then, $T \subset U^0(\gamma)$ is the maximal torus of $U^0(\gamma)$. We will use the notation of Section 6.1 when (T, K) is replaced by $(T, U^0(\gamma))$. Note that $U^0(\gamma)/K^0(\gamma)$ is the compact dual of $X(\gamma) = Z^0(\gamma)/K^0(\gamma)$, and it is a compact symmetric space equipped with a Riemannian metric induced by $-B$. We denote by $\mathrm{vol}\big(U^0(\gamma)/K^0(\gamma)\big)$ its volume.

Theorem 6.3. *Assume that $\delta(G) = 0$ and $\gamma \in T$. For any $t > 0$, we have*

$$\mathrm{Tr_s}^{[\gamma]}\left[\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X}/2)\right] = (-1)^{\frac{\dim \mathfrak{p}(\gamma)}{2}} \frac{\big|W\big(T, U^0(\gamma)\big)\big| \,/\, \big|W\big(T, K^0(\gamma)\big)\big|}{\mathrm{vol}\big(U^0(\gamma)/K^0(\gamma)\big)}. \tag{6.22}$$

Proof. As $\gamma \in T$, $\mathfrak{t}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{k}(\gamma)$. By the Weyl integral formula (6.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{Y\in\mathfrak{k}(\gamma)} J_\gamma(Y)\,\mathrm{Tr_s}^{\Lambda^\cdot(\mathfrak{p}^*)}\left[\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\exp(-i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y))\right]\exp\left(-|Y|^2/2t\right)dY = \frac{\mathrm{vol}\left(K^0(\gamma)/T\right)}{\big|W\big(T,K^0(\gamma)\big)\big|}\\ \int_{Y\in\mathfrak{t}} |\pi_{\mathfrak{k}(\gamma)}(Y)|^2 J_\gamma(Y)\,\mathrm{Tr_s}^{\Lambda^\cdot(\mathfrak{p}^*)}\left[\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\exp(-i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y))\right]\exp\left(-|Y|^2/2t\right)dY.\end{aligned} \tag{6.23}$$

As $\delta(G) = 0$, $\mathfrak{t}$ is also a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{u}(\gamma)$. We will write the integral on the second line of (6.23) as an integral over $\mathfrak{u}(\gamma)$. By (4.74) and (6.3), for $Y \in \mathfrak{t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\frac{\pi_{\mathfrak{k}(\gamma)}(Y)}{\pi_{\mathfrak{u}(\gamma)}(Y)}\right|^2 J_\gamma(Y)\,\mathrm{Tr_s}^{\Lambda^\cdot(\mathfrak{p}^*)}\left[\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\exp(-i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y))\right]\\ &\quad= (-1)^{\frac{\dim \mathfrak{p}(\gamma)}{2}}\widehat{A}^{-1}\left(i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y)|_{\mathfrak{u}(\gamma)}\right)\left[\frac{\det\left(1-\exp(-i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y))\,\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\right)|_{\mathfrak{z}_0^\perp(\gamma)}}{\det\left(1-\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\right)|_{\mathfrak{z}_0^\perp(\gamma)}}\right]^{1/2}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.24}$$

Let $\mathfrak{u}^\perp(\gamma)$ be the orthogonal space to $\mathfrak{u}(\gamma)$ in $\mathfrak{u}$. Then

$$\mathfrak{u}^\perp(\gamma) = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{p}_0^\perp(\gamma) \oplus \mathfrak{k}_0^\perp(\gamma). \tag{6.25}$$

By (6.25), we have

$$\frac{\det\left(1-\exp(-i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y))\,\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\right)|_{\mathfrak{z}_0^\perp(\gamma)}}{\det\left(1-\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\right)|_{\mathfrak{z}_0^\perp(\gamma)}} = \frac{\det\left(1-\exp(-i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y))\,\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\right)|_{\mathfrak{u}^\perp(\gamma)}}{\det\left(1-\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\right)|_{\mathfrak{u}^\perp(\gamma)}}. \tag{6.26}$$

By (6.26), the right-hand side of (6.24) extends naturally to an $\mathrm{Ad}\left(U^0(\gamma)\right)$-invariant function defined on $\mathfrak{u}(\gamma)$. Using (6.7) again, from (6.24) and (6.26), we get

$$(6.27)\quad (-1)^{\frac{\dim \mathfrak{p}(\gamma)}{2}} \int_{Y\in\mathfrak{u}(\gamma)} \widehat{A}^{-1}\left(i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y)|_{\mathfrak{u}(\gamma)}\right) \left[\frac{\det\left(1-\exp(-i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y))\,\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\right)|_{\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\gamma)}}{\det\left(1-\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\right)|_{\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\gamma)}}\right]^{1/2} \exp\left(-|Y|^2/2t\right) dY = \frac{\mathrm{vol}\left(U^0(\gamma)/T\right)}{\left|W\left(T,U^0(\gamma)\right)\right|} \int_{Y\in\mathfrak{t}} |\pi_{\mathfrak{k}}(Y)|^2 J_{\gamma}(Y)\,\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\Lambda^{\cdot}(\mathfrak{p}^*)}\left[\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\exp(-i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y))\right]\exp\left(-|Y|^2/2t\right) dY.$$

By (4.77), (6.23) and (6.27), we get

(6.28)

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{[\gamma]}\left[\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X}/2)\right] = (-1)^{\frac{\dim \mathfrak{p}(\gamma)}{2}} \exp\left(tB^*(\kappa^{\mathfrak{g}},\kappa^{\mathfrak{g}})/8\right) \frac{\left|W\left(T,U^0(\gamma)\right)\right|}{\left|W\left(T,K^0(\gamma)\right)\right|} \frac{\mathrm{vol}\left(K^0(\gamma)/T\right)}{\mathrm{vol}\left(U^0(\gamma)/T\right)} \exp\left(t\Delta^{\mathfrak{u}(\gamma)}/2\right) \left(\widehat{A}^{-1}\left(i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y)|_{\mathfrak{u}(\gamma)}\right) \left[\frac{\det\left(1-\exp(-i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y))\,\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\right)|_{\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\gamma)}}{\det\left(1-\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\right)|_{\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\gamma)}}\right]^{1/2}\right)\Big|_{Y=0},$$

where $\Delta^{\mathfrak{u}(\gamma)}$ is the Laplacian on $\mathfrak{u}(\gamma)$.

We claim that the function

$$(6.29)\qquad Y\in\mathfrak{u}(\gamma)\to \widehat{A}^{-1}\left(i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y)|_{\mathfrak{u}(\gamma)}\right) \left[\frac{\det\left(1-\exp(-i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y))\,\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\right)|_{\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\gamma)}}{\det\left(1-\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\right)|_{\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\gamma)}}\right]^{1/2}$$

is an eigenfunction of $\Delta^{\mathfrak{u}(\gamma)}$ with eigenvalue $4\pi^2|\rho^{\mathfrak{u}}|^2$. Recall that if f is an $\mathrm{Ad}\left(U^0(\gamma)\right)$-invariant function on $\mathfrak{u}(\gamma)$. When restricted to $\mathfrak{t}$, it is well known, for example [B11, Equation (7.5.22)], that

$$(6.30)\qquad \Delta^{\mathfrak{u}(\gamma)} f = \frac{1}{\pi_{\mathfrak{u}(\gamma)}} \Delta^{\mathfrak{t}}\left(\pi_{\mathfrak{u}(\gamma)} f\right).$$

Therefore, it is enough to show that the function

$$(6.31)\quad Y\in\mathfrak{t}\to \pi_{\mathfrak{u}(\gamma)}(Y)\widehat{A}^{-1}\left(i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y)|_{\mathfrak{u}(\gamma)}\right) \left[\frac{\det\left(1-\exp(-i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y))\,\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\right)|_{\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\gamma)}}{\det\left(1-\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\right)|_{\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\gamma)}}\right]^{1/2}$$

is an eigenfunction of $\Delta^{\mathfrak{t}}$ with eigenvalue $4\pi^2|\rho^{\mathfrak{u}}|^2$. Indeed, for $Y\in\mathfrak{t}$, we have

$$(6.32)\qquad \widehat{A}^{-1}\left(i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y)|_{\mathfrak{u}(\gamma)}\right) = \frac{\sigma_{\mathfrak{u}(\gamma)}(iY)}{\pi_{\mathfrak{u}(\gamma)}(iY)}.$$

Thus,

$$(6.33)\qquad \pi_{\mathfrak{u}(\gamma)}(Y)\widehat{A}^{-1}\left(i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y)|_{\mathfrak{u}(\gamma)}\right) = i^{|\Delta^+(\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{u}(\gamma))|}\sigma_{\mathfrak{u}(\gamma)}(-iY).$$

Assume that $k=\exp(\theta_1)$ for some $\theta_1\in\mathfrak{t}$. By (6.33), up to a signature, we have

$$(6.34)\quad \pi_{\mathfrak{u}(\gamma)}(Y)\widehat{A}^{-1}(i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y)|_{\mathfrak{u}(\gamma)}) \left[\det\left(1-\exp(-i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y))\,\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\right)|_{\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\gamma)}\right]^{1/2} = \pm i^{|\Delta^+(\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{u})|}\sigma_{\mathfrak{u}}(-iY-\theta_1).$$

By the Weyl denominator formula (6.5), and by (6.34), we see that (6.31) is an eigenfunction of $\Delta^{\mathfrak{t}}$ with eigenvalue $4\pi^2|\rho^{\mathfrak{u}}|^2$.

Since the function (6.29) and its derivations of any orders satisfy estimations similar to (4.75), we deduce

(6.35)
$$\exp(t\Delta^{\mathfrak{u}}/2)\left(\widehat{A}^{-1}\left(i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y)|_{\mathfrak{u}(\gamma)}\right)\left[\frac{\det\left(1-\exp(-i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y))\,\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\right)|_{\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\gamma)}}{\det(1-\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1}))|_{\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\gamma)}}\right]^{1/2}\right)\Big|_{Y=0} = \exp(2\pi^2|\rho_u|^2 t).$$

By (6.2), (6.28) and (6.35), we get (6.22). The proof of Theorem 6.3 is completed. □

Remark 6.4. Assume that G has a compact center. Let ν be a finite dimensional unitary representation of U on the complex Hermitian space V_ν. It lifts to a holomorphic representation of $G_{\mathbf{C}}$. By restriction to $G\subset G_{\mathbf{C}}$, V_ν is also a representation of G. Let $\mathcal{V}_\nu = G\times_K V_\nu$ be the associated Hermitian vector bundle on X. By the diffeomorphism

$$(g,v)\in G\times_K V_\nu \to (pg,gv)\in X\times V_\nu, \tag{6.36}$$

the vector bundle $\mathcal{V}_\nu$ is canonically trivial. In particular, $\mathcal{V}_\nu$ processes a canonical flat connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{V}_\nu}$. In general, the Hermitian metric $g^{\mathcal{V}_\nu}$ on $\mathcal{V}_\nu$ is not flat. Let $C^{\mathfrak{g},X,\nu}$ and $C^{\mathfrak{g},\nu}$ be the Casimir elements of G acting on $\Omega^\cdot(X,\mathcal{V}_\nu)$ and V_ν. By [BMaZ11] or [BMaZ15, Proposition 8.4], the Hodge Laplacian acting on $\Omega^\cdot(X,\mathcal{V}_\nu)$ associated with g^{TX} and $g^{\mathcal{V}_\nu}$ is given by $C^{\mathfrak{g},X,\nu}-C^{\mathfrak{g},\nu}$. By a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 6.3, if $\dim\mathfrak{b}(\gamma)\geqslant 1$, we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{[\gamma]}\left[\exp\left(-t(C^{\mathfrak{g},X,\nu}-C^{\mathfrak{g},\nu})/2\right)\right]=0, \tag{6.37}$$

and if $\delta(G)=0$ and if $\gamma\in T$, we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{[\gamma]}\left[\exp\left(-t(C^{\mathfrak{g},X,\nu}-C^{\mathfrak{g},\nu})/2\right)\right] = (-1)^{\frac{\dim\mathfrak{p}(\gamma)}{2}}\frac{\left|W\left(T,U^0(\gamma)\right)\right|/\left|W\left(T,K^0(\gamma)\right)\right|}{\mathrm{vol}\left(U^0(\gamma)/K^0(\gamma)\right)}\,\mathrm{Tr}^{V_\nu}\left[\nu\left(\gamma\right)\right]. \tag{6.38}$$

The formula (6.38) will be used in Section 8. Note that in the proof (6.38), the Weyl character formula (6.6) will be used. Since the same argument will appear in the proof of Theorem 7.25, here we omit the detail.

Corollary 6.5. *Let F be any (not necessarily unitarily flat) flat bundle of rank r on Z. If $\delta(G)\neq 0$, the Euler number $\chi(Z,F)$ vanishes. If $\delta(G)=0$, we have*

$$\chi(Z,F)=(-1)^{\frac{m}{2}}r\frac{|W(T,U)|/|W(T,K)|}{\mathrm{vol}(U/K)}\,\mathrm{vol}(Z). \tag{6.39}$$

Proof. By the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern Theorem, we have

$$\chi(Z,F)=r\int_Z e(TZ,\nabla^{TZ})=r\chi(Z). \tag{6.40}$$

Now we take ρ to be the trivial representation. By McKean-Singer formula [McS67] and by (4.79), we have

$$\chi(Z)=\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}\left[\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},Z,\rho})\right]. \tag{6.41}$$

By Theorem 4.12, Proposition 6.2, Theorem 6.3 and by (6.41), if $\delta(G) \neq 0$, $\chi(Z) = 0$, and if $\delta(G) = 0$, we have

$$\chi(Z) = (-1)^{\frac{m}{2}} \frac{|W(T,U)|/|W(T,K)|}{\mathrm{vol}(U/K)} \mathrm{vol}(Z). \tag{6.42}$$

By (6.40) and (6.42), we get (6.39). The proof of Corollary 6.5 is completed. □

As in (5.1), we define $\left[e\left(TX, \nabla^{TX}\right)\right]^{\max} \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$e\left(TX, \nabla^{TX}\right) = \left[e\left(TX, \nabla^{TX}\right)\right]^{\max} dv_X. \tag{6.43}$$

Then,

$$\chi(Z) = \left[e\left(TX, \nabla^{TX}\right)\right]^{\max} \mathrm{vol}(Z). \tag{6.44}$$

Corollary 6.6. *If $\delta(G) \neq 0$, $\left[e\left(TX, \nabla^{TX}\right)\right]^{\max}$ vanishes. If $\delta(G) = 0$,*

$$\left[e\left(TX, \nabla^{TX}\right)\right]^{\max} = (-1)^{\frac{m}{2}} \frac{|W(T,U)|/|W(T,K)|}{\mathrm{vol}(U/K)}. \tag{6.45}$$

Proof. This is a consequence of Corollary 6.5 and (6.44). □

Remark 6.7. Without descending to Z, we can show Corollary 6.6 directly using [B11, Theorem 7.8.13] and Theorem 6.3.

Remark 6.8. Equation (6.42) and (6.45) is well know long before this article, and can be shown without using the Selberg trace formula. Indeed, assume $\delta(G) = 0$. Recall that U/K is the compact dual of X. By Bott's formula [Bo65, p. 175], we have

$$\chi(U/K) = \frac{|W(T,U)|}{|W(T,K)|}. \tag{6.46}$$

As in Section 4.2, we can define the Riemannian metric on $T(U/K)$ and the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla^{T(U/K)}$. Similarly as in (5.1), $\left[e\left(T(U/K), \nabla^{T(U/K)}\right)\right]^{\max} \in \mathbf{R}$ is well defined. Form (1.10) and (4.16), we can deduce that

$$\left[e\left(TX, \nabla^{TX}\right)\right]^{\max} = (-1)^{\frac{m}{2}} \left[e\left(T(U/K), \nabla^{T(U/K)}\right)\right]^{\max}. \tag{6.47}$$

By (6.46) and (6.47), we obtain (6.42) and (6.45).

Corollary 6.9. *Let $\gamma \in \Gamma\backslash\{1\}$. If $\delta(G) \geqslant 1$ and if $\dim \mathfrak{b}(\gamma) \geqslant 2$, then*

$$V_a\big(\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma)\big) = 0. \tag{6.48}$$

In particular, if $\delta(G) \geqslant 2$, then

$$R_\rho(\sigma) \equiv 1. \tag{6.49}$$

Proof. We claim that if $\delta(G) \geqslant 1$ and if $\dim \mathfrak{b}(\gamma) \geqslant 2$, then

$$\delta(Z^{a,\perp,0}(\gamma)) \geqslant 1. \tag{6.50}$$

Indeed, by (4.34) and (4.47), we have

$$\delta(Z^{a,\perp,0}(\gamma)) = \delta(Z^0(\gamma)) - 1 \geqslant \delta(G) - 1. \tag{6.51}$$

If $\delta(G) \geqslant 2$, by (6.51), we get (6.50). If $\delta(G) = 1$ and if $\dim \mathfrak{b}(\gamma) \geqslant 2$, by Propositions 4.3 and 6.1, the inequality in (6.51) is strict, which implies (6.50).

By Proposition 5.1, by Corollary 6.6 and (6.51), we get (6.48). By Definition 5.2 and (6.48), we get (6.49). The proof of Corollary 6.9 is completed. □

6.3. **A vanishing result on** $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{[\gamma]}\big[\big(N^{\Lambda^\cdot(T^*X)}-\frac{m}{2}\big)\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X}/2)\big]$**.** The following Proposition extends [B11, Theorem 7.9.1].

Theorem 6.10. *Let $\gamma\in G$ be semisimple. Assume that $\delta(G)=0$ or $\dim\mathfrak{b}(\gamma)\geqslant 2$. For $Y\in\mathfrak{k}(\gamma)$, we have*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\Lambda^\cdot(\mathfrak{p}^*)}\Big[\Big(N^{\Lambda^\cdot(\mathfrak{p}^*)}-\frac{m}{2}\Big)\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\exp(-i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y))\Big]=0. \tag{6.52}$$

In particular, if $\delta(G)\neq 1$, then for any $t>0$, we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{[\gamma]}\Big[\Big(N^{\Lambda^\cdot(T^*X)}-\frac{m}{2}\Big)\exp\big(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X}/2\big)\Big]=0. \tag{6.53}$$

Proof. Assume firstly $\delta(G)=0$. Then m is even. For $Y\in\mathfrak{k}(\gamma)$, $\mathrm{Ad}(k)\exp(i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y))$ preserves the orientation of $\mathfrak{p}$. By [B11, Equation (7.9.2)], we get (6.52).

Assume now $\dim\mathfrak{b}(\gamma)\geqslant 2$. By (6.20), for $Y\in\mathfrak{t}(\gamma)$, we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\Lambda^\cdot(\mathfrak{p}^*)}\big[N^{\Lambda^\cdot(\mathfrak{p}^*)}\,\mathrm{Ad}(k)^{-1}\exp(-i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y))\big]=\frac{\partial}{\partial b}\Big|_{b=0}\det\big(1-e^b\,\mathrm{Ad}(k)\exp(i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y))\big)\,|_{\mathfrak{p}}=0. \tag{6.54}$$

By (6.18) and (6.54), we get (6.52) for $Y\in\mathfrak{t}(\gamma)$. Since the left-hand side of (6.52) is $\mathrm{Ad}\big(K^0(\gamma)\big)$-invariant, (6.52) holds for $Y\in\mathfrak{k}(\gamma)$.

By (4.77) and (6.52), we get (6.53). The proof of Theorem 6.10 is completed. □

Now we state [B11, Theorem 7.9.3], which gives a new proof to [MoSt91, Corollary 2.2].

Corollary 6.11. *Let F be a unitarily flat vector bundle on Z. Assume that $\delta(G)\neq 1$. Then for any $t>0$, we have*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}\Big[\Big(N^{\Lambda^\cdot(T^*Z)}-\frac{m}{2}\Big)\exp\big(-t\Box^Z/2\big)\Big]=0. \tag{6.55}$$

In particular,

$$\tau_Z(F)=0. \tag{6.56}$$

Proof. It is a consequence of (4.79), (4.93) and (6.53). □

6.4. **The proof of Theorem 5.3 when** $\delta(G)\neq 1$**.** Assume firstly $\delta(G)=0$. By (6.39), $\chi(Z,F)\neq 0$. Thus, Z does not possess any acyclic flat vector bundle.

Assume now $\delta(G)\geqslant 2$. By (6.49) and (6.56), we get (5.6). □

7. The locally symmetric space $\Gamma\backslash G/K$ with $\delta(G)=1$

The purpose of this section is to show Theorem 0.4. In this section, we assume $\delta(G)=1$. We introduce a particular kind of $\mathbf{Z}_2$-representations $\widehat{\varsigma}$ of K such that, $\sum_{i=1}^m(-1)^{i-1}i\Lambda^i(\mathfrak{p}^*)$, as an element of the real representation ring of K, can be written as an alternating sum of such $\mathbf{Z}_2$-representations. We evaluate the orbit integral $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{[\gamma]}\big[\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X,\widehat{\varsigma}}/2)\big]$. As Proposition 3.5, we show a relation between $R_\rho(\sigma)$ and $\exp\big(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\theta(0,\sigma^2)\big)$, from which we deduce Theorem 0.4.

In Sections 7.1 and 7.2, we study the real reductive group G with $\delta(G)=1$ by the classification theorem of real simple Lie algebras. We construct a natural compact Hermitian symmetric space $Y_{\mathfrak{b}}$, which arises in the calculation of $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{[1]}\big[\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X,\widehat{\varsigma}}/2)\big]$.

In Section 7.3, we introduce the mentioned $\mathbf{Z}_2$-representations $\widehat{\varsigma}$ of K.

In Sections 7.4 and 7.5, we evaluate $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{[\gamma]}\left[\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X,\widehat{\varsigma}}/2)\right]$ when $\gamma = 1$ and when $\gamma \in G$ is a nonelliptic semisimple element.

In Section 7.6, we introduce a Selberg-type zeta function associate with $\widehat{\varsigma}$ and ρ, which will be shown to be meromorphic on $\mathbf{C}$ and satisfy certain functional equation. We show that the dynamical zeta function $R_\rho(\sigma)$ is well defined, which is equal to an alternating product of some particular Selberg-type zeta functions.

In Section 7.7, we get a formula relating $R_\rho(\sigma)$ and $\exp\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\theta(0,\sigma^2)\right)$. We show Theorem 0.4.

7.1. **Real reductive groups G with $\delta(G) = 1$.** Since $\dim \mathfrak{b} = 1$, the nonzero element $a \in \mathfrak{b}$ will be most important to us. We introduce some special notation for this.

If $a \in \mathfrak{b}$ is a nonzero element, set

$$\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{b}) = \mathfrak{z}_0, \qquad \mathfrak{p}(\mathfrak{b}) = \mathfrak{p}_0, \qquad \mathfrak{k}(\mathfrak{b}) = \mathfrak{k}_0, \qquad \mathfrak{p}^\perp(\mathfrak{b}) = \mathfrak{p}_0^\perp, \qquad \mathfrak{k}^\perp(\mathfrak{b}) = \mathfrak{k}_0^\perp. \tag{7.1}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{z}^{a,\perp}(e^a), \qquad \mathfrak{p}_\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{p}^{a,\perp}(e^a), \qquad \mathfrak{k}_\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{k}(\mathfrak{b}), \tag{7.2}$$

By (7.1) and (7.2), we have

$$\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_\mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{p}^\perp(\mathfrak{b}), \qquad \mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}_\mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{k}^\perp(\mathfrak{b}). \tag{7.3}$$

Similarly, set

$$Z^0(\mathfrak{b}) = Z^0(e^a), \qquad M = Z^{a,\perp,0}(e^a). \tag{7.4}$$

By (4.34) and (7.4), we have

$$Z^0(\mathfrak{b}) = \mathbf{R} \times M. \tag{7.5}$$

Moreover, M is a connected reductive subgroup of G with maximal compact subgroup $K_M = M \cap K$. Also, $\mathfrak{m}$ is the Lie algebra of M with Cartan decomposition

$$\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{p}_\mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{k}_\mathfrak{m}. \tag{7.6}$$

Let $X_M = M/K_M$ be the corresponding symmetric space. Note that $\delta(M) = 0$.

The group K_M acts trivially on $\mathfrak{b}$. It also acts on $\mathfrak{p}_\mathfrak{m}$, $\mathfrak{p}^\perp(\mathfrak{b})$, $\mathfrak{k}_\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{k}^\perp(\mathfrak{b})$, and preserves the decompositions (7.3).

We fix an orientation on $\mathfrak{b}$. The positive element of $\mathfrak{b}$ acts on $\mathfrak{z}^\perp(\mathfrak{b})$ by adjoint action. Let $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{z}^\perp(\mathfrak{b})$ be the direct sum of the eigenspaces with the positive eigenvalues. Set $\overline{\mathfrak{n}} = \theta\mathfrak{n}$. Then $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$ is the direct sum of the eigenspaces with the negative eigenvalues, and

$$\mathfrak{z}^\perp(\mathfrak{b}) = \mathfrak{n} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}. \tag{7.7}$$

Clearly, $\mathfrak{n}$, $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$ are nilpotent Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$. As we will see in Proposition 7.12, they are both abelian. Also, $Z^0(\mathfrak{b})$ acts on $\mathfrak{n}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$ by adjoint action. We have isomorphisms of representations of K_M,

$$X \in \mathfrak{n} \to X - \theta X \in \mathfrak{p}^\perp(\mathfrak{b}), \qquad X \in \mathfrak{n} \to X + \theta X \in \mathfrak{k}^\perp(\mathfrak{b}). \tag{7.8}$$

In the sequel, if $f \in \mathfrak{n}$, we denote $\overline{f} = \theta f \in \overline{\mathfrak{n}}$.

Remark 7.1. Let $\mathfrak{q} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the direct sum of the eigenspaces with the nonnegative eigenvalues for the adjoint action of a positive element in $\mathfrak{b}$. Then $\mathfrak{q}$ is a parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, with Langlands decomposition $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ [K02, Section VII.7]. Let $Q \subset G$ be the corresponding parabolic subgroup of G, and let $Q = M_Q A_Q N_Q$ be the corresponding Langlands decomposition. Then M is the connected component of the identity in M_Q, and $\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{n}$ are respectively the Lie algebras of A_Q and N_Q.

Let $R(K_M, \mathbf{R})$ and $R(K, \mathbf{R})$ be respectively the real representation rings of K_M and K. Let $i : K_M \to K$ be the injection. We denote by

$$i^* : R(K, \mathbf{R}) \to R(K_M, \mathbf{R}) \tag{7.9}$$

the induced morphism of rings. Since K_M and K have the same maximal torus T, i^* is injective. The aim of this section is to show the following theorem:

Theorem 7.2. *The representation of K_M on $\mathfrak{n}$ has a unique lift in $R(K, \mathbf{R})$.*

Note that the injectivity of i^* implies the uniqueness in Theorem 7.2. We need to show the existence of such lift.

Corollary 7.3. *For $i, j \in \mathbf{N}$, the representations of K_M on $\Lambda^i(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*)$ and $\Lambda^j(\mathfrak{n}^*)$ have unique lifts in $R(K, \mathbf{R})$.*

Proof. By Theorem 7.2 and by the fact that i^* in (7.9) is a morphism of λ-rings, $\Lambda^j(\mathfrak{n}^*)$ has a unique lift in $R(K, \mathbf{R})$.

We denote by $\mathbf{1}$ the trivial representation. By (7.3) and (7.8), we have the isomorphism of representations of K_M,

$$\mathfrak{p} \simeq \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}} \oplus \mathfrak{n}. \tag{7.10}$$

Since $\mathfrak{p}$ is a representation of K, by Theorem 7.2 and (7.10), $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ has a unique lift in $R(K, \mathbf{R})$. Then, $\Lambda^i(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}^*)$ has a unique lift in $R(K, \mathbf{R})$. The proof of Corollary 7.3 is completed. □

We come to the proof of Theorem 7.2, which is based on the following classification theorem.

Theorem 7.4. *If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a real reductive Lie algebra with $\delta(\mathfrak{g}) = 1$, then*

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2, \tag{7.11}$$

such that

$$\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathbf{R} \text{ or } \mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbf{R}) \text{ or } \mathfrak{so}(p, q), \tag{7.12}$$

with $p \geqslant 1, q \geqslant 1$ odd, and that $\mathfrak{g}_2$ is real reductive with $\delta(\mathfrak{g}_2) = 0$.

Proof. By (4.46), we have

$$\dim \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{p}} + \delta([\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]) = 1. \tag{7.13}$$

If $\dim \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$ and $\delta([\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]) = 0$, we can take

$$\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq \mathbf{R}, \qquad \mathfrak{g}_2 = \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{k}} \oplus [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]. \tag{7.14}$$

If $\dim \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ and $\delta([\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]) = 1$, as in [B11, Remark 7.9.2], by the classification theory of real simple Lie algebras, we have $[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2'$ where

$$\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbf{R}) \text{ or } \mathfrak{so}(p,q), \tag{7.15}$$

with $p \geqslant 1, q \geqslant 1$ odd, and where $\mathfrak{g}_2'$ is semisimple with $\delta(\mathfrak{g}_2') = 0$. Take

$$\mathfrak{g}_2 = \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{k}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2'. \tag{7.16}$$

The proof of Theorem 7.4 is completed. □

Remark 7.5. By definition, we have

$$\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{p}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b}) \subset \mathfrak{g}_1. \tag{7.17}$$

Remark 7.6. By (4.6) and Theorem 7.4, when $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathbf{R}$, G has a noncompact center, and when $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbf{R})$ or $\mathfrak{so}(p,q)$, with $p \geqslant 1, q \geqslant 1$ odd, G has a compact center.

Remark 7.7. Assume G has a compact center. Let Z_G^0 be the connected component of the identity in Z_G, and let $G_{ss} \subset G$ be the connected subgroup of G associated with the Lie algebra $[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]$. By [K86, Proposition 5.5], G_{ss} is closed in G, such that

$$G = Z_G^0 G_{ss}. \tag{7.18}$$

Moreover, G_{ss} is semisimple with finite center, with maximal compact subgroup $K_{ss} = G_{ss} \cap K$. Also, the imbedding $G_{ss} \to G$ induces a diffeomorphism

$$G_{ss}/K_{ss} \simeq G/K. \tag{7.19}$$

Therefore, in this case, X is a symmetric space of the noncompact type.

Proof of Theorem 7.2. If G has a noncompact center, by Theorem 7.4 and Remark 7.6, $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathbf{R}$. Thus, $\mathfrak{n} = 0$ and $K_M = K$. Theorem 7.2 follows trivially.

If G has a compact center, the proof is rather long and occupies Subsections 7.1.1-7.1.4, which is organized as follows:

(1) In Subsection 7.1.1, we show Theorem 7.2 for $G = \mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbf{R})$;
(2) In Subsection 7.1.2, we show Theorem 7.2 for $G = \mathrm{SO}^0(p,q)$, with $p \geqslant 1, q \geqslant 1$ odd;
(3) In Subsection 7.1.3, we show Theorem 7.2 for the connected component of the identity in the isometric group $\mathrm{Isom}^0(X)$ of (X, g^{TX});
(4) In Subsection 7.1.4, we show Theorem 7.2 in general. □

We remark that for the proof of Theorem 5.3, the result in Subsection 7.1.4 is not necessary. Indeed, when X is a symmetric space of the noncompact type, we can always take $G = \mathrm{Isom}^0(X)$. However, we believe it worthwhile to give a proof for Theorem 7.2 in full generality.

7.1.1. *The group* $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbf{R})$. In this subsection, take $G = \mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbf{R})$ and $K = \mathrm{SO}(3)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{p} &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & a_1 & a_2 \\ a_1 & y & a_3 \\ a_2 & a_3 & -x-y \end{pmatrix} : x, y, a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbf{R} \right\}, \\ \mathfrak{k} &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_1 & a_2 \\ -a_1 & 0 & a_3 \\ -a_2 & -a_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbf{R} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.20}$$

Take

$$T = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : A \in \mathrm{SO}(2) \right\} \subset K \tag{7.21}$$

to be a maximal torus of K.

By (4.40), (7.20) and (7.21), we have

$$\mathfrak{b} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2x \end{pmatrix} : x \in \mathbf{R} \right\} \subset \mathfrak{p}. \tag{7.22}$$

By (7.1), (7.2) and (7.22), we have

(7.23)

$$\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & a_1 & 0 \\ a_1 & -x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : x, a_1 \in \mathbf{R} \right\}, \quad \mathfrak{p}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a_2 \\ 0 & 0 & a_3 \\ a_2 & a_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : a_2, a_3 \in \mathbf{R} \right\}.$$

Also,

$$\mathfrak{k}_{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathfrak{t}, \qquad K_M = T, \qquad M = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R}) \right\}. \tag{7.24}$$

By (7.22), we can orient $\mathfrak{b}$ by $x > 0$. Thus,

$$\mathfrak{n} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a_2 \\ 0 & 0 & a_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : a_2, a_3 \in \mathbf{R} \right\}. \tag{7.25}$$

In the sequel, if $l, l' \in \mathbf{N}^*$, let $\mathrm{Mat}_{l,l'}(\mathbf{R})$ be the space of real matrices of l raws and l' columns. If $L \subset \mathrm{Mat}_{l,l}(\mathbf{R})$ is a matrix group, we denote by σ_l the standard representation of L on $\mathbf{R}^l$. Recall that $\mathbf{1}$ is the trivial representation.

Proof of Theorem 7.2 in the case when $G = \mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbf{R})$. By (7.24) and (7.25), the representation of $K_M \simeq \mathrm{SO}(2)$ on $\mathfrak{n}$ is equivalent to σ_2. Note that $K = \mathrm{SO}(3)$. We have the identity in $R(K_M, \mathbf{R})$:

$$i^* (\sigma_3 - \mathbf{1}) = \sigma_2, \tag{7.26}$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 7.2 when $G = \mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbf{R})$. □

Proposition 7.8. *The group K acts on $\mathfrak{p}$ effectively.*

Proof. The proof is elementary. Assume that $k \in K$ acts on $\mathfrak{p}$ as identity. Thus, k fixes the elements of $\mathfrak{b}$. As in (7.24), there is $A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ such that

$$k = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & \det^{-1}(A) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7.27}$$

Since k fixes also the elements of $\mathfrak{p}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b})$, by (7.23) and (7.27), we get $A = 1$. Therefore, $k = 1$. The proof of Proposition 7.8 is completed. □

7.1.2. *The case $G = \mathrm{SO}^0(p,q)$ with $p \geqslant 1, q \geqslant 1$ odd.* In this subsection, take $G = \mathrm{SO}^0(p,q)$ and $K = \mathrm{SO}(p) \times \mathrm{SO}(q)$, with $p \geqslant 1, q \geqslant 1$ odd. We have

(7.28)
$$\mathfrak{p} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ B^t & 0 \end{pmatrix} : B \in \mathrm{Mat}_{p,q}(\mathbf{R}) \right\}, \quad \mathfrak{k} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} : A \in \mathfrak{so}(p), D \in \mathfrak{so}(q) \right\}.$$

Take

$$T_{p-1} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_{(p-1)/2} \end{pmatrix} : A_1, \ldots, A_{(p-1)/2} \in \mathrm{SO}(2) \right\} \subset \mathrm{SO}(p-1) \tag{7.29}$$

to be a maximal torus of $\mathrm{SO}(p-1)$. Then,

$$T = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \in K : A \in T_{p-1}, B \in T_{q-1} \right\} \subset K. \tag{7.30}$$

is a maximal torus of K.

By (7.2) and (7.30), we have

$$\mathfrak{b} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{p} : x \in \mathbf{R} \right\},$$

$$\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & B \\ 0 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & 0 \\ B^t & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{p} : B \in \mathrm{Mat}_{p-1,q-1}(\mathbf{R}) \right\}, \tag{7.31}$$

$$\mathfrak{p}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & v_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & v_2^t & 0 \\ v_1^t & & 0 & \\ 0 & v_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{p} : v_1 \in \mathbf{R}^{p-1}, v_2 \in \mathbf{R}^{q-1} \right\}.$$

Also,

$$\mathfrak{k}_{\mathfrak{m}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{k} : A \in \mathfrak{so}(p-1), D \in \mathfrak{so}(q-1) \right\}. \tag{7.32}$$

By (7.31) and (7.32), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
M &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & B \\ 0 & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & 0 \\ C & 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \in G : \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SO}^0(p-1, q-1) \right\}, \\
K_M &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \in K : A \in \mathrm{SO}(p-1), D \in \mathrm{SO}(q-1) \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.33}
$$

By (7.31), we can orient $\mathfrak{b}$ by $x > 0$. Then,

$$
\mathfrak{n} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -v_1 & v_1 & 0 \\ v_1^t & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & v_2^t \\ v_1^t & & v_2^t \\ 0 & v_2 & -v_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g} : v_1 \in \mathbf{R}^{p-1}, v_2 \in \mathbf{R}^{q-1} \right\}. \tag{7.34}
$$

Proof of Theorem 7.2 in the case when $G = \mathrm{SO}^0(p,q)$ with $p \geqslant 1, q \geqslant 1$ odd. By (7.33) and (7.34), the representation of $K_M \simeq \mathrm{SO}(p-1) \times \mathrm{SO}(q-1)$ on $\mathfrak{n}$ is equivalent to $\sigma_{p-1} \boxtimes \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1} \boxtimes \sigma_{q-1}$. Note that $K = \mathrm{SO}(p) \times \mathrm{SO}(q)$. We have the identity in $R(K_M, \mathbf{R})$:

$$
i^*\big((\sigma_p - \mathbf{1}) \boxtimes \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1} \boxtimes (\sigma_p - \mathbf{1})\big) = \sigma_{p-1} \boxtimes \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1} \boxtimes \sigma_{q-1}, \tag{7.35}
$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 7.2 when $G = \mathrm{SO}^0(p,q)$ with $p \geqslant 1, q \geqslant 1$ odd. □

Proposition 7.9. *The group K acts on $\mathfrak{p}$ effectively.*

Proof. The proof is elementary as that of Proposition 7.8. The representation of $K \simeq \mathrm{SO}(p) \times \mathrm{SO}(q)$ on $\mathfrak{p}$ is equivalent to $\sigma_p \boxtimes \sigma_q$. Assume that $(k_1, k_2) \in \mathrm{SO}(p) \times \mathrm{SO}(q)$ acts on $\mathbf{R}^p \boxtimes \mathbf{R}^q$ as identity. If λ is any eigenvalue of k_1 and if μ is any eigenvalue of k_2, then

$$
\lambda\mu = 1. \tag{7.36}
$$

By (7.36), both k_1 and k_2 are scalars. Using the fact that $\det(k_1) = \det(k_2) = 1$ and that p, q are odd, we deduce $k_1 = 1$ and $k_2 = 1$. The proof of Proposition 7.9 is completed. □

7.1.3. *Isometric group of X.* In this subsection, we assume that G has a compact center. By Remark 7.7, $X = G/K$ is a symmetric space of the noncompact type.

Put

$$
G_* = \mathrm{Isom}^0(X), \tag{7.37}
$$

and let $K_* \subset G_*$ be the subgroup which leaves the point $p1 \in X$ fixed. Then G_* is a semisimple Lie group with trivial center, and with maximal compact subgroup K_*. We denote by $\mathfrak{g}_*$ and $\mathfrak{k}_*$ the Lie algebras of G_* and K_*. Let

$$
\mathfrak{g}_* = \mathfrak{p}_* \oplus \mathfrak{k}_* \tag{7.38}
$$

be the corresponding Cartan decomposition. Moreover,

$$
G_*/K_* \simeq X. \tag{7.39}
$$

Since G acts on X isometrically, we have a morphism of Lie groups

$$
i_G : G \to G_*. \tag{7.40}
$$

Let $i_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}_*$ be the induced morphism of Lie algebras. By (7.39), $i_{\mathfrak{g}}$ induces an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$\mathfrak{p} \simeq \mathfrak{p}_*. \tag{7.41}$$

Let $i_K : K \to K_*$ be the restriction of i_G to K. We have the identity in $R(K, \mathbf{R})$:

$$\mathfrak{p} = i_K^*(\mathfrak{p}^*). \tag{7.42}$$

Proposition 7.10. *The morphism of groups $i_G : G \to G_*$ is surjective. If K acts effectively on $\mathfrak{p}$, then i_G is an isomorphism of Lie groups. In particular, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Isom}^0\big(\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbf{R})/\mathrm{SO}(3)\big) &= \mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbf{R}), \\ \mathrm{Isom}^0\big(\mathrm{SO}^0(p,q)/\mathrm{SO}(p)\times\mathrm{SO}(q)\big) &= \mathrm{SO}^0(p,q), \end{aligned} \tag{7.43}$$

with $p \geqslant 1, q \geqslant 1$ odd.

Proof. The first two statements are consequences of [Hel78, Theorem 4.1]. By Propositions 7.8 and 7.9, we get (7.43). The proof of Proposition 7.10 is completed. □

Corollary 7.11. *We have*

$$G_* = G_1 \times G_2 \tag{7.44}$$

where $G_1 = \mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbf{R})$ or $G_1 = \mathrm{SO}^0(p,q)$ with $p \geqslant 1, q \geqslant 1$ odd, and where G_2 is a semisimple Lie group with trivial center with $\delta(G_2) = 0$.

Proof. Let $X = \prod_{i=1}^{l_1} X_l$ be the de Rham decomposition of (X, g^{TX}). Then every X_i is an irreducible symmetric space of the noncompact type. Also, we have

$$G_* = \prod_{i=1}^{l_1} \mathrm{Isom}^0(X_i), \tag{7.45}$$

By Theorem 7.4, (7.43) and (7.45), Corollary 7.11 follows. □

Proof of Theorem 7.2 for G_*. This is a consequence of Subsections 7.1.1, 7.1.2 and Corollary 7.11. □

7.1.4. *The case when G has a compact center.* Assume that G has a compact center. Set

$$\mathfrak{t}_* = i_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{t}) \subset \mathfrak{k}_*. \tag{7.46}$$

Since $i_K : K \to K_*$ is surjective, by [BrD85, Theorem IV.2.9], $\mathfrak{t}_*$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{k}_*$.

Proof of Theorem 7.2 when G has a compact center. Let $\mathfrak{b}_* \subset \mathfrak{p}_*$ be the analogue of $\mathfrak{b}$ defined by $\mathfrak{t}_*$. Thus,

$$\dim \mathfrak{b}_* = 1, \qquad \mathfrak{b}_* = i_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{b}). \tag{7.47}$$

We denote by $K_{*,M}, \mathfrak{p}_*^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b}_*), \mathfrak{n}_*$ the analogues of K_M, $\mathfrak{p}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b})$, $\mathfrak{n}$. By (7.41), $i_{\mathfrak{g}}$ induces an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$\mathfrak{p}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b}) \simeq \mathfrak{p}_*^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b}_*) \tag{7.48}$$

Let $i_{K_M} : K_M \to K_{*,M}$ be the restriction of i_G to K_M. We have the identity in $R(K_M, \mathbf{R})$:

$$\mathfrak{p}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b}) = i_{K_M}^*\left(\mathfrak{p}_*^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b}_*)\right). \tag{7.49}$$

Let $i' : K_{*,M} \to K_*$ be the embedding. Then the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_M & \xrightarrow{i} & K \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle i_{K_M}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i_K} \\ K_{*,M} & \xrightarrow{i'} & K_* \end{array} \tag{7.50}$$

commutes. By the result of Subsection 7.1.3, there is $E \in R(K_*, \mathbf{R})$ such that the following identity in $R(K_{*,M}, \mathbf{R})$ holds:

$$i'^*(E) = \mathfrak{n}_*. \tag{7.51}$$

By (7.8), (7.49)-(7.51), the identity in $R(K_M, \mathbf{R})$ holds:

$$\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{p}^\perp(\mathfrak{b}) = i^*_{K_M}\left(\mathfrak{p}^\perp_*(\mathfrak{b}_*)\right) = i^*_{K_M}(\mathfrak{n}_*) = i^*_{K_M} i'^*(E) = i^* i^*_K(E), \tag{7.52}$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 7.2. □

7.2. **A compact Hermitian symmetric space $Y_\mathfrak{b}$.** By (7.22), (7.25), (7.31) and (7.34), the element of $\mathfrak{b}$ acts on $\mathfrak{n}$ as a scalar. Let $\alpha \in \mathfrak{b}^*$ be such that for $a \in \mathfrak{b}$, $f \in \mathfrak{n}$, we have

$$[a, f] = \langle \alpha, a \rangle f. \tag{7.53}$$

Thus, for $\overline{f} \in \overline{\mathfrak{n}}$, we have

$$[a, \overline{f}] = -\langle \alpha, a \rangle \overline{f}. \tag{7.54}$$

We note that when G has a noncompact center, $\mathfrak{n} = 0$. We can choose any nonvanishing element $\alpha \in \mathfrak{b}^*$. Let $a_0 \in \mathfrak{b}$ be such that

$$\langle \alpha, a_0 \rangle = 1. \tag{7.55}$$

Proposition 7.12. *We have*

$$[\mathfrak{n}, \overline{\mathfrak{n}}] \subset \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{b}), \qquad [\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}] = [\overline{\mathfrak{n}}, \overline{\mathfrak{n}}] = 0. \tag{7.56}$$

Also,

$$B|_{\mathfrak{n} \times \mathfrak{n} = 0}, \qquad B|_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}} \times \overline{\mathfrak{n}}} = 0. \tag{7.57}$$

Proof. By (7.53) and (7.54), $a \in \mathfrak{b}$ acts respectively as the scalars 0, $2\langle \alpha, a \rangle$ and $-2\langle \alpha, a \rangle$ on $[\mathfrak{n}, \overline{\mathfrak{n}}]$, $[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}]$ and $[\overline{\mathfrak{n}}, \overline{\mathfrak{n}}]$. It implies (7.56).

If $f_1, f_2 \in \mathfrak{n}$, by (7.53) and (7.55), we have

$$B(f_1, f_2) = B([a_0, f_1], f_2) = -B(f_1, [a_0, f_2]) = -B(f_1, f_2). \tag{7.58}$$

From (7.58), we get the first equation of (7.57). We can deduce the second equation of (7.57) similarly. The proof of Proposition 7.12 is completed. □

Remark 7.13. Clearly, we have

$$[\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{b}), \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{b})] \subset \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{b}), \qquad \left[\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{b}), \mathfrak{z}^\perp(\mathfrak{b})\right] \subset \mathfrak{z}^\perp(\mathfrak{b}). \tag{7.59}$$

By (7.7) and (7.56), we get

$$\left[\mathfrak{z}^\perp(\mathfrak{b}), \mathfrak{z}^\perp(\mathfrak{b})\right] \subset \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{b}). \tag{7.60}$$

We note the similarity between (4.2) and the two equations (7.59) and (7.60). In the sequel, We call such a pair $(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{b}))$ a symmetric pair.

Let $\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b}) \subset \mathfrak{u}$ and $\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}} \subset \mathfrak{u}$ be respectively the compact form of $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{b})$ and $\mathfrak{m}$. Then,

$$\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b}) = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}, \qquad \mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}} = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}} \oplus \mathfrak{k}_{\mathfrak{m}}. \tag{7.61}$$

Let $U(\mathfrak{b}) \subset U$ and $U_M \subset U$ be the respective connected subgroups of U associated with Lie algebras $\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b})$ and $\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Since $\delta(M) = 0$, then M has a compact center. By [K86, Proposition 5.3], U_M is compact.

Set

$$Y_{\mathfrak{b}} = U/U(\mathfrak{b}). \tag{7.62}$$

Clearly, when G has a noncompact center, $Y_{\mathfrak{b}}$ is a point. When G has a compact center, we will show that $Y_{\mathfrak{b}}$ is a compact Hermitian symmetric space.

We assume for the moment that G has a compact center. Then U is compact. By [K02, Corollaire 4.51], the stabilizer of $\mathfrak{b}$ in U is connected, which is equal to $U(\mathfrak{b})$. Therefore, $U(\mathfrak{b})$ is compact. Let $A_0 \subset U$ be the connected subgroup of U associated with the Lie algebra $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{u}$. By (7.61), A_0 is in the center of $U(\mathfrak{b})$ such that

$$U(\mathfrak{b}) = A_0 U_M. \tag{7.63}$$

In Remark 7.16. we will show that A_0 is indeed a closed subgroup of U, and is diffeomorphic to a circle $\mathbb{S}^1$.

Recall that the bilinear form $-B$ induces an $\mathrm{Ad}(U)$-invariant metric on $\mathfrak{u}$. Let $\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b})$ be the orthogonal space to $\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b})$ in $\mathfrak{u}$, such that

$$\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b}) \oplus \mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b}). \tag{7.64}$$

By (7.1), we have

$$\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b}) = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{p}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b}) \oplus \mathfrak{k}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b}) \tag{7.65}$$

By (7.59) and (7.60), we have

$$[\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b}), \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b})] \subset \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b}), \qquad \left[\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b}), \mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b})\right] \subset \mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b}), \qquad \left[\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b}), \mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b})\right] \subset \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b}). \tag{7.66}$$

Let $\theta_{\mathfrak{b}} \in \mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{u})$ be such that

$$\theta_{\mathfrak{b}}|_{\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b})} = 1, \qquad \theta_{\mathfrak{b}}|_{\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b})} = -1. \tag{7.67}$$

By (7.66), $\theta_{\mathfrak{b}}$ is an automorphism of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}$.

Set

$$J = \sqrt{-1}\,\mathrm{ad}(a_0)|_{\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b})} \in \mathrm{End}\left(\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b})\right). \tag{7.68}$$

By (7.53)-(7.57), J is a $U(\mathfrak{b})$-invariant complex structure on $\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b})$, which preserves the restriction $B|_{\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b})}$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbf{C}} = \mathfrak{n} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathbf{C}} = \overline{\mathfrak{n}} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}$ are the eigenspaces of J associated with the eigenvalues $\sqrt{-1}$ and $-\sqrt{-1}$, such that

$$\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b}) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C} = \mathfrak{n}_{\mathbf{C}} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathbf{C}}. \tag{7.69}$$

The bilinear form $-B$ induces a Hermitian metric on $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbf{C}}$ such that for $f_1, f_2 \in \mathfrak{n}_{\mathbf{C}}$,

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_{\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbf{C}}} = -B(f_1, \overline{f_2}). \tag{7.70}$$

Since J commutes with the action of $U(\mathfrak{b})$, $U(\mathfrak{b})$ preserves the splitting (7.69). Therefore, $U(\mathfrak{b})$ acts on $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbf{C}}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathbf{C}}$. In particular, $U(\mathfrak{b})$ acts on $\Lambda^{\cdot}(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}^*_{\mathbf{C}})$. If $S^{\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b})}$ is the spinor of $(\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b}), -B)$, by (1.5), we have the isomorphism of representations of $U(\mathfrak{b})$,

$$\Lambda^{\cdot}(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}^*_{\mathbf{C}}) \simeq S^{\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b})} \otimes \det(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbf{C}})^{1/2}. \tag{7.71}$$

Since U_M acts trivially on $\det(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbf{C}})$, by (7.71), we have the isomorphism of representations of U_M,

$$\Lambda^{\cdot}(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}^*_{\mathbf{C}}) \simeq S^{\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b})}. \tag{7.72}$$

As in Section 4.2, let $\omega^{\mathfrak{u}}$ be the canonical left invariant 1-form on U with values in $\mathfrak{u}$, and let $\omega^{\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b})}$ and $\omega^{\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b})}$ be the $\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b})$ and $\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b})$ components of $\omega^{\mathfrak{u}}$, so that

$$\omega^{\mathfrak{u}} = \omega^{\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b})} + \omega^{\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b})}. \tag{7.73}$$

Then, $U \to Y_{\mathfrak{b}}$ is a $U(\mathfrak{b})$-principle bundle, equipped with a connection form $\omega^{\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b})}$. Let $\Omega^{\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b})}$ be the curvature form. As in (4.14), we have

$$\Omega^{\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b})} = -\frac{1}{2}\left[\omega^{\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b})}, \omega^{\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b})}\right]. \tag{7.74}$$

The real tangent bundle

$$TY_{\mathfrak{b}} = U \times_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b}) \tag{7.75}$$

is equipped with a Euclidean metric and a Euclidean connection $\nabla^{TY_{\mathfrak{b}}}$, which coincides with the Levi-Civita connection. By (7.68), J induces an almost complex structure on $TY_{\mathfrak{b}}$. Let $T^{(1,0)}Y_{\mathfrak{b}}$ and $T^{(0,1)}Y_{\mathfrak{b}}$ be the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic tangent bundles. Then

$$T^{(1,0)}Y_{\mathfrak{b}} = U \times_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathfrak{n}_{\mathbf{C}}, \qquad T^{(0,1)}Y_{\mathfrak{b}} = U \times_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathbf{C}}. \tag{7.76}$$

By (7.56) and (7.76), J is integrable.

The Hermitian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbf{C}}}$ on $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbf{C}}$ induces a Hermitian metric on $T^{(1,0)}Y_{\mathfrak{b}}$. Let $\omega^{Y_{\mathfrak{b}}} \in \Omega^2(Y_{\mathfrak{b}})$ be the corresponding Kähler form as (1.3). Clearly, $\omega^{Y_{\mathfrak{b}}}$ is closed. Then $(Y_{\mathfrak{b}}, \omega^{Y_{\mathfrak{b}}})$ is a Kähler manifold. Note that $\dim \mathfrak{n}$ is even. Set

$$l = \frac{1}{2}\dim \mathfrak{n}. \tag{7.77}$$

Let $f_1, \ldots, f_{2l} \in \mathfrak{n}$ be such that

$$-B(f_i, \overline{f}_j) = \delta_{ij}. \tag{7.78}$$

Then $f_1, \ldots, f_{2l}$ is an orthogonal basis of $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbf{C}}$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbf{C}}}$. Let $f^1, \ldots, f^{2l}$ be the dual base of $\mathfrak{n}^*_{\mathbf{C}}$. The Kähler form $\omega^{Y_{\mathfrak{b}}}$ on $Y_{\mathfrak{b}}$ is given by

$$\omega^{Y_{\mathfrak{b}}} = -\sum_{1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant 2l} B(f_i, J\overline{f}_j) f^i \overline{f}^j = -\sqrt{-1} \sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2l} f^i \overline{f}^i. \tag{7.79}$$

Let us give an explicit description of $Y_{\mathfrak{b}}$, although this description will not be needed in the following sections. If $n \in \mathbf{N}$, consider the following closed subgroups:

$$\begin{aligned} &A \in \mathrm{U}(2) \to \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & \det^{-1}(A) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SU}(3), \\ &(A, B) \in \mathrm{SO}(n) \times \mathrm{SO}(2) \to \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SO}(n+2). \end{aligned} \tag{7.80}$$

Proposition 7.14. *If G has a compact center, then $Y_{\mathfrak{b}}$ is a Hermitian symmetric space of the compact type. More precisely,*

$$Y_{\mathfrak{b}} \simeq \mathrm{SU}(3)/\mathrm{U}(2) \text{ or } \mathrm{SO}(p+q)/\mathrm{SO}(p+q-2) \times \mathrm{SO}(2), \tag{7.81}$$

with $p \geqslant 1$, $q \geqslant 1$ odd.

Proof. Let U_* and $U_*(\mathfrak{b}_*)$ be the analogues of U and $U(\mathfrak{b})$ when G and $\mathfrak{b}$ are replaced by G_* and $\mathfrak{b}_*$. It is enough to show the identification

$$Y_{\mathfrak{b}} \simeq U_*/U_*(\mathfrak{b}_*). \tag{7.82}$$

Indeed, by Corollary 7.11 and (7.82), we get (7.81).

Let $Z_U \subset U$ be the center of U, and let Z_U^0 be the connected component of the identity in Z_U. Let $U_{ss} \subset U$ be the connected subgroup of U associated to the Lie algebra $[\mathfrak{u},\mathfrak{u}] \subset \mathfrak{u}$. By [K86, Proposition 4.32], U_{ss} is compact, and $U = U_{ss}Z_U^0$.

Let $U_{ss}(\mathfrak{b})$ be the analogues of $U(\mathfrak{b})$ when U is replaced by U_{ss}. Then $U(\mathfrak{b}) = U_{ss}(\mathfrak{b})Z_U^0$, and the imbedding $U_{ss} \to U$ induces an isomorphism of homogenous spaces

$$U_{ss}/U_{ss}(\mathfrak{b}) \simeq U/U(\mathfrak{b}). \tag{7.83}$$

Let $\widetilde{U}_{ss}$ be a universal cover of U_{ss}. Since U_{ss} is semisimple, $\widetilde{U}_{ss}$ is compact. We define $\widetilde{U}_{ss}(\mathfrak{b})$ similarly. The canonical projection $\widetilde{U}_{ss} \to U_{ss}$ induces an isomorphism of homogenous spaces

$$\widetilde{U}_{ss}/\widetilde{U}_{ss}(\mathfrak{b}) \simeq U_{ss}/U_{ss}(\mathfrak{b}). \tag{7.84}$$

Similarly, since U_* is semisimple, if $\widetilde{U}_*$ is a universal cover of U_*, and if we define $\widetilde{U}_*(\mathfrak{b})$ in the same way, we have

$$\widetilde{U}_*/\widetilde{U}_*(\mathfrak{b}) \simeq U_*/U_*(\mathfrak{b}). \tag{7.85}$$

The surjective morphism of Lie algebras $i_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}_*$ induces a surjective morphism of the compact forms $i_{\mathfrak{u}} : \mathfrak{u} \to \mathfrak{u}_*$. Since $\mathfrak{u}_*$ is semisimple, the restriction of $i_{\mathfrak{u}}$ to $[\mathfrak{u},\mathfrak{u}]$ is still surjective. It lifts to a surjective morphism of Lie groups

$$\widetilde{U}_{ss} \to \widetilde{U}_*. \tag{7.86}$$

Since both $\widetilde{U}_{ss}$ and $\widetilde{U}_*$ are simply connected, we can assume that there is a connected and simply connected semisimple compact Lie group U' such that $\widetilde{U}_{ss} = \widetilde{U}_* \times U'$, and that the morphism (7.86) is the canonical projection. Therefore,

$$\widetilde{U}_{ss}/\widetilde{U}_{ss}(\mathfrak{b}) \simeq \widetilde{U}_*/\widetilde{U}_*(\mathfrak{b}_*). \tag{7.87}$$

From (7.62), (7.83)-(7.85) and (7.87), we get (7.82). The proof of Proposition 7.14 is completed. □

Remark 7.15. The Hermitian symmetric space on the right-hand side of (7.81) are irreducible and respectively of the type AIII and type BDI in the classification of Cartan [Hel78, p. 518 Table V].

Remark 7.16. By definition, $A_0 \subset U_{ss}$. Let $\widetilde{A}_0 \subset \widetilde{U}_{ss}$ and $A_{*0} \subset U_*$ be the analogues of A_0 when U is replaced by $\widetilde{U}_{ss}$ and U_*. As in the proof of Proposition 7.14, we can show that $\widetilde{A}_0$ is a finite cover of A_0 and A_{*0}. On the other hand, by elementary calculation, we can verifier that A_{*0} is a circle $\mathbb{S}^1$, from which we deduce that both $\widetilde{A}_0, A_0$ are circles.

We return to the general case, namely we do not assume that G has a compact center. By definition, $U(\mathfrak{b})$ acts trivially on $\mathfrak{b}$. It also acts on $\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Put

$$N_{\mathfrak{b}} = U \times_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}. \tag{7.88}$$

Then, $N_{\mathfrak{b}}$ is a Euclidean vector bundle on $Y_{\mathfrak{b}}$ with a metric connection $\nabla^{N_{\mathfrak{b}}}$. By (7.61), (7.64), (7.75) and (7.88), we have

$$TY_{\mathfrak{b}} \oplus N_{\mathfrak{b}} \oplus \mathbf{R} = \mathfrak{u}, \tag{7.89}$$

where $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathfrak{u}$ stand for the corresponding trivial bundles on $Y_{\mathfrak{b}}$. We have an analogue of [B11, Proposition 7.1.1].

Proposition 7.17. *The following identity of closed forms holds on $Y_{\mathfrak{b}}$:*

$$\widehat{A}\left(TY_{\mathfrak{b}}, \nabla^{TY_{\mathfrak{b}}}\right) \widehat{A}\left(N_{\mathfrak{b}}, \nabla^{N_{\mathfrak{b}}}\right) = 1. \tag{7.90}$$

Proof. It is a consequence of (7.89). □

Recall that the curvature form $\Omega^{\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b})}$ is a 2-form on $Y_{\mathfrak{b}}$ with values in $U \times_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b})$. Let $\Omega^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ be the $\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}$-component of $\Omega^{\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b})}$. By (7.55), (7.74) and (7.79), we have

$$\Omega^{\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b})} = \sqrt{-1}\frac{a_0}{|a_0|^2} \otimes \omega^{Y_{\mathfrak{b}}} + \Omega^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}}. \tag{7.91}$$

By (7.91), the curvature of $(N_{\mathfrak{b}}, \nabla^{N_{\mathfrak{b}}})$ is given by

$$R^{N_{\mathfrak{b}}} = \mathrm{ad}\left(\Omega^{\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b})}\right)\Big|_{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}} = \mathrm{ad}\left(\Omega^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}}\right)\Big|_{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}}. \tag{7.92}$$

Also, $B\left(\Omega^{\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b})}, \Omega^{\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b})}\right)$ and $B\left(\Omega^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}}, \Omega^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}}\right)$ are well defined 4-forms on $Y_{\mathfrak{b}}$. We have an analogue of [B11, Equation (7.5.19)].

Proposition 7.18. *The following identities hold:*

$$B\left(\Omega^{\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b})}, \Omega^{\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b})}\right) = 0, \qquad B\left(\Omega^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}}, \Omega^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}}\right) = \frac{\omega^{Y_{\mathfrak{b}},2}}{|a_0|^2}. \tag{7.93}$$

Proof. If $e_1, \cdots, e_{4l}$ is an orthogonal basis of $\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b})$, by (7.74), we have

$$\begin{aligned} B\left(\Omega^{\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b})}, \Omega^{\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b})}\right) &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{1 \leqslant i,j,i',j' \leqslant 4l} B\big([e_i, e_j], [e_{i'}, e_{j'}]\big) e^i e^j e^{i'} e^{j'} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{1 \leqslant i,j,i',j' \leqslant 4l} B\big([[e_i, e_j], e_{i'}], e_{j'}\big) e^i e^j e^{i'} e^{j'}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.94}$$

Using the Jacobi identity and (7.94), we get the first equation of (7.93).

The second equation of (7.93) is a consequence of (7.91) and the first equation of (7.93). The proof of Proposition 7.18 is completed. □

7.3. **Auxiliary virtual representations of K.** Let ς be a real finite dimensional representation of M on the vector space E_{ς}.

Assumption 7.19. *We assume that:*

1. *The restriction $\varsigma|_{K_M}$ on K_M can be lifted into $R(K, \mathbf{R})$;*
2. *The action of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}} \subset \mathfrak{m} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}$ on $E_{\varsigma} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}$, induced by complexification, can be lifted to an action of Lie group U_M;*
3. *The Casimir element $C^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ of $\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ acts on $E_{\varsigma} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}$ as a scalar $C^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}, \varsigma} \in \mathbf{R}$.*

Proposition 7.20. *For $0 \leqslant j \leqslant 2l$, the representation ς_j of M on $\Lambda^j(\mathfrak{n}^*)$ satisfies Assumption 7.19 such that*

$$C^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}, \varsigma_j} = \frac{1}{4}\big(B^*(\kappa^{\mathfrak{g}}, \kappa^{\mathfrak{g}}) - B^*(\kappa^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}}, \kappa^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}})\big) + (j-l)^2|\alpha|^2. \tag{7.95}$$

Proof. By Corollary 7.3, ς_j satisfies the first condition of Assumption 7.19. By (7.69), $U(\mathfrak{b})$ acts on $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbf{C}}$. In particular, ς_j satisfies the second condition of Assumption 7.19.

The Equation (7.95) is [MoSt91, Lemma 2.5], which was showed case by case using the classification Theorem 7.4. We give a conceptual proof here.

When G has a noncompact center, $l = 0$ and ς_0 is the trivial representation. Equation (7.95) follows trivially.

Assume now that G has a compact center. Recall that $(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b}))$ is a compact symmetric pair, and that $S^{\mathfrak{u}^\perp(\mathfrak{b})}$ is the $\mathfrak{u}^\perp(\mathfrak{b})$-spinors. Let $C^{\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b}),S^{\mathfrak{u}^\perp(\mathfrak{b})}}$ be the Casimir element of $\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b})$ acting on $S^{\mathfrak{u}^\perp(\mathfrak{b})}$. By [BorWa00, p. 45, (2)], $C^{\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b}),S^{\mathfrak{u}^\perp(\mathfrak{b})}}$ is a scalar such that

$$-C^{\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b}),S^{\mathfrak{u}^\perp(\mathfrak{b})}} = 4\pi^2\left(\left|\rho^{\mathfrak{u}}\right|^2 - \left|\rho^{\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b})}\right|^2\right). \tag{7.96}$$

Let $a_1 \in \mathfrak{b}$ be such that $|a_1| = 1$ and $|\alpha| = \langle \alpha, a_1\rangle$. Let $C^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}},\Lambda^\cdot(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}^*_{\mathbf{C}})}$ be the Casimir element of $\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ acting on $\Lambda^\cdot(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}^*_{\mathbf{C}})$. By (4.10), (7.71) and (7.72), we have

$$C^{\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b}),S^{\mathfrak{u}^\perp(\mathfrak{b})}} = C^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}},\Lambda^\cdot(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}^*_{\mathbf{C}})} - \left(\mathrm{Ad}(a_1)|_{\Lambda^\cdot(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}^*_{\mathbf{C}})\otimes\det^{-1/2}(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbf{C}})}\right)^2. \tag{7.97}$$

By definition, we have

$$\mathrm{Ad}(a_1)|_{\Lambda^j(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}^*_{\mathbf{C}})\otimes\det^{-1/2}(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbf{C}})} = (j-l)|\alpha|. \tag{7.98}$$

By (6.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 4\pi^2\left|\rho^{\mathfrak{u}}\right|^2 &= -\frac{1}{4}B^*(\kappa^{\mathfrak{u}},\kappa^{\mathfrak{u}}) = -\frac{1}{4}B^*(\kappa^{\mathfrak{g}},\kappa^{\mathfrak{g}}),\\ 4\pi^2\left|\rho^{\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b})}\right|^2 &= 4\pi^2\left|\rho^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}}\right|^2 = -\frac{1}{4}B^*\left(\kappa^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}},\kappa^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{7.99}$$

From (7.96)-(7.99), we get (7.95). The proof of Proposition 7.20 is completed. □

Assume now ς satisfies Assumption 7.19. By Corollary 7.3, there exists $\widehat{\varsigma} = \widehat{\varsigma}^+ \oplus \widehat{\varsigma}^-$ a real finite dimensional $\mathbf{Z}_2$-representation of K on $E_{\widehat{\varsigma}} = E^+_{\widehat{\varsigma}} \oplus E^-_{\widehat{\varsigma}}$ such that the following identity in $R(K_M, \mathbf{R})$ holds:

$$\left(E^+_{\widehat{\varsigma}} - E^-_{\widehat{\varsigma}}\right)|_{K_M} = \sum_{i=0}^{\dim \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}} (-1)^i \Lambda^i(\mathfrak{p}^*_{\mathfrak{m}}) \otimes (E_\varsigma|_{K_M}). \tag{7.100}$$

Proposition 7.21. *The following identity in $R(K, \mathbf{R})$ holds:*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m}(-1)^{i-1} i\Lambda^i(\mathfrak{p}^*) = \sum_{j=0}^{2l}(-1)^j(E^+_{\widehat{\varsigma}_j} - E^-_{\widehat{\varsigma}_j}). \tag{7.101}$$

Proof. Since the restriction $i^* : R(K, \mathbf{R}) \to R(K_M, \mathbf{R})$ is injective, it is enough to show (7.101) when restricted to K_M. By (7.10), we have the identity in $R(K_M, \mathbf{R})$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m}(-1)^{i-1} i\Lambda^i(\mathfrak{p}^*) = \sum_{i=0}^{\dim \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}}\sum_{j=0}^{2l}(-1)^{i+j}\Lambda^i(\mathfrak{p}^*_{\mathfrak{m}}) \otimes \Lambda^j(\mathfrak{n}^*). \tag{7.102}$$

By (7.100) and (7.102), we get (7.101). The proof of Proposition 7.21 is completed. □

As in Section 4.2, let $\mathcal{E}_{\widehat{\varsigma}} = G \times_K E_{\widehat{\varsigma}}$ be the induced $\mathbf{Z}_2$-graded vector bundle on X. Let $C^{\mathfrak{g},X,\widehat{\varsigma}}$ be the corresponding Casimir element of G acting on $C^\infty(X, \mathcal{E}_{\widehat{\varsigma}})$. In the following two sections, we will evaluate $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{[\gamma]}\left[\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X,\widehat{\varsigma}}/2)\right]$ when $\gamma = 1$ and when $\gamma \in G$ is a nonelliptic semisimple element.

7.4. **A vanishing result on** $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{[\gamma]}\left[\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X,\widehat{\varsigma}}/2)\right]$**.** The following theorem generalises Theorem 6.10.

Theorem 7.22. *Let $\gamma \in G$ be semisimple such that $\dim \mathfrak{b}(\gamma) \geqslant 2$. For $Y \in \mathfrak{k}(\gamma)$, we have*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{E_{\widehat{\varsigma}}}\left[\widehat{\varsigma}\left(k^{-1}\right)\exp(-i\widehat{\varsigma}(Y))\right] = 0. \tag{7.103}$$

In particular, for $t > 0$, we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{[\gamma]}\left[\exp\left(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X,\widehat{\varsigma}}/2\right)\right] = 0. \tag{7.104}$$

Proof. As in (6.9)-(6.12), we assume that $\gamma = e^a k^{-1}$ such that

$$a \in \mathfrak{b}(\gamma), \qquad k \in T, \qquad \mathfrak{t}(\gamma) \subset \mathfrak{t}. \tag{7.105}$$

As before, it is enough to show (7.103) for $Y \in \mathfrak{t}(\gamma)$.

By (7.100) and (7.105), for $Y \in \mathfrak{t}(\gamma)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{E_{\widehat{\varsigma}}}&\left[\widehat{\varsigma}\left(k^{-1}\right)\exp(-i\widehat{\varsigma}(Y))\right] \\ &= \det\left(1-\mathrm{Ad}(k)\exp(i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y))\right)|_{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}}\,\mathrm{Tr}^{E_{\varsigma}}\left[\varsigma\left(k^{-1}\right)\exp(-i\varsigma(Y))\right].\end{aligned} \tag{7.106}$$

As in the proof of Proposition 6.2, it is enough to show

$$\dim \mathfrak{b}(\gamma) \cap \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}} \geqslant 1. \tag{7.107}$$

Since $\dim \mathfrak{b}(\gamma) \geqslant 2$, we have $a \neq 0$. Let

$$a = a^1 + a^2 + a^3 \in \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}} \oplus \mathfrak{p}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b}). \tag{7.108}$$

By (6.9) and (7.105), $a^i \in \mathfrak{b}(\gamma)$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$. If $a^2 \neq 0$, we get (7.107). If $a^2 = 0$ and $a^3 = 0$, we have $a \in \mathfrak{b}$ and $k \in T$, which implies $\mathfrak{b}(\gamma) = 1$ and contradicts with $\dim \mathfrak{b}(\gamma) \geqslant 2$.

It remains to consider the case

$$a^2 = 0, \qquad a^3 \neq 0. \tag{7.109}$$

We will follow the steps in the proof of Theorem 7.2.

The case where G has a noncompact center. Since $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathbf{R}$, then $\mathfrak{p}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b}) = 0$. Then a_3 is always zero, which contradicts with (7.109).

The case $G = \mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbf{R})$. By (7.21) and (7.23), the representation of $T \simeq \mathrm{SO}(2)$ on $\mathfrak{p}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b})$ is equivalent to σ_2. A nontrivial element of T never fixes a^3. Thus,

$$k = 1. \tag{7.110}$$

Since $a \notin \mathfrak{b}$, a does not commute with all the elements of $\mathfrak{t}$. From (7.105), we get

$$\dim \mathfrak{t}(\gamma) < \dim \mathfrak{t} = 1. \tag{7.111}$$

Thus,

$$\mathfrak{t}(\gamma) = 0. \tag{7.112}$$

By (7.110) and (7.112), we see that $\mathfrak{b}(\gamma) = \mathfrak{p}$. It implies (7.107).

The case $G = \mathrm{SO}^0(p,q)$ with $p \geqslant 1, q \geqslant 1$ odd. By (7.31) and (7.33), the representations of $K_M \simeq \mathrm{SO}(p-1) \times \mathrm{SO}(q-1)$ on $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $\mathfrak{p}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b})$ are equivalent to $\sigma_{p-1} \boxtimes \sigma_{q-1}$ and $\sigma_{p-1} \boxtimes \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1} \boxtimes \sigma_{q-1}$. We identify $a^3 \in \mathfrak{p}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b})$ with

$$v^1 + v^2 \in \mathbf{R}^{p-1} \oplus \mathbf{R}^{q-1}. \tag{7.113}$$

Then v^1 and v^2 are fixed by $\mathrm{Ad}(k)$ and commute with $\mathfrak{t}(\gamma)$.

If $v^1 \neq 0$ and $v^2 \neq 0$, then the nonzero element $v^1 \boxtimes v^2 \in \mathbf{R}^{p-1} \boxtimes \mathbf{R}^{q-1} \simeq \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is in $\mathfrak{b}(\gamma)$. It implies (7.107).

If $v^2 = 0$, we will show that γ can be conjugated into H by an element of K, which implies $\dim \mathfrak{b}(\gamma) = 1$ and contradicts with $\dim \mathfrak{b}(\gamma) \geqslant 2$. (The proof for the case $v^1 = 0$ is similar.) Without loss of generality, assume that there exist $s \in \mathbf{N}$ with $1 \leqslant s \leqslant (p-1)/2$ and $\lambda_s, \cdots, \lambda_{(p-1)/2} \in \mathbf{C}$ nonzero complex numbers such that,

$$v^1 = \left(0, \cdots, 0, \lambda_s, \cdots, \lambda_{(p-1)/2}\right) \in \mathbf{C}^{(p-1)/2} \simeq \mathbf{R}^{p-1}. \tag{7.114}$$

Then there exists $x \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & v^1 & 0 \\ 0 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix} & 0 & 0 \\ v^{1t} & & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{p}. \tag{7.115}$$

By (7.30) and (7.105), there exist $A \in T_{p-1}$ and $D \in T_{q-1}$ such that

$$k = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \in T. \tag{7.116}$$

If we identify $T_{p-1} \simeq U(1)^{(p-1)/2}$, there are $\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_{(p-1)/2} \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$A = \left(e^{2i\pi\theta_1}, \cdots, e^{2i\pi\theta_{(p-1)/2}}\right). \tag{7.117}$$

Since k fixes a, by (7.114)-(7.117), for $i = s, \cdots, (p-1)/2$, we have

$$e^{2i\pi\theta_i} = 1. \tag{7.118}$$

If $W \in \mathfrak{so}(p-2s+2)$, set

$$l(W) = \begin{pmatrix} \overbrace{0}^{\text{p col.}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & W & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{k}. \tag{7.119}$$

By (7.116)-(7.119), we have

$$kl(W) = l(W)k. \tag{7.120}$$

Put $w = (\lambda_s, \cdots, \lambda_{(p-1)/2}, x) \in \mathbf{C}^{(p-2s+1)/2} \oplus \mathbf{R} \simeq \mathbf{R}^{p-2s+2}$. There exists $W \in \mathfrak{so}(p-2s+2)$ such that

$$\exp(W)w = (0, \cdots, 0, |w|), \tag{7.121}$$

where $|w|$ is the Euclidean norm of w.

Put

$$k' = \exp(l(W)) \in K. \tag{7.122}$$

By (7.120) and (7.121), we have

$$\mathrm{Ad}(k')a \in \mathfrak{b}, \qquad k'kk'^{-1} = k. \tag{7.123}$$

Thus, γ is conjugated by k' into H.

The case where G has a compact center. By (7.11), $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ with $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbf{R})$ or $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{so}(p,q)$ with $p \geqslant 1, q \geqslant 1$ odd. By (7.17) and (7.109), we have $a \in \mathfrak{g}_1$. The

arguments in (7.110)-(7.113) extend directly. We only need to take care of the case $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{so}(p,q)$ and $a^2 = 0, v^1 \neq 0$ and $v^2 = 0$. In this case, the arguments in (7.115)-(7.121) extend to the group of isometries G_*. In particular, there is $W_* \in \mathfrak{k}_*$ such that

$$\mathrm{Ad}\left(\exp(W_*)\right) i_{\mathfrak{g}}(a) \in \mathfrak{b}_*, \qquad \mathrm{Ad}(i_G(k))W_* = W_*. \tag{7.124}$$

By (7.41), $\ker(i_{\mathfrak{g}}) \subset \mathfrak{k}$. Let $\ker(i_{\mathfrak{g}})^{\perp}$ be the orthogonal space of $\ker(i_{\mathfrak{g}})$ in $\mathfrak{k}$. Then,

$$\mathfrak{k} = \ker(i_{\mathfrak{g}}) \oplus \ker(i_{\mathfrak{g}})^{\perp}, \qquad \ker(i_{\mathfrak{g}})^{\perp} \simeq \mathfrak{k}_*. \tag{7.125}$$

Take $W = (0, W_*) \in \mathfrak{k}$. Put

$$k' = \exp(W) \in K. \tag{7.126}$$

By (7.124) and (7.126), we get (7.123). Thus, γ is conjugated by k' into H. The proof of Theorem 7.22 is completed. □

7.5. **Evaluation of** $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{[\gamma]}\left[\exp\left(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X,\widehat{\varsigma}}/2\right)\right]$**.** By (7.63), the complex representation of U_M on $E_\varsigma \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}$ extends to a complex representation of $U(\mathfrak{b})$ such that A_0 acts trivially. Set

$$F_{\mathfrak{b},\varsigma} = U \times_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \left(E_\varsigma \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}\right). \tag{7.127}$$

Then $F_{\mathfrak{b},\varsigma}$ is a complex vector bundle on $Y_{\mathfrak{b}}$. It is equipped with a connection $\nabla^{F_{\mathfrak{b},\varsigma}}$, induced by $\omega^{\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b})}$, with curvature $R^{F_{\mathfrak{b},\varsigma}}$.

Remark 7.23. When $\varsigma = \varsigma_j$, the above action of $U(\mathfrak{b})$ on $\Lambda^j(\mathfrak{n}^*_{\mathbf{C}})$ is different from the adjoint action of $U(\mathfrak{b})$ on $\Lambda^j(\mathfrak{n}^*_{\mathbf{C}})$ introduced by (7.69).

Put

$$c_G = (-1)^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \frac{|W(T,U_M)|}{|W(T,K)|} \frac{\mathrm{vol}(K/K_M)}{\mathrm{vol}(U_M/K_M)}. \tag{7.128}$$

By Bott's formula [Bo65, p. 175]

$$\chi(K/K_M) = \frac{|W(T,K)|}{|W(T,K_M)|}, \tag{7.129}$$

and by (6.45), (7.128), we have a more geometric expression for c_G,

$$c_G = (-1)^l \frac{\left[e\left(TX_M, \nabla^{TX_M}\right)\right]^{\max}}{\left[e\left(T(K/K_M), \nabla^{T(K/K_M)}\right)\right]^{\max}}. \tag{7.130}$$

Note that $\dim \mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b}) = 2\dim \mathfrak{n} = 4l$. If $\beta \in \Lambda^{\cdot}(\mathfrak{u}^{\perp,*}(\mathfrak{b}))$, let $[\beta]^{\max} \in \mathbf{R}$ be such that

$$\beta - [\beta]^{\max} \frac{\omega^{Y_{\mathfrak{b}},2l}}{(4l)!} \tag{7.131}$$

is of degree smaller than $4l$.

Theorem 7.24. *For $t > 0$, the following identity holds:*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{[1]}\left[\exp\left(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X,\widehat{\varsigma}}/2\right)\right] = \frac{c_G}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \exp\left(\frac{t}{8}\left(B^*(\kappa^{\mathfrak{g}},\kappa^{\mathfrak{g}}) - B^*(\kappa^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}},\kappa^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}})\right) - \frac{t}{2}C^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}},\varsigma}\right) \left[\exp\left(-\frac{\omega^{Y_{\mathfrak{b}},2}}{8\pi^2|a_0|^2 t}\right) \widehat{A}\left(TY_{\mathfrak{b}}, \nabla^{TY_{\mathfrak{b}}}\right) \mathrm{ch}\left(F_{\mathfrak{b},\varsigma}, \nabla^{F_{\mathfrak{b},\varsigma}}\right)\right]^{\max}. \tag{7.132}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 6.3. By (4.77) and (6.7), we have

$$\mathrm{Tr_s}^{[1]}\left[\exp\left(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X,\widehat{\varsigma}}/2\right)\right]=\frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{(m+n)/2}}\exp\left(B^*(\kappa^{\mathfrak{g}},\kappa^{\mathfrak{g}})t/8\right)\tag{7.133}$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{vol}(K/T)}{|W(T,K)|}\int_{Y\in\mathfrak{t}}|\pi_{\mathfrak{k}}(Y)|^2J_1(Y)\,\mathrm{Tr_s}^{E_{\widehat{\varsigma}}}\left[\exp(-i\widehat{\varsigma}(Y))\right]\exp(-|Y|^2/2t)dY.$$

Since $\mathfrak{t}$ is also a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}$, as in the proof of Theorem 6.3, we will write the integral on the second line of (7.133) as an integral over $\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

By (7.8), we have the isomorphism of representations of K_M,

$$\mathfrak{p}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b})\simeq\mathfrak{k}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b}).\tag{7.134}$$

By (4.76) and (7.134), for $Y\in\mathfrak{t}$, we have

$$J_1(Y)=\frac{\widehat{A}(i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y)|_{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}})}{\widehat{A}(i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y)|_{\mathfrak{k}_{\mathfrak{m}}})}.\tag{7.135}$$

By (6.3), (7.106), and (7.135), for $Y\in\mathfrak{t}$, we have

$$\frac{|\pi_{\mathfrak{k}}(Y)|^2}{|\pi_{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}}(Y)|^2}J_1(Y)\,\mathrm{Tr_s}^{E_{\widehat{\varsigma}}}\left[\exp\left(-i\widehat{\varsigma}(Y)\right)\right]\tag{7.136}$$
$$=(-1)^{\frac{\dim\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}}{2}}\det\big(\mathrm{ad}(Y)\big)|_{\mathfrak{k}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b})}\widehat{A}^{-1}\big(i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y)|_{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}}\big)\,\mathrm{Tr}^{E_{\varsigma}}\left[\exp\left(-i\varsigma(Y)\right)\right].$$

Using (7.8), for $Y\in\mathfrak{t}$, we have

$$\det\big(\mathrm{ad}(Y)\big)|_{\mathfrak{k}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b})}=\det\big(\mathrm{ad}(Y)\big)|_{\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbf{C}}}.\tag{7.137}$$

By the second condition of Assumption 7.19 and by (7.137), the function on the right-hand side of (7.136) extends naturally to an $\mathrm{Ad}(U_M)$-invariant function defined on $\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}$. By (6.7), (7.128), (7.133), (7.136) and (7.137), we have

$$\mathrm{Tr_s}^{[1]}\left[\exp\left(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X,\widehat{\varsigma}}/2\right)\right]=\frac{(-1)^lc_G}{(2\pi t)^{(m+n)/2}}\exp\left(B^*(\kappa^{\mathfrak{g}},\kappa^{\mathfrak{g}})t/8\right)\tag{7.138}$$
$$\int_{Y\in\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}}\det\big(\mathrm{ad}(Y)\big)|_{\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbf{C}}}\widehat{A}^{-1}\big(i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y)|_{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}}\big)\,\mathrm{Tr}^{E_{\varsigma}}\left[\exp(-i\varsigma(Y))\right]\exp\left(-|Y|^2/2t\right)dY.$$

It remains to evaluate the integral on the second line of (7.138). For $Y\in\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}$, we have

$$|Y|^2=-B(Y,Y).\tag{7.139}$$

By (7.70), for $Y\in\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}$, we have

$$B\left(Y,\Omega^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}}\right)=-\sum_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant 2l}B\left(\mathrm{ad}(Y)f_i,\overline{f}_j\right)f^i\wedge\overline{f}^j=\sum_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant 2l}\langle\mathrm{ad}(Y)f_i,f_j\rangle_{\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbf{C}}}f^i\wedge\overline{f}^j.\tag{7.140}$$

By (7.79), (7.131) and (7.140), for $Y\in\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}$, we have

$$\frac{\det(\mathrm{ad}(Y))|_{\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbf{C}}}}{(2\pi t)^{2l}}=(-1)^l\left[\exp\left(\frac{1}{t}B\left(Y,\frac{\Omega^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}}}{2\pi}\right)\right)\right]^{\max}.\tag{7.141}$$

From (7.138) and (7.141), we get

$$\mathrm{Tr_s}^{[1]}\left[\exp\left(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X,\widehat{\varsigma}}/2\right)\right]=\frac{c_G}{\sqrt{2\pi t}}\exp\left(B^*(\kappa^{\mathfrak{g}},\kappa^{\mathfrak{g}})t/8\right)\tag{7.142}$$
$$\exp\left(\frac{t}{2}\Delta^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}}\right)\left\{\widehat{A}^{-1}\big(i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y)|_{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}}\big)\,\mathrm{Tr}^{E_{\varsigma}}\left[\exp(-i\varsigma(Y))\right]\exp\left(\frac{1}{t}B\left(Y,\frac{\Omega^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}}}{2\pi}\right)\right)\right\}^{\max}\Bigg|_{Y=0}.$$

Using

$$B\left(Y,\frac{\Omega^{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m}}}{2\pi}\right)+\frac{1}{2}B(Y,Y)=\frac{1}{2}B\left(Y+\frac{\Omega^{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m}}}{2\pi},Y+\frac{\Omega^{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m}}}{2\pi}\right)-\frac{1}{2}B\left(\frac{\Omega^{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m}}}{2\pi},\frac{\Omega^{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m}}}{2\pi}\right), \tag{7.143}$$

by (7.93) and (7.142), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{[1]}\left[\exp\left(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X,\widehat{\varsigma}}/2\right)\right]&=\frac{c_G}{\sqrt{2\pi t}}\exp\left(B^*(\kappa^{\mathfrak{g}},\kappa^{\mathfrak{g}})t/8\right)\\&\left\{\exp\left(-\frac{\omega^{Y_\mathfrak{b},2}}{8\pi^2|a_0|^2t}\right)\exp\left(\frac{t}{2}\Delta^{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m}}\right)\left(\widehat{A}^{-1}\big(i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y)|_{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m}}\big)\,\mathrm{Tr}^{E_\varsigma}\left[\exp(-i\varsigma(Y))\right]\right)\right\}^{\max}\Big|_{Y=-\frac{\Omega^{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m}}}{2\pi}}.\end{aligned} \tag{7.144}$$

We claim that the $\mathrm{Ad}\left(U_M\right)$-invariant function

$$Y\in\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m}\to\widehat{A}^{-1}\big(i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y)|_{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m}}\big)\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{E_\varsigma}\left[\exp(-i\varsigma(Y))\right] \tag{7.145}$$

is an eigenfunction of $\Delta^{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m}}$ with eigenvalue

$$-C^{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m},\varsigma}-\frac{1}{4}B^*(\kappa^{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m}},\kappa^{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m}}). \tag{7.146}$$

Indeed, as in (6.30), it is enough to show that the function

$$Y\in\mathfrak{t}\to\pi_{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m}}(Y)\widehat{A}^{-1}\big(i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y)\big)|_{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m}}\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{E_\varsigma}\left[\exp(-i\varsigma(Y))\right] \tag{7.147}$$

is an eigenfunction of $\Delta^{\mathfrak{t}}$ with eigenvalue (7.146). As in (6.33), for $Y\in\mathfrak{t}$, we have

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m}}(Y)\widehat{A}^{-1}\left(i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y)\right)|_{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m}}=i^{|\Delta^+(\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m})|}\sigma_{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m}}(-iY). \tag{7.148}$$

If $E_\varsigma\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}\mathbf{C}$ is an irreducible representation of U_M with the highest weight $\lambda\in\mathfrak{t}^*$, by the Weyl character formula (6.6), we have

$$\sigma_{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m}}(-iY)\,\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{E_\varsigma}\left[\exp(-i\varsigma(Y))\right]=\sum_{w\in W(T,U_M)}\epsilon_w\exp(2\pi\langle\rho^{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m}}+\lambda,wY\rangle). \tag{7.149}$$

By (7.148) and (7.149), the function (7.147) is an eigenfunction of $\Delta^{\mathfrak{t}}$ with eigenvalue

$$4\pi^2|\rho^{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m}}+\lambda|^2. \tag{7.150}$$

Since

$$-C^{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m},\varsigma}=4\pi^2\left(|\rho^{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m}}+\lambda|^2-|\rho^{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m}}|^2\right), \tag{7.151}$$

by (6.2), the eigenvalue (7.150) is equal to (7.146). If $E_\varsigma\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}\mathbf{C}$ is not irreducible, it is enough to decompose $E_\varsigma\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}\mathbf{C}$ as a sum of irreducible representations of U_M.

Proceeding as in (6.35), by (7.92), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{[1]}\left[\exp\left(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X,\widehat{\varsigma}}/2\right)\right]&=\frac{c_G}{\sqrt{2\pi t}}\exp\left(\frac{t}{8}\big(B^*(\kappa^{\mathfrak{g}},\kappa^{\mathfrak{g}})-B^*(\kappa^{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m}},\kappa^{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m}})\big)-\frac{t}{2}C^{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m},\varsigma}\right)\\&\left\{\exp\left(-\frac{\omega^{Y_\mathfrak{b},2}}{8\pi^2|a_0|^2t}\right)\widehat{A}^{-1}\left(\frac{R^{N_\mathfrak{b}}}{2i\pi}\right)\mathrm{Tr}^{E_\varsigma}\left[\exp\left(-\frac{R^{F_{\mathfrak{b},\varsigma}}}{2i\pi}\right)\right]\right\}^{\max}.\end{aligned} \tag{7.152}$$

Since $\widehat{A}$ is an even function, by (1.12), we have

$$\widehat{A}\left(\frac{R^{N_\mathfrak{b}}}{2i\pi}\right)=\widehat{A}\left(N_\mathfrak{b},\nabla^{N_\mathfrak{b}}\right). \tag{7.153}$$

By (1.13), (7.90), (7.152) and (7.153), we get (7.132). The proof of Theorem 7.24 is completed. □

Now we will consider the nonelliptic semisimple element $\gamma \in G$ such that $\dim \mathfrak{b}(\gamma) = 1$. We can assume that $\gamma = e^a k^{-1} \in H$ with $a \neq 0$.

Let $M(k) \subset M$ be the centralizer of k in M, and let $\mathfrak{m}(k)$ be its Lie algebra. Let $M^0(k)$ be the connected component of the identity in $M(k)$. We define $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}(k)$ and $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathfrak{m}}(k)$ the analogues of $\mathfrak{p}(\gamma)$ and $\mathfrak{k}(\gamma)$ in (4.21), so that

$$\mathfrak{m}(k) = \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}(k) \oplus \mathfrak{k}_{\mathfrak{m}}(k). \tag{7.154}$$

Since k is elliptic in M, $M^0(k)$ is reductive with maximal compact subgroup $K_M^0(k) = M^0(k) \cap K$ and with Cartan decomposition (7.154). Note that $T \subset M^0(k)$ is a compact Cartan subgroup. Also, we have

$$\mathfrak{k}(\gamma) = \mathfrak{k}_{\mathfrak{m}}(k), \qquad K^0(\gamma) = K_M^0(k). \tag{7.155}$$

Take

$$X_M(k) = M^0(k)/K_M^0(k) \tag{7.156}$$

to be the corresponding symmetric space.

Let $U_M(k)$ be the centralizer of k in U_M, and let $\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}(k)$ be its Lie algebra. Then

$$\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}(k) = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}(k) \oplus \mathfrak{k}_{\mathfrak{m}}(k). \tag{7.157}$$

Let $U_M^0(k)$ be the connected component of the identity in $U_M(k)$.

Theorem 7.25. *If $\gamma = e^a k^{-1} \in H$ with $a \neq 0$, for any $t > 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{[\gamma]}\left[\exp\left(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X,\widehat{\varsigma}}/2\right)\right] &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}}\left[e\left(TX_M(k), \nabla^{TX_M(k)}\right)\right]^{\max} \\ &\exp\left(-\frac{|a|^2}{2t} + \frac{t}{8}\left(B^*(\kappa^{\mathfrak{g}}, \kappa^{\mathfrak{g}}) - B^*(\kappa^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}}, \kappa^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}})\right) - \frac{t}{2}C^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}},\varsigma}\right) \frac{\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{E_\varsigma}\left[\varsigma(k^{-1})\right]}{\left|\det\left(1 - \mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\right)|_{\mathfrak{z}_0^\perp}\right|^{1/2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.158}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 6.3. Since $\gamma \in H$, $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{k}(\gamma)$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{k}(\gamma)$. By (4.77), (6.7) and (7.155), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{[\gamma]}\left[\exp\left(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X,\widehat{\varsigma}}/2\right)\right] &= \frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{\dim \mathfrak{z}(\gamma)/2}} \exp\left(-|a|^2/2t + tB^*(\kappa^{\mathfrak{g}}, \kappa^{\mathfrak{g}})/8\right) \\ &\frac{\mathrm{vol}(K_M^0(k)/T)}{|W(T, K_M^0(k))|} \int_{Y \in \mathfrak{t}} |\pi_{\mathfrak{k}_{\mathfrak{m}}(k)}(Y)|^2 J_\gamma(Y)\, \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{E_{\widehat{\varsigma}}}\left[\widehat{\varsigma}\left(k^{-1}\right)\exp(-i\widehat{\varsigma}(Y))\right] \exp\left(-|Y|^2/2t\right) dY. \end{aligned} \tag{7.159}$$

Since $\mathfrak{t}$ is also a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}(k)$, as in the proof of Theorem 6.3, we will write the integral on the second line of (7.159) as an integral over $\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}(k)$.

By (4.74), (6.3) and (7.106), for $Y \in \mathfrak{t}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{|\pi_{\mathfrak{k}_{\mathfrak{m}}(k)}(Y)|^2}{|\pi_{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}(k)}(Y)|^2} J_\gamma(Y)\, \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{E_{\widehat{\varsigma}}}\left[\widehat{\varsigma}\left(k^{-1}\right)\exp(-i\widehat{\varsigma}(Y))\right] = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{\dim \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}(k)}{2}}}{\left|\det\left(1 - \mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\right)|_{\mathfrak{z}_0^\perp}\right|^{1/2}} \\ &\widehat{A}^{-1}\left(i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y)|_{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}(k)}\right) \left[\frac{\det\left(1 - \exp(-i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y))\,\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\right)|_{\mathfrak{z}_0^\perp(\gamma)}}{\det\left(1 - \mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\right)|_{\mathfrak{z}_0^\perp(\gamma)}}\right]^{1/2} \mathrm{Tr}^{E_\varsigma}\left[\varsigma\left(k^{-1}\right)\exp(-i\varsigma(Y))\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{7.160}$$

Let $\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}^\perp(k)$ be the orthogonal space to $\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}(k)$ in $\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Then

$$\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}^\perp(k) = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{p}_0^\perp(\gamma) \oplus \mathfrak{k}_0^\perp(\gamma). \tag{7.161}$$

By (7.161), for $Y \in \mathfrak{t}$, we have

(7.162)
$$\frac{\det\left(1-\exp(-i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y))\,\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\right)|_{\mathfrak{z}_0^{\perp}(\gamma)}}{\det\left(1-\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\right)|_{\mathfrak{z}_0^{\perp}(\gamma)}} = \frac{\det\left(1-\exp(-i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y))\,\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\right)|_{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\perp}(k)}}{\det\left(1-\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\right)|_{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\perp}(k)}}.$$

By Assumption 7.19 and (7.162), the right-hand side of (7.160) extends naturally to an $\mathrm{Ad}(U_M^0(k))$-invariant function defined on $\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}(k)$. By (6.7), (6.45), (7.159) and (7.160), we have

(7.163)
$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{[\gamma]}\left[\exp\left(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X,\widehat{\varsigma}}/2\right)\right] = \frac{\exp(-|a|^2/2t + B^*(\kappa^{\mathfrak{g}},\kappa^{\mathfrak{g}})t/8)}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \frac{\left[e\left(TX_M(k),\nabla^{TX_M(k)}\right)\right]^{\max}}{\left|\det\left(1-\mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\right)|_{\mathfrak{z}_0^{\perp}}\right|^{1/2}}$$
$$\exp\left(\frac{t}{2}\Delta^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}(k)}\right)\left\{\widehat{A}^{-1}\left(i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y)|_{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}(k)}\right)\left[\frac{\det\left(1-\exp(-i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y))\,\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\right)|_{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\perp}(k)}}{\det\left(1-\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\right)|_{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\perp}(k)}}\right]^{1/2}\right.$$
$$\left.\mathrm{Tr}^{E_\varsigma}\left[\varsigma\left(k^{-1}\right)\exp(-i\varsigma(Y))\right]\right\}\Big|_{Y=0}.$$

As before, we claim that the function

(7.164)
$$Y \in \mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}(k) \to \widehat{A}^{-1}\left(i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y)|_{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}(k)}\right)\left[\frac{\det\left(1-\exp(-i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y))\,\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\right)|_{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\perp}(k)}}{\det\left(1-\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\right)|_{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\perp}(k)}}\right]^{1/2}$$
$$\mathrm{Tr}^{E_\varsigma}\left[\varsigma\left(k^{-1}\right)\exp(-i\varsigma(Y))\right]$$

is an eigenfunction of $\Delta^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}(k)}$ with eigenvalue (7.146). Indeed, it is enough to remark that, as in (6.34), up to a sign, if $k = \exp(\theta_1)$ for some $\theta_1 \in \mathfrak{t}$, we have

(7.165)
$$\pi_{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}(k)}}(Y)\widehat{A}^{-1}\left(i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y)|_{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}(k)}}\right)\left[\det\left(1-\exp(-i\,\mathrm{ad}(Y))\,\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\right)|_{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\perp}(k)}\right]^{1/2}$$
$$= \pm i^{|\Delta^+(\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}})|}\sigma_{\mathfrak{u}}(-iY-\theta_1).$$

and that, if $E_\varsigma \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}$ is an irreducible representation of U_M with the highest weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$,

(7.166)
$$\sigma_{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}}(-iY-\theta_1)\,\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{E_\varsigma}\left[\varsigma\left(k^{-1}\right)\exp(-i\varsigma(Y))\right] = \sum_{w\in W(T,U_M)}\epsilon_w\exp(2\pi\langle\rho_{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}}+\lambda, w(Y-i\theta_1)\rangle).$$

Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 7.24, we get (7.158). The proof of Theorem 7.25 is completed. □

Recall that F is the unitarily flat vector bundle on Z with holonomy $\rho : \Gamma \to \mathrm{U}(r)$, and that $\mathcal{E}_{\widehat{\varsigma}}$ descends to a vector bundle $\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{\varsigma}} = \Gamma\backslash\mathcal{E}_{\widehat{\varsigma}}$ on Z. Also, $C^{\mathfrak{g},Z,\widehat{\varsigma},\rho}$ is the Casimir element of G acting on $C^\infty(Z, \mathcal{F}_{\widehat{\varsigma}} \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} F)$.

Corollary 7.26. *For $t > 0$, the following identity holds:*

$$\begin{aligned}(7.167)\quad \mathrm{Tr_s}\left[\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},Z,\widehat{\varsigma},\rho}/2)\right] &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}}\exp\left(\frac{t}{8}\big(B^*(\kappa^{\mathfrak{g}},\kappa^{\mathfrak{g}})-B^*(\kappa^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}},\kappa^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}})\big)-\frac{t}{2}C^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}},\varsigma}\right)\\ &\left\{rc_G\,\mathrm{vol}(Z)\left[\exp\left(-\frac{\omega^{Y_{\mathfrak{b}},2}}{8\pi^2|a_0|^2t}\right)\widehat{A}\left(TY_{\mathfrak{b}},\nabla^{TY_{\mathfrak{b}}}\right)\mathrm{ch}\left(F_{\mathfrak{b},\varsigma},\nabla^{F_{\mathfrak{b},\varsigma}}\right)\right]^{\max}\right.\\ &\left.-2\sum_{[\gamma]\in[\Gamma]\setminus\{1\}}\mathrm{Tr}[\rho(\gamma)]V_a(\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma))\frac{|a|\,\mathrm{Tr_s}^{E_\varsigma}\left[\varsigma(k^{-1})\right]}{\left|\det\left(1-\mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\right)|_{\mathfrak{z}_0^\perp}\right|^{1/2}}\exp\left(-\frac{|a|^2}{2t}\right)\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. This is a consequence of Theorem 4.12, Proposition 5.1 and Theorems 7.22, 7.24 and 7.25. □

7.6. **Selberg-type zeta functions.**

Proposition 7.27. *There is $\sigma_0 > 0$ such that*

$$(7.168)\qquad \sum_{[\gamma]\in[\Gamma]\setminus\{1\}}\frac{\left|V_a\big(\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma)\big)\right|}{\left|\det\left(1-\mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\right)|_{\mathfrak{z}_0^\perp}\right|^{1/2}}|a|e^{-\sigma_0|a|}<\infty.$$

Proof. Take ρ and ς to be the trivial representations. By (4.97), (7.104) and (7.158), there exist $C_1>0$, $C_2>0$ and $C_3>0$ such that for $t>0$, we have

$$(7.169)\qquad \sum_{[\gamma]\in[\Gamma]\setminus\{1\}}\frac{\left|V_a\big(\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma)\big)\right|}{\left|\det\left(1-\mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\right)|_{\mathfrak{z}_0^\perp}\right|^{1/2}}|a|\exp\left(-\frac{|a|^2}{2t}\right)\leqslant C_1\exp\left(-\frac{C_2}{t}+C_3t\right).$$

Take $\sigma_0=2\sqrt{C_3}$. By (7.169), for $t>0$, we have

$$(7.170)\qquad \sum_{[\gamma]\in[\Gamma]\setminus\{1\}}\frac{\left|V_a\big(\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma)\big)\right|}{\left|\det\left(1-\mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\right)|_{\mathfrak{z}_0^\perp}\right|^{1/2}}|a|\exp\left(-\frac{|a|^2}{2t}-\frac{\sigma_0^2t}{2}\right)\leqslant C_1\exp\left(-\frac{C_2}{t}-C_3t\right).$$

By (7.170), the integral

$$(7.171)\qquad I(\sigma_0)=\int_0^\infty\left(\sum_{[\gamma]\in[\Gamma]\setminus\{1\}}\frac{\left|V_a\big(\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma)\big)\right|}{\left|\det\left(1-\mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\right)|_{\mathfrak{z}_0^\perp}\right|^{1/2}}|a|\exp\left(-\frac{|a|^2}{2t}-\frac{\sigma_0^2t}{2}\right)\right)\frac{dt}{\sqrt{t}}$$

converges.

By (7.171), using the Fubini Theorem and the formula that for $B_1\geqslant 0, B_2>0$,

$$(7.172)\qquad \int_0^\infty\exp\left(-\frac{B_1}{t}-B_2t\right)\frac{dt}{\sqrt{t}}=\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{B_2}}\exp\big(-2\sqrt{B_1B_2}\big),$$

we get

$$(7.173)\qquad I(\sigma_0)=\frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sigma_0}\sum_{[\gamma]\in[\Gamma]\setminus\{1\}}\frac{\left|V_a\big(\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma)\big)\right|}{\left|\det\left(1-\mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\right)|_{\mathfrak{z}_0^\perp}\right|^{1/2}}|a|e^{-\sigma_0|a|},$$

where the infinite sum converges. The proof of Proposition 7.27 is completed. □

Recall that by (4.89), there is $c_0 > 0$ such that for $\gamma \in \Gamma\backslash\{1\}$, we have

$$|a| \geqslant c_0. \tag{7.174}$$

By Proposition 7.27 and (7.174), for $\sigma \in \mathbf{C}$ and $\mathrm{Re}\,(\sigma) > \sigma_0$,

$$\Xi_{\varsigma,\rho}(\sigma) = 2 \sum_{[\gamma]\in[\Gamma]\backslash\{1\}} \mathrm{Tr}[\rho(\gamma)] V_a\big(\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma)\big) \frac{\mathrm{Tr}^{E_\varsigma}\left[\varsigma(k^{-1})\right]}{\left|\det(1-\mathrm{Ad}(\gamma))|_{\mathfrak{z}_0^\perp}\right|^{1/2}} e^{-\sigma|a|}. \tag{7.175}$$

converges absolutely to a holomorphic function on this domain.

Definition 7.28. For $\sigma \in \mathbf{C}$ and $\mathrm{Re}\,(\sigma) > \sigma_0$, we define a Selberg-type zeta function by

$$Z_{\varsigma,\rho}(\sigma) = \exp\big(\Xi_{\varsigma,\rho}(\sigma)\big). \tag{7.176}$$

Remark 7.29. When $G = \mathrm{SO}^0(p,1)$ with p odd, up to a shift on σ, $Z_{\varsigma,\rho}$ coincides with Selberg zeta function in [F86b, Section 3].

Set

$$L^{Z,\widehat{\varsigma},\rho} = C^{\mathfrak{g},Z,\widehat{\varsigma},\rho} + \frac{1}{4}\big(B^*(\kappa^{\mathfrak{g}},\kappa^{\mathfrak{g}}) - B^*(\kappa^{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m}},\kappa^{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m}})\big) - C^{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m},\varsigma}. \tag{7.177}$$

Then $L^{Z,\widehat{\varsigma},\rho}$ is an essentially self-adjoint second order elliptic operator, which is bounded from below and acts on $C^\infty(Z, \mathcal{F}_{\widehat{\varsigma}} \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} F)$. For $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, set

$$m_{\varsigma,\rho}(\lambda) = \dim_{\mathbf{C}} \ker\left(L^{Z,\widehat{\varsigma}^+,\rho} - \lambda\right) - \dim_{\mathbf{C}} \ker\left(L^{Z,\widehat{\varsigma}^-,\rho} - \lambda\right). \tag{7.178}$$

When $\lambda = \frac{1}{4}\big(B^*(\kappa^{\mathfrak{g}},\kappa^{\mathfrak{g}}) - B^*(\kappa^{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m}},\kappa^{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m}})\big) - C^{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{m},\varsigma}$, we write $r_{\varsigma,\rho} = m_{\varsigma,\rho}(\lambda)$. Then,

$$r_{\varsigma,\rho} = \dim_{\mathbf{C}} \ker C^{\mathfrak{g},Z,\widehat{\varsigma}^+,\rho} - \dim_{\mathbf{C}} \ker C^{\mathfrak{g},Z,\widehat{\varsigma}^-,\rho}. \tag{7.179}$$

As (2.8), for $\mathrm{Re}\,(s) \gg 1$ and $\mathrm{Re}\,(\sigma) \gg 1$, put

$$\theta_{\varsigma,\rho}(s,\sigma) = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}\left[\exp(-t(L^{Z,\widehat{\varsigma},\rho} + \sigma)\right] t^{s-1} dt. \tag{7.180}$$

By Remark 2.3, the function $\sigma \to \exp\big(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\theta_{\varsigma,\rho}(0,\sigma)\big)$ has a meromorphic extension to $\sigma \in \mathbf{C}$.

Set

$$P_\varsigma(\sigma) = c_G \sum_{j=0}^{l} (-1)^j \frac{\Gamma(-j-\frac{1}{2})}{j!(4\pi)^{2j+\frac{1}{2}}|a_0|^{2j}} \left[\omega^{Y_\mathfrak{b},2j} \widehat{A}\left(TY_\mathfrak{b}, \nabla^{TY_\mathfrak{b}}\right) \mathrm{ch}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{b},\varsigma}, \nabla^{\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{b},\varsigma}}\right)\right]^{\max} \sigma^{2j+1}. \tag{7.181}$$

Then $P_\varsigma(\sigma)$ is an odd polynomial function of σ. As the notation indicates, $P_\varsigma(\sigma)$ does not depend on Γ or ρ.

Theorem 7.30. *The Selberg zeta function $Z_{\varsigma,\rho}(\sigma)$ has a meromorphic extension to $\sigma \in \mathbf{C}$ such that the following identity of meromorphic functions on $\mathbf{C}$ holds:*

$$Z_{\varsigma,\rho}(\sigma) = \exp\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\theta_{\varsigma,\rho}(0,\sigma^2)\right) \exp\big(r\,\mathrm{vol}(Z) P_\varsigma(\sigma)\big). \tag{7.182}$$

The zeros and poles of $Z_{\varsigma,\rho}(\sigma)$ belong to the set $\{\pm i\sqrt{\lambda} : \lambda \in \mathrm{Sp}(L^{Z,\widehat{\varsigma},\rho})\}$. If $\lambda \in \mathrm{Sp}(L^{Z,\widehat{\varsigma},\rho})$ and $\lambda \neq 0$, the order of zero at $\sigma = \pm i\sqrt{\lambda}$ is $m_{\varsigma,\rho}(\lambda)$. The order of zero at $\sigma = 0$ is $2m_{\varsigma,\rho}(0)$. Also,

$$Z_{\varsigma,\rho}(\sigma) = Z_{\varsigma,\rho}(-\sigma) \exp\big(2r\,\mathrm{vol}(Z) P_\varsigma(\sigma)\big). \tag{7.183}$$

Proof. First, we show the identity of analytic functions on $\sigma \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $\sigma \gg 1$,

$$\Xi_{\varsigma,\rho}(\sigma) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\theta_{\varsigma,\rho}(0,\sigma^2) + r\,\mathrm{vol}(Z)P_\varsigma(\sigma). \tag{7.184}$$

By (4.97) and (7.132), when $t \to 0$,

$$\mathrm{Tr_s}^{[1]}\left[\exp\left(-tL^{Z,\widehat{\varsigma},\rho}\right)\right] = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{t^{l+1/2}}\right), \tag{7.185}$$

and

$$\sum_{[\gamma]\in[\Gamma]\setminus\{1\}} \mathrm{Tr}[\rho(\gamma)]\,\mathrm{vol}\left(\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma)\right)\mathrm{Tr_s}^{[\gamma]}\left[\exp\left(-tL^{Z,\widehat{\varsigma},\rho}\right)\right] = \mathcal{O}(e^{-ct}). \tag{7.186}$$

For $(s,\sigma) \in \mathbf{C}\times\mathbf{R}$ such that $\mathrm{Re}\,(s) \gg 1$ and $\sigma \gg 1$, set

$$\theta_{\varsigma,\rho,1}(s,\sigma) = -\frac{r\,\mathrm{vol}(Z)}{\Gamma(s)}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{Tr_s}^{[1]}\left[\exp\left(-t\left(L^{Z,\widehat{\varsigma},\rho}+\sigma\right)\right)\right]t^{s-1}dt \tag{7.187}$$

and

$$\theta_{\varsigma,\rho,2}(s,\sigma) = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}\int_0^\infty \sum_{[\gamma]\in[\Gamma]\setminus\{1\}} \mathrm{Tr}[\rho(\gamma)]\,\mathrm{vol}\left(\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma)\right)\mathrm{Tr_s}^{[\gamma]}\left[\exp\left(-t\left(L^{Z,\widehat{\varsigma},\rho}+\sigma\right)\right)\right]t^{s-1}dt. \tag{7.188}$$

By (4.93), (7.180), (7.187) and (7.188), we have

$$\theta_{\varsigma,\rho}(s,\sigma) = \theta_{\varsigma,\rho,1}(s,\sigma) + \theta_{\varsigma,\rho,2}(s,\sigma). \tag{7.189}$$

By (7.132) and (7.187), we have

$$\theta_{\varsigma,\rho,1}(s,\sigma) = -rc_G\,\mathrm{vol}(Z)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{l}(-1)^j\frac{\Gamma(s-j-\frac{1}{2})}{j!(4\pi)^{2j+\frac{1}{2}}|a_0|^{2j}\Gamma(s)}\left[\omega^{Y_\mathfrak{b},2j}\widehat{A}\left(TY_\mathfrak{b},\nabla^{TY_\mathfrak{b}}\right)\mathrm{ch}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{b},\varsigma},\nabla^{\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{b},\varsigma}}\right)\right]^{\max}\sigma^{j+1/2-s}\right). \tag{7.190}$$

By (7.190), for $\sigma \gg 1$ fixed, the function $s \to \theta_{\rho,\varsigma,1}(s,\sigma)$ has a meromorphic extension to $s \in \mathbf{C}$, which is holomorphic at $s = 0$. By (7.181) and (7.190), for $\sigma \gg 1$, we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\theta_{\varsigma,\rho,1}\left(0,\sigma^2\right) = -r\,\mathrm{vol}(Z)P_\varsigma(\sigma). \tag{7.191}$$

By (7.104), (7.158) and (7.188), we have

$$\theta_{\varsigma,\rho,2}(s,\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(s)}\int_0^\infty \sum_{[\gamma]\in[\Gamma]\setminus\{1\}} \mathrm{Tr}[\rho(\gamma)]\frac{V_a\left(\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma)\right)}{\left|\det\left(1-\mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\right)|_{\mathfrak{z}_0^\perp}\right|^{1/2}}|a|\,\mathrm{Tr}^{E_\varsigma}\left[\varsigma\left(k^{-1}\right)\right]\exp\left(-\frac{|a|^2}{4t}-\sigma t\right)t^{s-3/2}dt. \tag{7.192}$$

By (7.169) and (7.192), for $\sigma \gg 1$ fixed, the function $s \to \theta_{\varsigma,\rho,2}(s,\sigma)$ is holomorphic on $\mathbf{C}$, so that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\theta_{\varsigma,\rho,2}(0,\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\int_0^\infty \sum_{[\gamma]\in[\Gamma]\setminus\{1\}} \mathrm{Tr}[\rho(\gamma)]\frac{V_a\big(\Gamma(\gamma)\backslash X(\gamma)\big)}{\Big|\det\big(1-\mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\big)|_{\mathfrak{z}_0^\perp}\Big|^{1/2}}|a|\,\mathrm{Tr}^{E_\varsigma}\left[\varsigma(k^{-1})\right] \exp\left(-\frac{|a|^2}{4t}-\sigma t\right)\frac{dt}{t^{3/2}}. \tag{7.193}$$

By the Fubini Theorem and by (3.17), for $\sigma \gg 1$, we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\theta_{\rho,\varsigma,2}(0,\sigma^2) = \Xi_{\varsigma,\rho}(\sigma). \tag{7.194}$$

By (7.189), (7.191) and (7.194), we get (7.184). By taking the exponential, we get (7.182) for $\sigma \gg 1$. Since the right-hand side of (7.182) is meromorphic on $\sigma \in \mathbf{C}$, then $Z_{\varsigma,\rho}(\sigma)$ has a meromorphic extension to $\sigma \in \mathbf{C}$. Moreover, the zeros and poles of $Z_{\varsigma,\rho}(\sigma)$ coincide with that of $\exp\big(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\theta(0,\sigma^2)\big)$. From Remark 2.3, we deduce the second statement of Theorem 7.30.

Equation (7.183) is a consequence of (7.182) and the fact that $P_\varsigma(\sigma)$ is an odd polynomial. The proof of Theorem 7.30 is completed. □

We turn our attention to the dynamical zeta function $R_\rho(\sigma)$.

Proposition 7.31. *For $\gamma = e^a k^{-1} \in H$ with $a \neq 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\det\big(1-\mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\big)|_{\mathfrak{z}_0^\perp}\Big|^{1/2} &= \sum_{j=0}^{2l}(-1)^j\,\mathrm{Tr}^{\Lambda^j(\mathfrak{n}^*)}\left[\mathrm{Ad}\left(k^{-1}\right)\right]e^{(l-j)\langle\alpha,a\rangle}\\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{2l}(-1)^j\,\mathrm{Tr}^{\Lambda^j(\mathfrak{n}^*)}\left[\mathrm{Ad}\left(k^{-1}\right)\right]e^{(l-j)|\alpha||a|}.\end{aligned} \tag{7.195}$$

Proof. By (7.7) and (7.53), we get

$$\Big|\det\big(1-\mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\big)|_{\mathfrak{z}_0^\perp}\Big|^{1/2} = e^{l\langle\alpha,a\rangle}\det\big(1-\mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\big)|_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}. \tag{7.196}$$

We have

$$\det\big(1-\mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\big)|_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}} = \mathrm{Tr_s}^{\Lambda^\cdot(\overline{\mathfrak{n}})}\left[\mathrm{Ad}\,(\gamma)\right] = \sum_{j=0}^{2l}(-1)^j\,\mathrm{Tr}^{\Lambda^j(\overline{\mathfrak{n}})}\left[\mathrm{Ad}\left(k^{-1}\right)\right]e^{-j\langle\alpha,a\rangle}. \tag{7.197}$$

Using $\mathfrak{n}^* \simeq \overline{\mathfrak{n}}$ the isomorphism of representations of K_M, from (7.196), (7.197), we get the first equation of (7.195) and the second equation of (7.195) if a is positive in $\mathfrak{b}$. If a is negative in $\mathfrak{b}$, using $\Lambda^j(\mathfrak{n}^*) \simeq \Lambda^{2l-j}(\mathfrak{n}^*)$ the isomorphism of K_M representations, we get the second equation of (7.195). The proof of Proposition 7.31 is completed. □

Corollary 7.32. *The dynamical zeta function $R_\rho(\sigma)$ is well defined and meromorphic on $\sigma \in \mathbf{C}$, such that*

$$R_\rho(\sigma) = \prod_{j=0}^{2l}\big(Z_{\varsigma_j,\rho}(\sigma+(j-l)|\alpha|)\big)^{(-1)^{j-1}}. \tag{7.198}$$

Proof. By (7.195), there is $C > 0$ such that

$$\left|\det\big(1 - \mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\big)|_{\mathfrak{z}_0^\perp}\right|^{1/2} \leqslant C \exp(l|a||\alpha|). \tag{7.199}$$

By (7.168) and (7.199), for $\sigma \in \mathbf{C}$ and $\mathrm{Re}\,(\sigma) > \sigma_0 + l|\alpha|$, the sum in (5.5) converges absolutely to a holomorphic function. By (5.5), (7.175) and (7.195), for $\mathrm{Re}\,(\sigma) > \sigma_0 + l|\alpha|$, we have

$$\Xi_\rho(\sigma) = \sum_{j=0}^{2l} (-1)^{j-1} \Xi_{\varsigma_j,\rho}(\sigma + (j-l)|\alpha|). \tag{7.200}$$

By taking exponential, we get (7.198) for $\mathrm{Re}\,(\sigma) > \sigma_0 + l|\alpha|$. Since the right-hand side of (7.198) is meromorphic, $R_\rho(\sigma)$ has a meromorphic extension to $\mathbf{C}$, such that (7.198) holds. The proof of Corollary 7.32 is completed. □

7.7. **Proof of Theorem 0.3.** Note that for $0 \leqslant j \leqslant 2l$, we have the isomorphism of representations of M,

$$\varsigma_j \simeq \varsigma_{2l-j}. \tag{7.201}$$

By (7.182) and (7.201), we have

$$Z_{\varsigma_j,\rho}(\sigma) Z_{\varsigma_{2l-j},\rho}(-\sigma) = Z_{\varsigma_j,\rho}(\sigma) Z_{\varsigma_j,\rho}(-\sigma) = \exp\left(2\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\theta_{\varsigma_j,\rho}(0,\sigma^2)\right). \tag{7.202}$$

By (7.202),

$$Z_{\varsigma_j,\rho}\left(-\sqrt{\sigma^2 + (l-j)^2|\alpha|^2}\right) Z_{\varsigma_{2l-j},\rho}\left(\sqrt{\sigma^2 + (l-j)^2|\alpha|^2}\right) \tag{7.203}$$

is a well defined meromorphic function on $\mathbf{C}$. The following proposition is a generalization of (3.12).

Proposition 7.33. *The following identity of meromorphic functions on* $\mathbf{C}$ *holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} R_\rho(\sigma) = {} & \exp\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\theta\left(0,\sigma^2\right)\right) \exp\left((-1)^{l-1} r \,\mathrm{vol}(Z) P_{\varsigma_l}(\sigma)\right) \\ & \prod_{j=0}^{l-1} \left(\frac{Z_{\varsigma_j,\rho}\big(\sigma + (j-l)|\alpha|\big) Z_{\varsigma_{2l-j},\rho}\big(\sigma + (l-j)|\alpha|\big)}{Z_{\varsigma_j,\rho}\left(-\sqrt{\sigma^2 + (l-j)^2|\alpha|^2}\right) Z_{\varsigma_{2l-j},\rho}\left(\sqrt{\sigma^2 + (l-j)^2|\alpha|^2}\right)}\right)^{(-1)^{j-1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.204}$$

Proof. By (7.95), (7.101) and (7.177), we have the identity of meromorphic functions on $\mathbf{C}$,

$$\exp\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\theta\left(0,\sigma\right)\right) = \exp\left(\sum_{j=0}^{2l} (-1)^{j-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\theta_{\varsigma_j,\rho}\left(0,\sigma + (l-j)^2|\alpha|^2\right)\right). \tag{7.205}$$

By (7.182), (7.202) and (7.205) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\theta\left(0,\sigma^2\right)\right) = {} & Z_{\varsigma_l,\rho}(\sigma)^{(-1)^{l-1}} \exp\left((-1)^l r \,\mathrm{vol}(Z) P_{\varsigma_l}(\sigma)\right) \\ & \prod_{j=0}^{l-1} \left(Z_{\varsigma_j,\rho}\left(-\sqrt{\sigma^2 + (l-j)^2|\alpha|^2}\right) Z_{\varsigma_{2l-j},\rho}\left(\sqrt{\sigma^2 + (l-j)^2|\alpha|^2}\right)\right)^{(-1)^{j-1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.206}$$

By (7.198) and (7.206), we get (7.204). The proof of Proposition 7.33 is completed. □

For $0 \leqslant j \leqslant 2l$, we write $r_j = r_{\varsigma_j,\rho}$. By (2.1), (7.101), (7.179) and (7.201), we have

$$\chi'(Z,F) = 2\sum_{j=0}^{l-1}(-1)^{j-1}r_j + (-1)^{l-1}r_l. \tag{7.207}$$

Set

$$C_\rho = \prod_{j=0}^{l-1}\big(-4(l-j)^2|\alpha|^2\big)^{(-1)^{j-1}r_j}, \qquad r_\rho = \chi'(X,F) + (-1)^{l-1}r_l. \tag{7.208}$$

When G has noncompact center, $l = 0$, and then

$$C_\rho = 1, \qquad r_\rho = 2\chi'(X,F). \tag{7.209}$$

We reformulate Theorem 0.3 as follows:

Theorem 7.34. *Assume that $\delta(G) = 1$. If F is a unitarily flat vector bundle on Z with holonomy ρ, then $R_\rho(\sigma)$ is a well defined meromorphic function on $\mathbf{C}$, such that when $\sigma \to 0$, we have*

$$R_\rho(\sigma) = C_\rho \exp\big(\tau_Z(F)\big)\sigma^{r_\rho} + \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{r_\rho+1}). \tag{7.210}$$

In particular, if $r_j = 0$, for all $j = 0, \cdots, l$, $R_\rho(\sigma)$ is holomorphic at $\sigma = 0$, such that

$$R_\rho(0) = \exp\big(\tau_Z(F)\big). \tag{7.211}$$

Proof. It remains to show (7.210). By Proposition 7.20 and Theorem 7.30, for $0 \leqslant j \leqslant l-1$, the orders of zero at $\sigma = 0$ of the functions $Z_{\varsigma_j,\rho}\big(\sigma+(j-l)|\alpha|\big)$ and $Z_{\varsigma_{2l-j},\rho}\big(\sigma+(l-j)|\alpha|\big)$ are equal to r_j. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{Z_{\varsigma_j,\rho}\big(\sigma+(j-l)|\alpha|\big)Z_{\varsigma_{2l-j},\rho}\big(\sigma+(l-j)|\alpha|\big)}{Z_{\varsigma_j,\rho}\Big(-\sqrt{\sigma^2+(l-j)^2|\alpha|^2}\Big)\,Z_{\varsigma_{2l-j},\rho}\Big(\sqrt{\sigma^2+(l-j)^2|\alpha|^2}\Big)}& \\ \to \big(-4(l-j)^2|\alpha|^2\big)^{r_j}\,\sigma^{-2r_j} + \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{-2r_j+1}).&\end{aligned} \tag{7.212}$$

By (2.12), (7.181), (7.204), (7.207), (7.208) and (7.212), we get (7.210). The proof of Theorem 7.34 is completed. □

Remark 7.35. When $G = \mathrm{SO}^0(p,1)$ with $p \geqslant 1$ odd, we recover [F86b, Theorem 3].

Remark 7.36. By (7.209) and (7.210), we get Theorem 5.3 when G has noncompact center.

8. Proof of Theorem 0.4

The purpose of this section is to show Theorem 0.4. Together with Theorem 7.34, this completes the proof of Theorem 5.3 in the case $\delta(G) = 1$. We rely on some deep results from the representation theory of reductive Lie groups.

This section is organized as follows. In Section 8.1, we recall the constructions of the infinitesimal and global characters of Harisch-Chandra modules. We also recall some properties of $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-cohomology and $\mathfrak{n}$-homology of Harisch-Chandra modules.

In Section 8.2, we give a formula relating r_j with an alternating sum of the dimensions of Lie algebra cohomologies of certain Harisch-Chandra modules. In particular, we show Theorem 0.4.

8.1. **Some results from representation theory.** In this section, we do not assume that $\delta(G) = 1$. We use the notation in Sections 4.5 and 6.1.

8.1.1. *Infinitesimal characters.* Let $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}})$ be the center of the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}})$ of the complexification $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. We call a morphism of algebras $\chi : \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}) \to \mathbf{C}$ a character of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}})$.

Recall that $\mathfrak{h}_1, \cdots, \mathfrak{h}_{l_0}$ form all the nonconjugated θ-stable Cartan subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$, and that $\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{C}}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{R}}$ are the complexfication and real form of $\mathfrak{h}_i$. For $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{R}}^*$, we extends α to a $\mathbf{C}$-linear form on $\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{C}}$ by $\mathbf{C}$-linearity. In this way, we identify $\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{R}}^*$ as a subset of $\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{C}}^*$.

For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant l_0$, let $S(\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{C}})$ be the symmetric algebra of $\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{C}}$. Recall that the algebraic Weyl group $W(\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{R}}, \mathfrak{u})$ acts isometrically on $\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{R}}$. By $\mathbf{C}$-linearity, $W(\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{R}}, \mathfrak{u})$ acts on $\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{C}}$. Therefore, $W(\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{R}}, \mathfrak{u})$ acts on $S(\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{C}})$. Let $S(\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{C}})^{W(\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{R}}, \mathfrak{u})} \subset S(\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{C}})$ be the $W(\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{R}}, \mathfrak{u})$-invariant subalgebra of $S(\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{C}})$. Let

$$\gamma_i : \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}) \simeq S(\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{C}})^{W(\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{R}}, \mathfrak{u})} \tag{8.1}$$

be the Harich-Chandra isomorphism [K02, Section V.5]. For $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{C}}^*$, we can associate it a character χ_Λ of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}})$ as follows: for $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}})$,

$$\chi_\Lambda(z) = \langle \gamma_i(z), 2\sqrt{-1}\pi\Lambda \rangle. \tag{8.2}$$

By [K02, Theorem 5.62], every character of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}})$ is of the form χ_Λ, for some $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{C}}^*$. Also, Λ is uniquely determined up to an action of $W(\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{R}}, \mathfrak{u})$. Such an element $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{C}}$ is called the Harish-Chandra parameter of the character. In particular, $\chi_\Lambda = 0$ if and only if there is $w \in W(\mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{R}}, \mathfrak{u})$ such that

$$w\Lambda = \rho_i^{\mathfrak{u}}. \tag{8.3}$$

Definition 8.1. A complex representation of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$ is said to have the infinitesimal character χ, if $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}})$ acts as a scalar $\chi(z) \in \mathbf{C}$.

A complex representation of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$ is said to have the generalized infinitesimal character χ, if $z - \chi(z)$ acts nilpotently for all $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}})$.

If $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{R}}^*$ is algebraic integral and dominant, let V_λ be the complex finite dimensional irreducible representation of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$ with the highest weight λ. Then V_λ admits an infinitesimal character with Harish-Chandra parameter $\lambda + \rho_i^{\mathfrak{u}} \in \mathfrak{h}_{i\mathbf{R}}^*$.

8.1.2. *Harich-Chandra $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}, K)$-modules and admissible representations of G.* We follow [HeSc83, p. 54-55] and [K86, p. 207].

Definition 8.2. We call a complex $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}})$-module V, equipped with an action of K, is a Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}, K)$-module, if the following hold:

(1) The space V is finitely generated as $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}})$-module;
(2) Every $v \in V$ lies in a finite dimensional, $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbf{C}}$-invariant subspace;
(3) The action of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$ and K are compatible;
(4) Each irreducible K-module occurs only finitely often in V.

Let V be a Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}, K)$-module. For a character χ of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}})$, let $V_\chi \subset V$ be the largest submodule of V on which $z - \chi(z)$ acts nilpotently for all $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}})$. Then V_χ is a Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}, K)$-submodule of V with generalized infinitesimal character

χ. By [HeSc83, Equation (2.4)], we can decompose V as a finite sum of Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}, K)$-submodules

$$V = \bigoplus_{\chi} V_{\chi}. \tag{8.4}$$

Any Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}, K)$-module V has a finitely composition series in the following sense: there exist finite Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}, K)$-submodules

$$V = V_{l_1} \supset V_{l_1-1} \supset \cdots \supset V_0 \supset V_{-1} = 0 \tag{8.5}$$

such that each quotient V_i/V_{i-1}, for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant l_1$, is an irreducible Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}, K)$-module. Moreover, the set of all irreducible quotient and their multiplicities are the same for all the composition series.

Definition 8.3. We say that a representation π of G on a Hilbert space is admissible if the following hold:

(1) when restricted to K, $\pi|_K$ is unitary;
(2) each $\tau \in \widehat{K}$ occurs with only finite multiplicity in $\pi|_K$.

Let π be a finitely generated admissible representation of G on the Hilbert space V_π. If $\tau \in \widehat{K}$, let $V_\pi(\tau) \subset V_\pi$ be the τ-isotopic subspace of V_π. Then $V_\pi(\tau)$ is the image of the evaluation map

$$(f, v) \in \mathrm{Hom}_K(V_\tau, V_\pi) \otimes V_\tau \to f(v) \in V_\pi. \tag{8.6}$$

Put

$$V_{\pi,K} = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \widehat{K}} V_\pi(\tau) \subset V_\pi \tag{8.7}$$

to be the algebraic sum of representations of K. By [K86, Proposition 8.5], $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$ acts on $V_{\pi,K}$ such that $V_{\pi,K}$ is a Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}, K)$-module. It is explained in [Vo08, Section4] that, by the results of Casselman, Harish-Chandra, Lepowsky and Wallach, any Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}, K)$-module V can be constructed in this way and the corresponding V_π is called a Hilbert globalization of V. Moreover, V is an irreducible Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}, K)$-module if and only if V_π is an irreducible admissible representation of G. In this case, V or V_π has an infinitesimal character.

We note that a Hilbert globalization of a Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}, K)$-module is not unique.

8.1.3. *Global characters.* We recall the definition of the space of rapidly decreasing functions $\mathcal{S}(G)$ on G [Wa88, Section 7.1.2].

Recall that we identity $U(\mathfrak{g})$ with the left invariant differential operators on G. For $z \in U(\mathfrak{g})$, we denote by z_R the corresponding right invariant differential operators on G. For $r \geqslant 0$, $z_1 \in U(\mathfrak{g})$, $z_2 \in U(\mathfrak{g})$, and $f \in C^\infty(G)$, put

$$\|f\|_{r,z_1,z_2} = \sup_{g \in G} e^{r d_X(p1,pg)} |z_1 z_{2R} f(g)|. \tag{8.8}$$

Let $\mathcal{S}(G)$ be the space of all $f \in C^\infty(G)$ such that, for $r \geqslant 0$, $z_1 \in U(\mathfrak{g})$, $z_2 \in U(\mathfrak{g})$, $\|f\|_{r,z_1,z_2} < \infty$, endowed with the topology given by the above semi-norms. By [Wa88, Theorem 7.1.1], $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is a Fréchet space containing $C_c^\infty(G)$ as a dense subspace.

Remark 8.4. Let $\Xi(g)$ be the Harish-Chandra's Ξ-function [V77, Section II.8.5]. For $p > 0$, $r \geqslant 0$, $z_1 \in U(\mathfrak{g})$, $z_2 \in U(\mathfrak{g})$, and $f \in C^\infty(G)$, put

$$\|f\|_{p,r,z_1,z_2} = \sup_{g\in G} \big(1 + d_X(p1, pg)\big)^r \Xi(g)^{-2/p} |z_1 z_{2R} f(g)|. \tag{8.9}$$

For $p > 0$, let $\mathcal{C}^p(G)$ be the space of all $f \in C^\infty(G)$ such that, for $r \geqslant 0$, $z_1 \in U(\mathfrak{g})$, $z_2 \in U(\mathfrak{g})$, $\|f\|_{p,r,z_1,z_2} < \infty$, endowed with the topology given by the above semi-norms. By [V77, Section II.9.2, Theorem 2], $\mathcal{C}^p(G)$ is a Fréchet space containing $C_c^\infty(G)$ as a dense subspace. Moreover, by [Wa88, p.232 (iv)], we have

$$\mathcal{S}(G) = \bigcap_{p>0} \mathcal{C}^p(G). \tag{8.10}$$

Let π be a finitely generated admissible representation of G on the Hilbert space V_π. By [Wa88, Lemma 2.A.2.2], there exist $c > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that for $g \in G$, we have

$$\|\pi(g)\| \leqslant C e^{c d_X(p1,pg)}, \tag{8.11}$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the operator norm. By (8.11), if $f \in \mathcal{S}(G)$,

$$\pi(f) = \int_G f(g)\pi(g) dg \tag{8.12}$$

is a bounded operator on V_π. By [Wa88, Lemma 8.1.1], $\pi(f)$ is of trace class. The global character Θ_π^G of π is a continuous linear functional on $\mathcal{S}(G)$ such that for $f \in \mathcal{S}(G)$,

$$\mathrm{Tr}[\pi(f)] = \langle \Theta_\pi^G, f\rangle. \tag{8.13}$$

If V is a Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}, K)$-module, we can define the global character Θ_V^G of V by the global character of its Hilbert globalization. We note that the global character does not depend on the choice of Hilbert globalization [HeSc83, p.56].

By Harish-Chandra's regularity theorem [K86, Theorems 10.25], there is an L^1_{loc} and $\mathrm{Ad}(G)$-invariant function $\Theta_\pi^G(g)$ on G, whose restriction to the regular set G' is analytic, such that for $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$, we have

$$\langle \Theta_\pi^G, f\rangle = \int_{g\in G} \Theta_\pi^G(g) f(g) dv_G. \tag{8.14}$$

Proposition 8.5. *If $f \in \mathcal{S}(G)$, then $\Theta_\pi^G(g) f(g) \in L^1(G)$ such that*

$$\langle \Theta_\pi^G, f\rangle = \int_{g\in G} \Theta_\pi^G(g) f(g) dv_G. \tag{8.15}$$

Proof. It is enough to show that exist $C > 0$ and a seminorm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathcal{S}(G)$ such that

$$\int_G |\Theta_\pi^G(g) f(g)| dg \leqslant C\|f\|. \tag{8.16}$$

By (4.58), we need to show that there exist $C > 0$ and a semi-norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathcal{S}(G)$ such that for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant l_0$, we have

$$\int_{\gamma\in H_i'} \big|\Theta_\pi^G(\gamma)\big| \left(\int_{g\in H_i\backslash G} |f(g^{-1}\gamma g)| dv_{H_i\backslash G}\right) \big|\det\big(1 - \mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\big)|_{\mathfrak{h}_i}\big| \, dv_{H_i} \leqslant C\|f\|. \tag{8.17}$$

By [K86, Theorem 10.35], there exist $C > 0$ and $r_0 > 0$ such that, for $\gamma = e^a k^{-1} \in H_i'$, we have

$$|\Theta_\pi^G(\gamma)| \, \big|\det\big(1 - \mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\big)|_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_i}\big|^{1/2} \leqslant C e^{r_0|a|}. \tag{8.18}$$

By [V77, Section II.12.2, Corollairy 5], there exist $r_1 > 0$ and $C > 0$, such that for $\gamma \in H_i'$, we have

$$\big|\det\big(1-\mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\big)|_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_i}\big|^{1/2}\int_{g\in H_i\backslash G}\exp\big(-r_1 d_X(p1,pg^{-1}\gamma g)\big)dv_{H_i\backslash G}\leqslant C. \tag{8.19}$$

Recall that for $g\in G$ and $\gamma = e^a k^{-1}\in H_i$, we have

$$d_X(pg,p\gamma g)\geqslant |a|. \tag{8.20}$$

Take $r = 2r_0 + r_1$, $z_1 = z_2 = 1 \in U(\mathfrak{g})$. Since $f\in\mathcal{S}(G)$, by (8.8) and (8.20), for $\gamma = e^a k^{-1}\in H_i'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|f(g^{-1}\gamma g)| &\leqslant \|f\|_{r,z_1,z_2}\exp\big(-rd_X(p1,pg^{-1}\gamma g)\big)\\ &\leqslant \|f\|_{r,z_1,z_2}\exp(-2r_0|a|)\exp\big(-r_1 d_X(p1,pg^{-1}\gamma g)\big).\end{aligned} \tag{8.21}$$

By (8.18), (8.19) and (8.21), for $\gamma\in H_i'$, we have

$$\big|\Theta_\pi^G(\gamma)\big|\left(\int_{g\in H_i\backslash G}|f(g^{-1}\gamma g)|dv_{H_i\backslash G}\right)\big|\det\big(1-\mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\big)|_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_i}\big|\leqslant C\|f\|_{r,z_1,z_2}\exp(-r_0|a|). \tag{8.22}$$

By (8.22), we get (8.17). The proof of Proposition 8.5 is completed. □

Let V be a Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}},K)$-module, and let τ be a real finite dimensional orthogonal representation of K on the real Euclidean space E_τ. Then the invariant subspace $(V\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}E_\tau)^K\subset V\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}E_\tau$ has a finite dimension. We will describe an integral formula for $\dim_{\mathbf{C}}(V\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}E_\tau)^K$, which extends [BaMo83, Corollary 2.2].

Recall that $p_t^{X,\tau}(g)$ is the smooth integral kernel of $\exp\big(-tC^{X,\tau}/2\big)$. By the estimation on the heat kernel or by [BaMo83, Proposition 2.4], $p_t^{X,\tau}(g)\in\mathcal{S}(G)\otimes\mathrm{End}(E_\tau)$.

Proposition 8.6. *Let $f\in C^\infty(G,E_\tau)^K$. Assume that there exist $C>0$ and $r>0$ such that*

$$|f(g)|\leqslant C\exp\big(rd_X(p1,pg)\big). \tag{8.23}$$

The integral

$$\int_{g\in G}p_t^{X,\tau}(g)f(g)dv_G\in E_\tau \tag{8.24}$$

is well defined such that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\int_{g\in G}p_t^{X,\tau}(g)f(g)dv_G &= \int_{g\in G}C^{\mathfrak{g}}p_t^{X,\tau}(g)f(g)dv_G,\\ \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(K)}\lim_{t\to 0}\int_{g\in G}p_t^{X,\tau}(g)f(g)dv_G &= f(1).\end{aligned} \tag{8.25}$$

Proof. By (8.23), by the property of $\mathcal{S}(G)$ and by $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}p_t^{X,\tau}(g) = C^{\mathfrak{g}}p_t^{X,\tau}(g)$, the left-hand side of (8.24) and the right-hand side of the first equation of (8.25) are well defined such that the first equation of (8.25) holds true.

It remains to show the second equation of (8.25). Let $\phi_1\in C_c^\infty(G)^K$ such that $0\leqslant\phi_1(g)\leqslant 1$ and that

$$\phi_1(g) = \begin{cases}1, & d_X(p1,pg)\leqslant 1,\\ 0, & d_X(p1,pg)\geqslant 2.\end{cases} \tag{8.26}$$

Set $\phi_2 = 1-\phi_1$.

Since $\phi_1 f$ has compact support, it descends to an L^2-section on X with values in $G\times_K E_\tau$. By the property of the heat kernel, we have

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(K)}\lim_{t\to 0}\int_{g\in G} p_t^{X,\tau}(g)\phi_1(g)f(g)dv_G = f(1). \tag{8.27}$$

By (4.87), there exist $c>0$ and $C>0$ such that for $g\in G$ with $d_X(p1,pg)\geqslant 1$ and for $t\in(0,1]$, we have

$$\left|p_t^{X,\tau}(g)\right| \leqslant C\exp\left(-c\frac{d_X^2(p1,pg)}{t}\right) \leqslant Ce^{-c/2t}\exp\left(-c\frac{d_X^2(p1,pg)}{2t}\right) \tag{8.28}$$

By (8.23) and (8.28), there exist $c>0$ and $C>0$ such that for $t\in(0,1]$, we have

$$\int_{g\in G}\left|p_t^{X,\tau}(g)\phi_2(g)f(g)dv_G\right| \leqslant Ce^{-c/2t}. \tag{8.29}$$

By (8.27) and (8.29), we get the second equation of (8.25). The proof of Proposition 8.6 is completed. □

Proposition 8.7. *Let V be a Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}},K)$-module with generalized infinitesimal character χ. For $t>0$, we have*

$$\dim_{\mathbf{C}}\left(V\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}E_\tau\right)^K = \mathrm{vol}(K)^{-1}e^{t\chi(C^{\mathfrak{g}})/2}\int_{g\in G}\Theta_V^G(g)\,\mathrm{Tr}\left[p_t^{X,\tau}(g)\right]dv_G. \tag{8.30}$$

Proof. Let V_π be a Hilbert globalization of V. Then,

$$\left(V\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}E_\tau\right)^K = \left(V_\pi\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}E_\tau\right)^K. \tag{8.31}$$

As in (8.12), set

$$\pi(p_t^{X,\tau}) = \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(K)}\int_{g\in G} p_t^{X,\tau}(g)\pi(g)dv_G. \tag{8.32}$$

Then, $\pi(p_t^{X,\tau})$ is a bounded operator acting on $V_\pi\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}E_\tau$.

We follow [BaMo83, p. 160-161]. Let $\left(V_\pi\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}E_\tau\right)^{K,\perp}$ be the orthogonal space to $\left(V_\pi\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}E_\tau\right)^K$ in $V_\pi\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}E_\tau$, such that

$$V_\pi\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}E_\tau = \left(V_\pi\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}E_\tau\right)^K\oplus\left(V_\pi\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}E_\tau\right)^{K,\perp}. \tag{8.33}$$

Let $Q_{\pi,\tau}$ be the orthogonal projection from $V_\pi\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}E_\tau$ to $\left(V_\pi\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}E_\tau\right)^K$. Then,

$$Q_{\pi,\tau} = \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(K)}\int_{k\in K}\pi\otimes\tau(k)dv_K. \tag{8.34}$$

By (4.61), (8.32) and (8.34), we get

$$Q_{\pi,\tau}\pi(p_t^{X,\tau})Q_{\pi,\tau} = \pi(p_t^{X,\tau}). \tag{8.35}$$

In particular, $\pi(p_t^{X,\tau})$ is of finite rank.

Take $u\in\left(V_\pi\otimes E_\tau\right)^K$ and $v\in V_\pi$. By (8.11), the function $g\in G\to\langle\pi(g)u,v\rangle\in E_\tau$ is of class $C^\infty(G,E_\tau)^K$ such that (8.23) holds. By (8.32), we have

$$\langle\pi(p_t^{X,\tau})u,v\rangle = \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(K)}\int_{g\in G} p_t^{X,\tau}(g)\langle\pi(g)u,v\rangle dv_G. \tag{8.36}$$

By Proposition 8.6 and (8.36), we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\langle\pi(p_t^{X,\tau})u,v\rangle = -\frac{1}{2}\langle\pi(C^{\mathfrak{g}})\pi(p_t^{X,\tau})u,v\rangle, \qquad \lim_{t\to 0}\langle\pi(p_t^{X,\tau})u,v\rangle = \langle u,v\rangle \tag{8.37}$$

Since $C^{\mathfrak{g}} \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ and since $\pi(C^{\mathfrak{g}})$ preserves the splitting (8.33), by (8.35) and (8.37), under the splitting (8.33), we have

$$\pi\left(p_t^{X,\tau}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-t\pi(C^{\mathfrak{g}})/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{8.38}$$

Since V has a generalized infinitesimal character χ, by (8.38), we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left[\pi\left(p_t^{X,\tau}\right)\right] = e^{-t\chi(C^{\mathfrak{g}})/2} \dim_{\mathbf{C}} \left(V_\pi \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} E_\tau\right)^K. \tag{8.39}$$

Let $(\xi_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and $(\eta_j)_{j=1}^{\dim E_\tau}$ be orthogonal basis of V_π and E_τ. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}\left[\pi\left(p_t^{X,\tau}\right)\right] =& \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(K)} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\dim E_\tau} \int_{g\in G} \langle p_t^{X,\tau}(g)\eta_j, \eta_j\rangle \langle \pi(g)\xi_i, \xi_i\rangle dv_G \\ =& \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(K)} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{g\in G} \mathrm{Tr}\left[p_t^{X,\tau}(g)\right] \langle \pi(g)\xi_i, \xi_i\rangle dv_G. \end{aligned} \tag{8.40}$$

Since $\mathrm{Tr}\left[p_t^{X,\tau}(g)\right] \in \mathcal{S}(G)$, by (8.15) and (8.40), we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left[\pi\left(p_t^{X,\tau}\right)\right] = \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(K)} \int_{g\in G} \mathrm{Tr}\left[p_t^{X,\tau}(g)\right] \Theta_\pi^G(g) dv_G. \tag{8.41}$$

From (8.31), (8.39) and (8.41), we get (8.30). The proof of Proposition 8.7 is completed. □

8.1.4. *The $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-cohomology.* If V is a Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}, K)$-module, let $H^{\cdot}(\mathfrak{g}, K; V)$ be the $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-cohomology of V [BorWa00, Section I.1.2]. The following two theorems are the essential algebraic ingredients in our proof of Theorem 0.4.

Theorem 8.8. *Let V be a Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}, K)$-module with generalized infinitesimal character χ. Let W be a finite dimensional $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$-module with infinitesimal character. Let χ^{W^*} be the infinitesimal character of W^*. If $\chi \neq \chi^{W^*}$, then*

$$H^{\cdot}(\mathfrak{g}, K; V \otimes W) = 0. \tag{8.42}$$

Proof. If χ is the infinitesimal character of V, then (8.42) is a consequence of [BorWa00, Theorem I.5.3 (ii)].

In general, let

$$V = V_{l_1} \supset V_{l_1 - 1} \supset \cdots \supset V_0 \supset V_{-1} = 0 \tag{8.43}$$

be the composition series of V. Then for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant l_1$, V_i/V_{i-1} is an irreducible Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}, K)$-module with infinitesimal character χ. Therefore, for all $0 \leqslant i \leqslant l_1$, we have

$$H^{\cdot}(\mathfrak{g}, K; (V_i/V_{i-1}) \otimes W) = 0. \tag{8.44}$$

We will show by induction that, for all $0 \leqslant i \leqslant l_1$,

$$H^{\cdot}(\mathfrak{g}, K; V_i \otimes W) = 0. \tag{8.45}$$

By (8.44), Equation (8.45) holds for $i = 0$. Assume that (8.45) holds for some i with $0 \leqslant i \leqslant l_1$. Using the short exact sequence of Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}, K)$-modules

$$0 \to V_i \to V_{i+1} \to V_{i+1}/V_i \to 0, \tag{8.46}$$

we get the long exact sequence of cohomologies

(8.47)
$$\cdots \to H^j(\mathfrak{g}, K; V_i \otimes W) \to H^j(\mathfrak{g}, K; V_{i+1} \otimes W) \to H^j(\mathfrak{g}, K; (V_{i+1}/V_i) \otimes W) \to \cdots .$$

By (8.44), (8.47) and by the induction hypotheses, Equation (8.45) holds for $i+1$, which finishes the proof of (8.45). The proof of Theorem 8.8 is completed. □

We denote by $\widehat{G}_u$ the unitary dual of G, that is the set of equivalence classes of complex irreducible unitary representations π of G on Hilbert spaces V_π. If $(\pi, V_\pi) \in \widehat{G}_u$, by [K86, Theorem 8.1], π is irreducible admissible. Let χ_π be the corresponding infinitesimal character.

Theorem 8.9. *If $(\pi, V_\pi) \in \widehat{G}_u$, then*

(8.48)
$$\chi_\pi \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow H^\cdot(\mathfrak{g}, K; V_{\pi,K}) = 0.$$

Proof. The direction $\Rightarrow$ of (8.48) is (8.42). The direction $\Leftarrow$ of (8.48) is a consequence of Vogan-Zuckerman [VoZu84], Vogan [Vo84] and Salamanca-Riba [SR99]. Indeed, the irreducible unitary representations with nonvanishing $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-cohomology are classified and constructed in [VoZu84, Vo84]. By [SR99], the irreducible unitary representations with vanishing infinitesimal character is in the class specified by Vogan and Zuckerman, which means with nonvanishing $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$-cohomology . □

Remark 8.10. The condition that π is unitary is crucial in the (8.48). See [Wa88, Section 9.8.3] for a counterexample.

8.1.5. *The Hecht-Schmid character formula.* Let us recall the main result of [HeSc83]. Let $Q \subset G$ be a standard parabolic subgroup of G with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{q} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Let

(8.49)
$$Q = M_Q A_Q N_Q, \qquad \mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{m}_\mathfrak{q} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{q} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_\mathfrak{q}$$

be the corresponding Langlands decompositions [K86, Section V.5].

Put $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{n}_\mathfrak{q})$ to be the set of all linear forms $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{q}^*$ such that there exists a nonzero element $Y \in \mathfrak{n}_\mathfrak{q}$ such that for all $a \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{q}$,

(8.50)
$$\mathrm{ad}(a)Y = \langle \alpha, a \rangle Y.$$

Set

(8.51)
$$\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{q}^- = \{a \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{q} : \langle \alpha, a \rangle < 0, \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n})\}.$$

Put $(M_Q A_Q)^-$ to be the interiror in $M_Q A_Q$ of the set

(8.52)
$$\{g \in M_Q A_Q : \det\big(1 - \mathrm{Ad}(ge^a)\big)|_{\mathfrak{n}_\mathfrak{q}} \geqslant 0 \text{ for all } a \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{q}^-\}.$$

If V is a Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbf{C}, K)$-module, let $H_\cdot(\mathfrak{n}_\mathfrak{q}, V)$ be the $\mathfrak{n}_\mathfrak{q}$-homology of V. By [HeSc83, Proposition 2.24], $H_\cdot(\mathfrak{n}_\mathfrak{q}, V)$ is a Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{q}\mathbf{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}\mathbf{C}}, K \cap M_Q)$-module. We denote by $\Theta^{M_Q A_Q}_{H_\cdot(\mathfrak{n}_\mathfrak{q}, V)}$ the corresponding global character. Also, $M_Q A_Q$ acts on $\mathfrak{n}_\mathfrak{q}$. We denote by $\Theta^{M_Q A_Q}_{\Lambda^\cdot(\mathfrak{n}_\mathfrak{q})}$ the character of $\Lambda^\cdot(\mathfrak{n}_\mathfrak{q})$. By [HeSc83, Theorem 3.6], the following identity of analytic functions on $(M_Q A_Q)^- \cap G'$ holds:

(8.53)
$$\Theta^G_V|_{(M_Q A_Q)^- \cap G'} = \left. \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{\dim \mathfrak{n}_\mathfrak{q}} (-1)^i \Theta^{M_Q A_Q}_{H_i(\mathfrak{n}_\mathfrak{q}, V)}}{\sum_{i=0}^{\dim \mathfrak{n}_\mathfrak{q}} (-1)^i \Theta^{M_Q A_Q}_{\Lambda^i(\mathfrak{n}_\mathfrak{q})}} \right|_{(M_Q A_Q)^- \cap G'}.$$

Take $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathfrak{m}_\mathfrak{q}} \subset \mathfrak{m}_\mathfrak{q}$ a θ-stable Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{m}_\mathfrak{q}$. Set $\mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{h}^{\mathfrak{m}_\mathfrak{q}} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{q}$. Then $\mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{q}$ is a θ-stable Cartan subalgebra of both $\mathfrak{m}_\mathfrak{q} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{q}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$. Put $\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{q}$ to be the compact form of $\mathfrak{m}_\mathfrak{q} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{q}$. Then $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{q}\mathbf{R}}$, the real form of $\mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{q}$, is a Cartan subalgebra of both $\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{q}$ and $\mathfrak{u}$. The real root system of $\Delta(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{q}\mathbf{R}}, \mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{q})$ is a subset of $\Delta(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{q}\mathbf{R}}, \mathfrak{u})$ consisting of the elements whose restriction to $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{q}$ vanish. The set of positive real roots $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{q}\mathbf{R}}, \mathfrak{u}) \subset \Delta(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{q}\mathbf{R}}, \mathfrak{u})$ determines a set of positive real roots $\Delta(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{q}\mathbf{R}}, \mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{q})^+ \subset \Delta(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{q}\mathbf{R}}, \mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{q})$. Let $\rho_\mathfrak{q}^\mathfrak{u}$ and $\rho_\mathfrak{q}^{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{q}}$ be the corresponding half sums of positive real roots.

If V admits an infinitesimal character with Harich-Chandra parameter $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{q}\mathbf{C}}^*$, by [HeSc83, Corollary 3.32], $H.(\mathfrak{n}_\mathfrak{q}, V)$ can be decomposed in the sense of (8.4), where the possible generalized infinitesimal characters are given by

$$\chi_{w\Lambda + \rho_\mathfrak{q}^\mathfrak{u} - \rho_\mathfrak{q}^{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{q}}}, \tag{8.54}$$

for some $w \in W(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{q}\mathbf{R}}, \mathfrak{u})$.

Also, $H.(\mathfrak{n}, V)$ is a Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{q}\mathbf{C}}, K \cap M_Q)$-module. For $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}\mathbf{C}}^*$, let $H.(\mathfrak{n}, V)_{[\nu]}$ be the largest submodule of $H.(\mathfrak{n}, V)$ on which $z - \langle 2i\pi\nu, z\rangle$ acts nilpotently for all $z \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}\mathbf{C}}$. Then,

$$H.(\mathfrak{n}, V) = \bigoplus_\nu H.(\mathfrak{n}, V)_{[\nu]}, \tag{8.55}$$

where $\nu = (w\Lambda + \rho_\mathfrak{q}^\mathfrak{u} - \rho_\mathfrak{q}^{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{q}})|_{\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}\mathbf{C}}}$, for some $w \in W(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{q}\mathbf{R}}, \mathfrak{u})$. Let $\Theta^{M_Q}_{H.(\mathfrak{n},V)}$ and $\Theta^{M_Q}_{H.(\mathfrak{n},V)_{[\nu]}}$ be the corresponding global characters. We have the identities of L^1_{loc}-functions: for $m \in M_Q$ and $a \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{q}$,

$$\Theta^{M_Q A_Q}_{H.(\mathfrak{n},V)}(me^a) = \sum_\nu e^{\langle 2i\pi\nu, a\rangle} \Theta^{M_Q}_{H.(\mathfrak{n},V)_{[\nu]}}(m), \quad \Theta^{M_Q}_{H.(\mathfrak{n},V)}(m) = \sum_\nu \Theta^{M_Q}_{H.(\mathfrak{n},V)_{[\nu]}}(m), \tag{8.56}$$

where $\nu = (w\Lambda + \rho_\mathfrak{q}^\mathfrak{u} - \rho_\mathfrak{q}^{\mathfrak{u}_\mathfrak{q}})|_{\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}\mathbf{C}}}$, for some $w \in W(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{q}\mathbf{R}}, \mathfrak{u})$.

Consider now $\delta(G) = 1$. Take $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$, and let $Q = M_Q A_Q N_Q \subset G$ be the corresponding parabolic subgroup. Then M is the connected component of the identity in M_Q. Since $K \cap M_Q$ has a finite number of connected components, $H.(\mathfrak{n}, V)$ is still a Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{m}_\mathbf{C} \oplus \mathfrak{b}_\mathbf{C}, K_M)$-module. Also, it is a Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{m}_\mathbf{C}, K_M)$-module. Let $\Theta^{MA_Q}_{H.(\mathfrak{n},V)}$ and $\Theta^{M}_{H.(\mathfrak{n},V)}$ be respectively the global characters.

Recall that $H = \exp(\mathfrak{b})T \subset MA_Q$ is the Cartan subgroup of MA_Q.

Proposition 8.11. *If $\delta(G) = 1$, we have*

$$\bigcup_{g \in MA_Q} gH'g^{-1} \subset (M_Q A_Q)^- \cap G'. \tag{8.57}$$

Proof. Put $L' = \bigcup_{g \in MA_Q} gH'g^{-1} \subset MA_Q \cap G'$. Then L' is an open subset of MA_Q. It is enough to show that L' is a subset of (8.52).

As (7.196), for $\gamma = e^a k^{-1} \in H'$, we have

$$\det\big(1 - \mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\big)|_\mathfrak{n} = e^{l\langle\alpha, a\rangle} \left|\det\big(1 - \mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\big)|_{\mathfrak{z}_0^\perp}\right|^{1/2} \geqslant 0. \tag{8.58}$$

By (8.58), L' is a subset of (8.52). The proof of Proposition 8.11 is completed. □

8.2. **Formulas for $r_{\varsigma,\rho}$ and r_j.** Recall that $\widehat{p} : \Gamma\backslash G \to Z$ is the natural projection. The group G acts unitarily on the right on $L^2(\Gamma\backslash G, \widehat{p}^*F)$. By [GGrPS69, p.23, Theorem], we can decompose $L^2(\Gamma\backslash G, \widehat{p}^*F)$ as a direct sum of unitary representations of G,

$$L^2(\Gamma\backslash G, \widehat{p}^*F) = \bigoplus_{\pi\in\widehat{G}_u}^{\mathrm{Hil}} n_\rho(\pi)V_\pi, \tag{8.59}$$

with $n_\rho(\pi) < \infty$.

Recall that τ is a real finite dimensional orthogonal representation of K on the real Euclidean space E_τ, and that $C^{\mathfrak{g},Z,\tau,\rho}$ is the Casimir element of G acting on $C^\infty(Z, \mathcal{F}_\tau \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} F)$. By (8.59), we have

$$\ker C^{\mathfrak{g},Z,\tau,\rho} = \bigoplus_{\pi\in\widehat{G}_u,\chi_\pi(C^{\mathfrak{g}})=0} n_\rho(\pi)\big(V_{\pi,K}\otimes_{\mathbf{R}} E_\tau\big)^K. \tag{8.60}$$

By the properties of elliptic operators, the sum on right-hand side of (8.60) is finite.

We will give two applications of (8.60). In our first application, we take $E_\tau = \Lambda^\cdot(\mathfrak{p}^*)$.

Proposition 8.12. *We have*

$$H^\cdot(X,F) = \bigoplus_{\pi\in\widehat{G}_u,\chi_\pi=0} n_\rho(\pi)H^\cdot(\mathfrak{g},K;V_{\pi,K}). \tag{8.61}$$

If F is acyclic, then for any $\pi \in \widehat{G}_u$ such that $\chi_\pi = 0$, we have

$$n_\rho(\pi) = 0. \tag{8.62}$$

Proof. By the Hodge Theorem (2.4), and by (4.79), (8.60) , we have

$$H^\cdot(X,F) = \bigoplus_{\pi\in\widehat{G}_u,\chi_\pi(C^{\mathfrak{g}})=0} n_\rho(\pi)\big(V_{\pi,K}\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}\Lambda^\cdot(\mathfrak{p}^*)\big)^K. \tag{8.63}$$

By the Hodge Theorem for Lie algebras, if $\chi_\pi(C^{\mathfrak{g}}) = 0$, we have

$$\big(V_{\pi,K}\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}\Lambda^\cdot(\mathfrak{p}^*)\big)^K = H^\cdot(\mathfrak{g},K;V_{\pi,K}). \tag{8.64}$$

From (8.63) and (8.64), we get

$$H^\cdot(X,F) = \bigoplus_{\pi\in\widehat{G}_u,\chi_\pi(C^{\mathfrak{g}})=0} n_\rho(\pi)H^\cdot(\mathfrak{g},K;V_{\pi,K}). \tag{8.65}$$

By (8.42) and (8.65), we get (8.61).

By (8.48) and (8.61), we get (8.62). The proof of Proposition 8.12 is completed. □

Remark 8.13. Equation (8.61) is originally due to Matsushima [Mat67],

In the rest of this section, we assume $\delta(G) = 1$. Recall that ς is a real finite dimensional representation of M satisfying Assumption 7.19, and that $\widehat{\varsigma}$ is defined in (7.100). In our second application of (8.60), we take $\tau = \widehat{\varsigma}$.

Theorem 8.14. *If $(\pi, V_\pi) \in \widehat{G}_u$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}\dim_{\mathbf{C}}\big(V_{\pi,K}\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}\widehat{\varsigma}^+\big)^K - \dim_{\mathbf{C}}\big(V_{\pi,K}\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}\widehat{\varsigma}^-\big)^K \\ = \frac{1}{\chi(K/K_M)}\sum_{i=0}^{\dim\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}}\sum_{j=0}^{2l}(-1)^{i+j}\dim_{\mathbf{C}}\big(H^i(\mathfrak{m},K_M;H_j(\mathfrak{n},V_{\pi,K})\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}E_\varsigma)\big).\end{aligned} \tag{8.66}$$

Proof. Let $\Lambda(\pi) \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{C}}^*$ be the Harish-Chandra parameter of the infinitesimal character of π. By (8.30), for $t > 0$, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbf{C}}\left(V_{\pi,K} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \widehat{\varsigma}^{+}\right)^K - \dim_{\mathbf{C}}\left(V_{\pi,K} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \widehat{\varsigma}^{-}\right)^K = \mathrm{vol}(K)^{-1} e^{t\chi_\pi(C^{\mathfrak{g}})/2} \int_{g\in G} \Theta_\pi^G(g)\,\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}\left[p_t^{X,\widehat{\varsigma}}(g)\right] dv_G. \tag{8.67}$$

By Proposition 4.7, we have

$$\int_G \Theta_\pi^G(g)\,\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}\left[p_t^{X,\widehat{\varsigma}}(g)\right] dv_G = \sum_{i=1}^{l_0} \frac{\mathrm{vol}(H_i \cap K\backslash K)}{|W(H_i,G)|} \int_{\gamma\in H_i'} \Theta_\pi^G(\gamma)\,\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{[\gamma]}\left[\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X,\widehat{\varsigma}}/2)\right] \left|\det\left(1-\mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\right)|_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_i}\right| dv_{H_i}. \tag{8.68}$$

By (7.104), the terms in the sum of the right-hand side of (8.68) vanish except for $i = 1$ ($H_1 = H$). Since $\gamma = e^a k^{-1} \in H'$ implies $T = K_M(k) = M^0(k)$, by (7.158), (8.67) and (8.68), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\dim_{\mathbf{C}}\left(V_{\pi,K} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \widehat{\varsigma}^{+}\right)^K - \dim_{\mathbf{C}}\left(V_{\pi,K} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \widehat{\varsigma}^{-}\right)^K &= \frac{1}{|W(H,G)|\,\mathrm{vol}(T)} \\ &\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \exp\left(\frac{t}{8}\left(B^*(\kappa^{\mathfrak{g}},\kappa^{\mathfrak{g}}) - B^*(\kappa^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}},\kappa^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}})\right) - \frac{t}{2}C^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}},\varsigma} + \frac{t}{2}\chi_\pi(C^{\mathfrak{g}})\right) \\ &\int_{\gamma=e^a k^{-1}\in H'} \Theta_\pi^G(\gamma) \exp\left(-|a|^2/2t\right) \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{E_\varsigma}\left[\varsigma\left(k^{-1}\right)\right] \frac{\left|\det\left(1-\mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\right)|_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}\right|}{\left|\det\left(1-\mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\right)|_{\mathfrak{z}_0^\perp}\right|^{1/2}} dv_H.\end{aligned} \tag{8.69}$$

By (8.58), for $\gamma = e^a k^{-1} \in H'$, we have

$$\frac{\left|\det\left(1-\mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\right)|_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}\right|}{\det\left(1-\mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\right)|_{\mathfrak{n}} \left|\det\left(1-\mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\right)|_{\mathfrak{z}_0^\perp}\right|^{1/2}} = e^{-l\langle\alpha,a\rangle} \left|\det\left(1-\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\right)|_{\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{t}}\right|. \tag{8.70}$$

By (8.53), (8.57), (8.69) and (8.70), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\dim_{\mathbf{C}}\left(V_{\pi,K} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \widehat{\varsigma}^{+}\right)^K - \dim_{\mathbf{C}}\left(V_{\pi,K} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \widehat{\varsigma}^{-}\right)^K &= \frac{1}{|W(H,G)|\,\mathrm{vol}(T)} \\ &\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \exp\left(\frac{t}{8}\left(B^*(\kappa^{\mathfrak{g}},\kappa^{\mathfrak{g}}) - B^*(\kappa^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}},\kappa^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}})\right) - \frac{t}{2}C^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}},\varsigma} + \frac{t}{2}\chi_\pi(C^{\mathfrak{g}})\right) \\ &\sum_{j=0}^{2l}(-1)^j \int_{\gamma=e^a k^{-1}\in H'} \Theta^{MA_Q}_{H_j(\mathfrak{n},V_{\pi,K})}(\gamma) \exp\left(-|a|^2/2t - l\langle\alpha,a\rangle\right) \\ &\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{E_\varsigma}\left[\varsigma\left(k^{-1}\right)\right] \left|\det\left(1-\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\right)|_{\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{t}}\right| dv_H.\end{aligned} \tag{8.71}$$

By (8.18), there exist $C > 0$ and $c > 0$ such that for $\gamma = e^a k^{-1} \in H'$, we have

$$\left|\Theta^{MA_Q}_{H_j(\mathfrak{n},V_{\pi,K})}(\gamma)\right| \left|\det\left(1-\mathrm{Ad}(k^{-1})\right)|_{\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{t}}\right|^{1/2} \leqslant Ce^{c|a|}. \tag{8.72}$$

By (8.56), (8.71), (8.72) and by letting $t \to 0$, we get

$$\dim_{\mathbf{C}}\left(V_{\pi,K}\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}\widehat{\varsigma}^{+}\right)^{K}-\dim_{\mathbf{C}}\left(V_{\pi,K}\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}\widehat{\varsigma}^{-}\right)^{K}=\frac{1}{|W(H,G)|\,\mathrm{vol}(T)}\sum_{j=0}^{2l}(-1)^{j}\int_{\gamma\in T'}\Theta^{M}_{H_j(\mathfrak{n},V_{\pi,K})}(\gamma)\,\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{E_\varsigma}\left[\varsigma(\gamma)\right]\left|\det\left(1-\mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\right)|_{\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{t}}\right|dv_T, \tag{8.73}$$

where T' is the set the regular elements of M in T.

We claim that, for $0\leqslant j\leqslant 2l$, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\dim\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}}(-1)^{i}\dim_{\mathbf{C}}\left(H_j(\mathfrak{n},V_{\pi,K})\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}\Lambda^{i}(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{*})\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}E_\varsigma\right)^{K_M}=\frac{1}{|W(T,M)|\,\mathrm{vol}(T)}\int_{\gamma\in T'}\Theta^{M}_{H_j(\mathfrak{n},V_{\pi,K})}(\gamma)\,\mathrm{Tr}^{E_\varsigma}\left[\varsigma\left(\gamma\right)\right]\left|\det\left(1-\mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\right)|_{\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{t}}\right|dv_T. \tag{8.74}$$

Indeed, consider $H_j(\mathfrak{n},V_{\pi,K})$ as a Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{C}},K_M)$-module. We can decompose $H_j(\mathfrak{n},V_{\pi,K})$ in the sense of (8.4), where all the possible generalized infinitesimal characters are given by

$$\chi_{(w\Lambda(\pi)+\rho^{\mathfrak{u}}-\rho^{\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b})})|_{\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbf{C}}}}, \tag{8.75}$$

for some $w\in W(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{R}},\mathfrak{u})$. Therefore, it is enough to show (8.74), when $H_j(\mathfrak{n},V_{\pi,K})$ is replaced by any Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{C}},K_M)$-module with generalized infinitesimal character $\chi_{(w\Lambda(\pi)+\rho^{\mathfrak{u}}-\rho^{\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b})})|_{\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbf{C}}}}$. Let (π^M,V_{π^M}) be a Hilbert globalization of such a Harish-Chandra $(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{C}},K_M)$-module. As before, let $C^{\mathfrak{m},X_M,\Lambda^{\cdot}(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}})\otimes E_\varsigma}$ be the Casimir element of M acting on $C^{\infty}(M,\Lambda^{\cdot}(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}})\otimes E_\varsigma)^{K_M}$, and let $p_t^{X_M,\Lambda^{\cdot}(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}})\otimes E_\varsigma}(g)$ be the smooth integral kernel of the heat operator $\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{m},X_M,\Lambda^{\cdot}(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}})\otimes E_\varsigma}/2)$. Using (6.37), proceeding as (8.67)-(8.69), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i=0}^{\dim\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}}(-1)^{i}\dim_{\mathbf{C}}\left(V_{\pi^M}\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}\Lambda^{i}(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{*})\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}E_\varsigma\right)^{K_M}\\&=\mathrm{vol}(K_M)^{-1}\exp\left(t\chi_{\pi^M}(C^{\mathfrak{m}})/2\right)\int_{g\in M}\Theta^{M}_{\pi^M}(g)\,\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}\left[p_t^{X_M,\Lambda^{\cdot}(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{*})\otimes E_\varsigma}(g)\right]dv_M\\&=\frac{\exp\left(t\chi_{\pi^M}(C^{\mathfrak{m}})/2\right)}{|W(T,M)|\,\mathrm{vol}(T)}\int_{\gamma\in T'}\Theta^{M}_{\pi^M}(\gamma)\,\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{[\gamma]}\left[\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{m},X_M,\Lambda^{\cdot}(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}})\otimes E_\varsigma}/2)\right]\\&\qquad\qquad\left|\det\left(1-\mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)\right)|_{\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{t}}\right|dv_T.\end{aligned} \tag{8.76}$$

By (6.38), (8.76) and letting $t\to 0$, we get the desired equality.

The Euler formula asserts

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\dim\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}}(-1)^{i}\dim_{\mathbf{C}}\left(H_j(\mathfrak{n},V_{\pi,K})\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}\Lambda^{i}(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{*})\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}E_\varsigma\right)^{K_M}=\sum_{i=0}^{\dim\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}}(-1)^{i}\dim_{\mathbf{C}}\left(H^{i}\left(\mathfrak{m},K_M;H_j(\mathfrak{n},V_{\pi,K})\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}E_\varsigma\right)\right). \tag{8.77}$$

By (4.39), we have

$$W(H,G)=W(T,K),\qquad\qquad W(T,M)=W(T,K_M). \tag{8.78}$$

By (7.129), (8.73), (8.74), (8.77)-(8.78), we get (8.66). The proof of Theorem 8.14 is completed. □

Corollary 8.15. *Assume that $\delta(G) = 1$. The following identity holds:*

(8.79)

$$r_{\varsigma,\rho} = \frac{1}{\chi(K/K_M)} \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{G}_u, \chi_\pi(C^{\mathfrak{g}})=0} n_\rho(\pi) \sum_{i=0}^{\dim \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}} \sum_{j=0}^{2l} (-1)^{i+j} \dim_{\mathbf{C}} \left(H^i\left(\mathfrak{m}, K_M; H_j(\mathfrak{n}, V_{\pi,K}) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} E_\varsigma\right)\right).$$

Proof. This is a consequence of (7.179), (8.60) and (8.66). □

Remark 8.16. When $G = \mathrm{SO}^0(p,1)$ with p odd, the formula (8.79) is compatible with [J01, Theorem 3.11].

We will apply (8.79) to ς_j. The following proposition allows us to reduce the first sum in (8.79) to the one over $\pi \in \widehat{G}_u$ with $\chi_\pi = 0$.

Proposition 8.17. *For $0 \leqslant j \leqslant 2l$, if $(\pi, V_\pi) \in \widehat{G}_u$ such that $\chi_\pi(C^{\mathfrak{g}}) = 0$ and*

$$H^{\cdot}(\mathfrak{m}, K_M; H^{\cdot}(\mathfrak{n}, V_\pi) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \varsigma_j) \neq 0. \tag{8.80}$$

Then the infinitesimal character χ_π vanishes.

Proof. Recall that $\Lambda(\pi) \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{C}}^*$ is a Harish-Chandra parameter of π. We need to show that there is $w \in W(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{R}}, \mathfrak{u})$ such that

$$w\Lambda(\pi) = \rho^{\mathfrak{u}}. \tag{8.81}$$

Since $\chi_\pi(C^{\mathfrak{g},\pi}) = 0$, we have

$$B^*(\Lambda(\pi), \Lambda(\pi)) = B^*(\rho^{\mathfrak{u}}, \rho^{\mathfrak{u}}). \tag{8.82}$$

We identify $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{R}}^* = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{b}^* \oplus \mathfrak{t}^*$. By definition,

$$\rho^{\mathfrak{u}} = \left(\frac{l\alpha}{2\sqrt{-1}\pi}, \rho^{\mathfrak{m}}\right) \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{b}^* \oplus \mathfrak{t}^* \quad \text{and} \quad \rho^{\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b})} = (0, \rho^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}}) \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{b}^* \oplus \mathfrak{t}^*. \tag{8.83}$$

By (8.42), (8.75) and (8.80), there exist $w \in W(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{R}}, \mathfrak{u})$, $w' \in W(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}) \subset W(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{R}}, \mathfrak{u})$ and a highest real weight $\mu_j \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ of an irreducible subrepresentation of $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{C}}$ on $\Lambda^j(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbf{C}}^*)$ such that

$$w\Lambda(\pi)|_{\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbf{C}}} = w'(\mu_j + \rho^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}}). \tag{8.84}$$

By (7.95), (8.82) and (8.84), there exists $w'' \in W(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{R}}, \mathfrak{u})$ such that

$$w''\Lambda(\pi) = \left(\pm\frac{(l-j)\alpha}{2\sqrt{-1}\pi}, \mu_j + \rho^{\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{m}}}\right) = \left(\pm\frac{(l-j)\alpha}{2\sqrt{-1}\pi}, \mu_j\right) + \rho^{\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b})}. \tag{8.85}$$

In particular, $w''\Lambda(\pi) \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{R}}^*$.

Note that by (7.24), (7.25), (7.33) and (7.34), for all $0 \leqslant j \leqslant 2l$, we have isomorphisms of representations of $\mathfrak{m}$,

$$\mathfrak{n} \simeq \overline{\mathfrak{n}}. \tag{8.86}$$

By (8.86), $\left((j-l)\alpha/2\sqrt{-1}\pi, \mu_j\right) \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{R}}^*$ is a highest real weight of an irreducible subrepresentation of $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{b}_{\mathbf{C}}$ on $\Lambda^j(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathbf{C}}^*) \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} (\det(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbf{C}}))^{-1/2}$. By (7.71), $\left((j-l)\alpha/2\sqrt{-1}\pi, \mu_j\right) \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{R}}^*$

is a highest real weight of an irreducible subrepresentation of $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{b}_{\mathbf{C}}$ on $S^{\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b})}$. By [BorWa00, Lemma II.6.9], there exists $w_1 \in W(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{R}}, \mathfrak{u})$ such that

$$\left(\frac{(j-l)\alpha}{2\sqrt{-1}\pi}, \mu_j\right) = w_1\rho^{\mathfrak{u}} - \rho^{\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b})}. \tag{8.87}$$

Similarly, $\big((l-j)\alpha/2\sqrt{-1}\pi, \mu_j\big) \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{R}}^*$ is a highest real weight of an irreducible subrepresentation of $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{b}_{\mathbf{C}}$ on both $\Lambda^{2l-j}(\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathbf{C}}^*) \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} (\det(\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbf{C}}))^{-1/2}$ and $S^{\mathfrak{u}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{b})}$. Therefore, there exists $w_2 \in W(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{R}}, \mathfrak{u})$ such that

$$\left(\frac{(l-j)\alpha}{2\sqrt{-1}\pi}, \mu_j\right) = w_2\rho^{\mathfrak{u}} - \rho^{\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{b})}. \tag{8.88}$$

By (8.85), (8.87) and (8.88), we get (8.81). The proof of Proposition 8.17 is completed. □

Corollary 8.18. *Assume that* $\delta(G) = 1$. *For* $1 \leqslant j \leqslant 2l$, *we have*

(8.89)

$$r_j = \frac{1}{\chi(K/K_M)} \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{G}_u, \chi_\pi = 0} n_\rho(\pi) \sum_{i=0}^{\dim \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}} \sum_{k=0}^{2l} (-1)^{i+k} \dim_{\mathbf{C}} \left(H^i\left(\mathfrak{m}, K_M; H_k(\mathfrak{n}, V_{\pi,K}) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \varsigma_j\right)\right).$$

If ρ *is acyclic, then for all* $1 \leqslant j \leqslant 2l$,

$$r_j = 0. \tag{8.90}$$

Proof. This is a consequence of Proposition 8.12, Corollary 8.15 and Proposition 8.17. □

Remark 8.19. By (7.208) and (8.90), we get Theorem 0.4.

REFERENCES

[BaMo83] D. Barbasch and H. Moscovici, *L^2-index and the Selberg trace formula*, J. Funct. Anal. **53** (1983), no. 2, 151–201. MR 722507 (85j:58137)

[BeGeVe04] N. Berline, E. Getzler, and M. Vergne, *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*, Grundlehren Text Editions, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004, Corrected reprint of the 1992 original. MR 2273508 (2007m:58033)

[B05] J.-M. Bismut, *The hypoelliptic Laplacian on the cotangent bundle*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (2005), no. 2, 379–476 (electronic). MR 2137981 (2006f:35036)

[B11] ———, *Hypoelliptic Laplacian and orbital integrals*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 177, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2011. MR 2828080

[BG04] J.-M. Bismut and S. Goette, *Equivariant de Rham torsions*, Ann. of Math. (2) **159** (2004), no. 1, 53–216. MR 2051391 (2005f:58059)

[BL08] J.-M. Bismut and G. Lebeau, *The hypoelliptic Laplacian and Ray-Singer metrics*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 167, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2008. MR 2441523 (2010b:58042)

[BMaZ11] J.-M. Bismut, X. Ma, and W. Zhang, *Opérateurs de Toeplitz et torsion analytique asymptotique*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **349** (2011), no. 17-18, 977–981. MR 2838248 (2012k:58055)

[BMaZ15] J.-M. Bismut, X. Ma, and W. Zhang, *Asymptotic torsion and toeplitz operators*, Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu (2015), 1–127.

[BZ92] J.-M. Bismut and W. Zhang, *An extension of a theorem by Cheeger and Müller*, Astérisque (1992), no. 205, 235, With an appendix by François Laudenbach. MR 1185803 (93j:58138)

[BorWa00] A. Borel and N. Wallach, *Continuous cohomology, discrete subgroups, and representations of reductive groups*, second ed., Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 67, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000. MR 1721403 (2000j:22015)

[Bo65] R. Bott, *The index theorem for homogeneous differential operators*, Differential and Combinatorial Topology (A Symposium in Honor of Marston Morse), Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1965, pp. 167–186. MR 0182022 (31 #6246)

[BrD85] T. Bröcker and T. tom Dieck, *Representations of compact Lie groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 98, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985. MR 781344 (86i:22023)

[C79] J. Cheeger, *Analytic torsion and the heat equation*, Ann. of Math. (2) **109** (1979), no. 2, 259–322. MR 528965 (80j:58065a)

[dR50] G. de Rham, *Complexes à automorphismes et homéomorphie différentiable*, Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble **2** (1950), 51–67 (1951). MR 0043468 (13,268c)

[DKV79] J. J. Duistermaat, J. A. C. Kolk, and V. S. Varadarajan, *Spectra of compact locally symmetric manifolds of negative curvature*, Invent. Math. **52** (1979), no. 1, 27–93. MR 532745 (82a:58050a)

[DyZw] S. Dyatlov and M. Zworski, *Dynamical zeta function for anosov flows via microlocal analysis*, to appear in Annales de l'ENS.

[Fr35] W. Franz, *Über die Torsion einer Überdeckung.*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **173** (1935), 245–254 (German).

[F86a] D. Fried, *Fuchsian groups and Reidemeister torsion*, The Selberg trace formula and related topics (Brunswick, Maine, 1984), Contemp. Math., vol. 53, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986, pp. 141–163. MR 853556 (88e:58098)

[F86b] ———, *Analytic torsion and closed geodesics on hyperbolic manifolds*, Invent. Math. **84** (1986), no. 3, 523–540. MR 837526 (87g:58118)

[F87] ———, *Lefschetz formulas for flows*, The Lefschetz centennial conference, Part III (Mexico City, 1984), Contemp. Math., vol. 58, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, pp. 19–69. MR 893856 (88k:58138)

[GGrPS69] I. M. Gel′fand, M. I. Graev, and I. I. Pyatetskii-Shapiro, *Representation theory and automorphic functions*, Translated from the Russian by K. A. Hirsch, W. B. Saunders Co., Philadelphia, Pa.-London-Toronto, Ont., 1969. MR 0233772 (38 #2093)

[GiLPo13] P. Giulietti, C. Liverani, and M. Pollicott, *Anosov flows and dynamical zeta functions*, Ann. of Math. (2) **178** (2013), no. 2, 687–773. MR 3071508

[HeSc83] H. Hecht and W. Schmid, *Characters, asymptotics and n-homology of Harish-Chandra modules*, Acta Math. **151** (1983), no. 1-2, 49–151. MR 716371 (84k:22026)

[Hel78] S. Helgason, *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 80, Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1978. MR 514561 (80k:53081)

[H74] N. Hitchin, *Harmonic spinors*, Advances in Math. **14** (1974), 1–55. MR 0358873 (50 #11332)

[J01] A. Juhl, *Cohomological theory of dynamical zeta functions*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 194, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2001. MR 1808296 (2001j:37046)

[K86] A. W. Knapp, *Representation theory of semisimple groups*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 36, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1986, An overview based on examples. MR 855239 (87j:22022)

[K02] ———, *Lie groups beyond an introduction*, second ed., Progress in Mathematics, vol. 140, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2002. MR 1920389 (2003c:22001)

[Ma79] A. Manning, *Topological entropy for geodesic flows*, Ann. of Math. (2) **110** (1979), no. 3, 567–573. MR 554385 (81e:58044)

[Mat67] Y. Matsushima, *A formula for the Betti numbers of compact locally symmetric Riemannian manifolds*, J. Differential Geometry **1** (1967), 99–109. MR 0222908 (36 #5958)

[McS67] H. P. McKean and I. M. Singer, *Curvature and the eigenvalues of the Laplacian*, J. Differential Geometry **1** (1967), no. 1, 43–69. MR 0217739 (36 #828)

[Mi66] J. Milnor, *Whitehead torsion*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1966), 358–426. MR 0196736 (33 #4922)

[Mi68] ———, *Infinite cyclic coverings*, Conference on the Topology of Manifolds (Michigan State Univ., E. Lansing, Mich., 1967), Prindle, Weber & Schmidt, Boston, Mass., 1968, pp. 115–133. MR 0242163 (39 #3497)

[MoSt91] H. Moscovici and R. J. Stanton, *R-torsion and zeta functions for locally symmetric manifolds*, Invent. Math. **105** (1991), no. 1, 185–216. MR 1109626 (92i:58199)

[Mos70] G. D. Mostow, *Intersections of discrete subgroups with Cartan subgroups*, J. Indian Math. Soc. **34** (1970), no. 3-4, 203–214 (1971). MR 0492074 (58 #11228)

[M78] W. Müller, *Analytic torsion and R-torsion of Riemannian manifolds*, Adv. in Math. **28** (1978), no. 3, 233–305. MR 498252 (80j:58065b)

[M93] ———, *Analytic torsion and R-torsion for unimodular representations*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (1993), no. 3, 721–753. MR 1189689 (93m:58119)

[RS71] D. B. Ray and I. M. Singer, *R-torsion and the Laplacian on Riemannian manifolds*, Advances in Math. **7** (1971), 145–210. MR 0295381 (45 #4447)

[Re35] K. Reidemeister, *Homotopieringe und Linsenräume*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **11** (1935), no. 1, 102–109. MR 3069647

[SR99] S. A. Salamanca-Riba, *On the unitary dual of real reductive Lie groups and the $A_g(\lambda)$ modules: the strongly regular case*, Duke Math. J. **96** (1999), no. 3, 521–546. MR 1671213 (2000a:22023)

[Se67] R. T. Seeley, *Complex powers of an elliptic operator*, Singular Integrals (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Chicago, Ill., 1966), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1967, pp. 288–307. MR 0237943 (38 #6220)

[Sh15] S. Shen, *Analytic torsion, dynamical zeta functions and orbital orbital integrals*, Accepted in C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris.

[V77] V. S. Varadarajan, *Harmonic analysis on real reductive groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 576, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977. MR 0473111 (57 #12789)

[Vo84] D. A. Vogan, Jr., *Unitarizability of certain series of representations*, Ann. of Math. (2) **120** (1984), no. 1, 141–187. MR 750719 (86h:22028)

[Vo08] ———, *Unitary representations and complex analysis*, Representation theory and complex analysis, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1931, Springer, Berlin, 2008, pp. 259–344. MR 2409701 (2009f:22013)

[VoZu84] D. A. Vogan, Jr. and G. J. Zuckerman, *Unitary representations with nonzero cohomology*, Compositio Math. **53** (1984), no. 1, 51–90. MR 762307 (86k:22040)

[Wa88] N. R. Wallach, *Real reductive groups. I*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 132, Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988. MR 929683 (89i:22029)

[W82] E. Witten, *Supersymmetry and Morse theory*, J. Differential Geom. **17** (1982), no. 4, 661–692 (1983). MR 683171 (84b:58111)